

The Difference  
It Makes:  
36 Reasons Why  
Hillary Clinton  
Should Never Be  
President

The Difference It Makes: 36 Reasons Hillary Clinton Should Never  
Be President

By Brandon Turbeville

Copyright ©2015

No part of this book may be used, republished, or quoted without proper attribution. No part of this book may be used or reproduced in any manner without express written permission from the author.

Cover Illustration:

Distributed by False Flag Publications

Printed by Wilshire Press

ISBN: 9781620306635

# Contents

**Introduction**

**We Came. We Saw. He Died**

**The Killing Of Ghaddafi And The Destruction Of Libya**

**Benghazi**

**The Funding Of Al-Qaeda Terrorists In Libya And Syria**

**Hillary Clinton's Rabid Anti-Gun Obsession**

**Pro-Vaccine Propaganda**

**Hillary Clinton Has Always Been Pro-War**

**Hillary Clinton VS Bashar al-Assad**

**Hillary Clinton, The Fake Bin Laden Killing, And The Fake Photos That Accompanied It**

**Hillary Clinton: Fake As A Wedding Cake**

**Hillary Clinton: Getting A Pedophile Off The Hook And Then Bragging About It**

**Who Does The Hillary Clinton Piper Play For? Wall Street!**

**The Clinton Foundation**

**Hillary Clinton: The Bride Of Frankenfood**

**Hillary And Bill . . . Gates**

**Hillary Gets Her Marching Orders From The CFR**

**Hillary Supported The TARP And The Banker Bailout**

**Hillary Clinton: Changing Positions At Every Election**

**Hillary Clinton Praised By Neo-Cons**

**Hillary Clinton And The Dicks In The White House**

**Hillary Clinton: Rationing Healthcare Before Rationing  
Healthcare Was Cool**

**Hillary's Growing Soros Connections**

**Hillary On Iran - Candidate Hillary Supports The Deal. Will  
President Hillary Invade?**

**Hillary Clinton's War On Women**

**Hillary Clinton: Fracking America**

**Hillary Clinton And Civil Liberties . . . Or The Lack Thereof**

**The Fellowship Of The Political Ring**

**NAFTA And The TPP - Hillary Clinton's Free Trade History**

**Emailgate: The Hillary Emails**

**The Clinton Body Count**

**Hillary And Israel**

**Hillary Clinton: Supported By Major Gas And Oil Giants**

**The Clinton Economy Is The Wal-Mart Economy**

**Hillary Clinton: Anti-Labor, Anti-Working Class**

**The Clinton Climate Obsession: Minus The Environmentalism**

**Immigration**

**Hillary Clinton On Drugs: America In Prison**

**Hillary's Free Education Plan Not So Free**

**A Real New Deal For America**

**The Case For The 1% Wall Street Sales Tax**

**Nationalize The Federal Reserve!**

**Why Do Bankers Wage War To Stop National Banks?**

**About The Author**

# Introduction

Among the numerous political candidates who have thrown their hat in the ring for the position of figurehead and front man for the US oligarchy, few can actually be distinguished from one another on even the smallest issues. Once again, Americans are reminded that the process of American elections is nothing but a theatre – a bread and circus for those with an intellectual tinge.

Indeed, not a single candidate in the race is truly discernible from any other and, like past presidential races, it is clear that Americans are being given the chance only to choose the brand and presentation of oligarchical rule and not the chance to remove themselves from the oligarchical yoke.

There is, however, one candidate that is doing her best to rise above them all – Hillary Clinton.

This book began as merely part of a project that was never fully realized – a comprehensive series of articles written about each presidential candidate from both major parties detailing why voters should refuse to back these candidates with their vote. It was an attempt to help tear away the veil of political smokescreen that so many Americans fall into every four years. But, when I first conceived of an article listing reasons why any sane voter should stay far away from Hillary Clinton, the task was quickly dispelled from the possibility of being a comprehensive work due to the fact that the crimes of Hillary Clinton are far too numerous to mention, even in an article of unwieldy length.

From her tenure as First Lady of Arkansas and First Lady of the United States, Senator, or Secretary of State, Clinton's tales of treachery, psychopathy, deceitfulness, and viciousness are far too numerous to compile in one article. Clinton has long proven herself one of the most adamant and willing tools of an elite that knows no restrictions in their methods. Indeed, Clinton is very much a part of that oligarchy.

Below are at least 36 reasons why Hillary Clinton should NEVER be allowed to occupy the White House (again). While 36 may sound like a long list of points directed at one candidate, suffice it to say that the list could have been at least twice as long. In order to have this book released before the primaries, this author had to stop allowing the list to grow. After all, a list of reasons not to vote for Hillary Clinton has stunning similarities to the Kudzu vine.

With that being said, however, it is vitally important to understand that this book is by no means an endorsement of any other candidate in the political race for the Presidency. It is merely designed to inform and educate. Until Americans are truly aware of the issues they face and the legitimate solutions necessary to repair the American economy, restore living standards, return civil liberties and the like, America will be given false choices like Hillary Clinton versus the Republican nomination.

There is, however, such a thing as a worst case scenario. It is just this type of scenario that this book is trying to prevent.

# “We Came. We Saw. He Died.”

Perhaps one of the most sadistic and psychopathic displays of an American official, Hillary Clinton’s [cackling response](#) to a question regarding the death of Ghaddafi and the US role in the destruction of Libya certainly deserves honorable mention.<sup>1</sup>

Clinton’s remark was a paraphrase of a quote from Julius Caesar after he concluded his war with Pharnaces II of Pontus: “Veni, vidi, vici” (“I came, I saw, I conquered”).<sup>2</sup>

Ghaddafi’s murder had just taken place with the Libyan leader – a true leader in the fight against Islamic terrorism and who had increased the living standards of the average Libyan to some of the highest in Africa – being sodomized with a bayonet, beaten, and eventually executed.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Daly, Corbet. “Clinton On Qaddafi: “We Came. We Saw. He Died.” CBS News. October 20, 2011. <http://www.cbsnews.com/news/clinton-on-qaddafi-we-came-we-saw-he-died/> Accessed 8/26/2015

<sup>2</sup> Daly, Corbet. “Clinton On Qaddafi: “We Came. We Saw. He Died.” CBS News. October 20, 2011. <http://www.cbsnews.com/news/clinton-on-qaddafi-we-came-we-saw-he-died/> Accessed 8/26/2015

<sup>3</sup> Daly, Corbet. “Clinton On Qaddafi: “We Came. We Saw. He Died.” CBS News. October 20, 2011. <http://www.cbsnews.com/news/clinton-on-qaddafi-we-came-we-saw-he-died/> Accessed 8/26/2015

The statement, along with her signature cackle revealed the depths of depravity in which Clinton dwells as well as a glimpse of her obvious psychosis and psychopathy.<sup>4</sup>

Please see the video at this link:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fgcd1ghag5Y>

---

<sup>4</sup> "Hillary Clinton On Ghaddaffi: We Came, We Saw, He Died."

FederalJackTube6. Youtube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fgcd1ghag5Y>  
Accessed 8/26/2015.

# The Killing Of Ghaddafi And The Destruction Of Libya

While Clinton's demented reaction to Ghaddafi's death was revealing, her actual contribution to it was not only immoral and illegal, it was treasonous. Remember, it was Hillary Clinton, along with her State Department staff such as Susan Rice and Victoria Nuland who were out in front building up the case for war against Libya, a "UN Resolution" and support for the terrorists attempting to overthrow the Libyan leader. In fact, in an article entitled "[Hillary's War: How Conviction Replaced Skepticism In Libya Intervention](#)," the Washington Post's Joby Warrick describes how Clinton provided yeomen's service in the effort to build an "international coalition" against Libya and Ghaddafi and how she worked overtime to see to it that the nation with the highest living standards in Africa was reduced to a burning desert of rubble and savagery.<sup>5</sup>

[Even the Huffington Post was forced to admit](#) that Hillary Clinton was one of the main forces promoting the war in Libya, while

---

<sup>5</sup> Warrick, Joby. "Hillary's War: How Conviction Replaced Skepticism In Libya Intervention." Washington Post. October 30, 2011. [https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/hillarys-war-how-conviction-replaced-skepticism-in-libya-intervention/2011/10/28/gIQAhGS7WM\\_story.html](https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/hillarys-war-how-conviction-replaced-skepticism-in-libya-intervention/2011/10/28/gIQAhGS7WM_story.html) Accessed 8/26/2015

also fairly pointing out that Clinton was one of many in the US State Department and other governing bodies who supported the same.<sup>6</sup>

Clinton would stop at no lengths to push the United States into yet another war. Clinton would even go so far as to [give support to the propaganda line](#) that Ghaddafi was using rape and sexual violence to intimidate and oppress Libyan women.<sup>7</sup> Such a grandiose and idiotic claim – proven inaccurate on the ground – was only eclipsed in its absurdity by other claims coming from the Clinton State Department that Ghaddafi was [handing out Viagra](#) for this purpose. Disgraced US Ambassador Susan Rice was the main purveyor of this myth.<sup>8</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup> DePetris, Daniel R. "Should Hillary Clinton Be Blamed For Libya's Mess? The Short Answer: Not For All Of It." Huffington Post. June 21, 2015. [http://www.huffingtonpost.com/daniel-r-depetris/should-hillary-clinton-be\\_b\\_7097240.html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/daniel-r-depetris/should-hillary-clinton-be_b_7097240.html) Accessed 8/26/2015

<sup>7</sup> "Clinton Condemns Sexual Violence In The Mideast." Voice of America. July 14, 2011. <http://editorials.voa.gov/content/clinton-condemns--125661488/1482603.html> Accessed 8/26/2015

<sup>8</sup> MacAskill, Ewen. "Gaddafi 'supplies troops with Viagra to encourage mass rape' claims diplomat." The Guardian. April 29, 2011. <http://www.theguardian.com/world/2011/apr/29/diplomat-gaddafi-troops-viagra-mass-rape> Accessed 8/26. 2015

# Benghazi

Hillary's track record with Libya is poor to say the least. While Secretary of State, Hillary Clinton was ultimately responsible for providing security at the US embassy where the attacks and killing of Stevens took place. Considering the outcome, it would be safe to say that Clinton was a failure in her duties. That is, if we assume the best and give her the benefit of the doubt. However, while much has been made about the scandal in Benghazi and the death of US Ambassador Chris Stevens there, the real story behind the attacks should make Clinton appear much more than an incompetent derelict. In fact, Clinton was anything but incompetent in the Benghazi scandal.

Chris Stevens was merely a casualty in a much broader campaign of arming terrorists in Libya and later transferring those terrorists as well as the arms themselves into Syria via Turkey. The fact that the United States has armed, trained, funded, and directed terrorists in Libya and Syria is beyond question. It is also a fact that the State Department has played a key role in that regard.

This State Department involvement did not begin with John Kerry nor did it begin with Hillary Clinton. But it certainly continued during her tenure.

Judge Andrew Napolitano [discovered](#) this much after reviewing a number of Clinton's famous emails.<sup>9</sup> Napolitano writes,

---

<sup>9</sup> Napolitano, Andrew P. "Hillary's Secret War." Washington Times. July 1, 2015. <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/jul/1/andrew-napolitano-hillarys-secret-war/?page=all> Accessed on 8/27/2015

What I saw has persuaded me beyond a reasonable doubt and to a moral certainty that Mrs. Clinton provided material assistance to terrorists and lied to Congress in a venue where the law required her to be truthful. Here is the backstory.

.....

In its efforts to keep arms from countries and groups that might harm Americans and American interests, Congress has authorized the Departments of State and Treasury to be arms gatekeepers. They can declare a country or group to be a terrorist organization, in which case selling or facilitating the sale of arms to it is a felony. They also can license dealers to sell.

Mr. Turi sold hundreds of millions of dollars' worth of arms to the government of Qatar, which then, at the request of American government officials, were sold, bartered or given to rebel groups in Libya and Syria. Some of the groups that received the arms were on the U.S. terror list. Thus, the same State and Treasury Departments that licensed the sales also prohibited them.

How could that be?

That's where Mrs. Clinton's secret State Department and her secret war come in. Because Mrs. Clinton used her husband's computer server for all of her email traffic while she was the secretary of state, a violation of three federal laws, few in the State Department outside her inner circle knew what she was up to.

Now we know.

She obtained permission from President Obama and consent from congressional leaders in both houses of Congress and in both parties to arm rebels in Syria and Libya in an effort to overthrow the governments of those countries.

Many of the rebels Mrs. Clinton armed, using the weapons lawfully sold to Qatar by Mr. Turi and others, were terrorist groups who are our sworn enemies. There was no congressional declaration of war, no congressional vote, no congressional knowledge beyond fewer than a dozen members, and no federal statute that authorized this.

When Sen. Rand Paul, Kentucky Republican, asked Mrs. Clinton at a public hearing of the Senate Armed Services Committee on Jan. 23, 2013, whether she knew about American arms shipped to the Middle East, to Turkey or to any other country, she denied any knowledge. It is unclear whether she was under oath at the time, but that is legally irrelevant. The obligation to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth to Congress pertains to all witnesses who testify before congressional committees, whether an oath has been administered or not. (Just ask Roger Clemens, who was twice prosecuted for misleading Congress about the contents of his urine while not under oath. He was acquitted.)

Here is her relevant testimony:

**Mr. Paul:** My question is is the U.S. involved with any procuring of weapons, transfer of weapons buying, selling anyhow transferring weapons to Turkey out of Libya?

**Mrs. Clinton:** To Turkey? ... I will have to take that question for the record. Nobody's ever raised that with me. I, I .

**Mr. Paul:** It's been in news reports that ships have been leaving from Libya and that they may have weapons and what I'd like to know is the [Benghazi] annex that was close by . Were they involved with procuring, buying, selling, obtaining weapons and were any of these weapons transferred to other countries any countries, Turkey included?

**Mrs. Clinton:** Senator, you will have to direct that question to the agency that ran the annex. And I will see what information is available and ahhhh .

**Mr. Paul:** You are saying you don't know .

**Mrs. Clinton:** I do not know. I don't have any information on that.

At the time that Mrs. Clinton denied knowledge of the arms shipments, she and her State Department political designee, Andrew Shapiro, had authorized thousands of shipments of billions of dollars' worth of arms to U.S. enemies to fight her secret war. Among the casualties of her war were U.S. Ambassador to Libya Chris Stevens and three colleagues, who were assassinated at the American consulate in Benghazi, by rebels Mrs. Clinton armed with American military hardware in violation of American law.

This secret war and the criminal behavior that animated it was the product of conspirators in the White House, the State Department,

the Treasury Department, the Justice Department, the CIA and a tight-knit group of members of Congress.

# The Funding Of Al-Qaeda Terrorists In Libya And Syria

Picking up where the Benghazi scandal left off, it cannot be stated often enough that Hillary Clinton in her tenure as Secretary of State was instrumental in helping to fund, organize, train, and direct al-Qaeda terrorist forces in Libya and Syria.

In regards to Libya, it was well known and even criticized by Libyan officials that Clinton's State Department was actively funneling weaponry to "rebels" with "ties to al-Qaeda." Of course, the "rebels" were al-Qaeda as the Libyan "rebellion" itself was nothing more than the use of proxy forces by the U.S. whose ranks were made up of terrorists, jihadists, and mercenaries. [As the Washington Times reported in February, 2015](#)

Libyan officials were deeply concerned in 2011, as Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton was trying to remove Moammar Gadhafi from power, that weapons were being funneled to NATO-backed rebels with ties to al Qaeda, fearing that well-armed insurgents could create a safe haven for terrorists, according to secret intelligence reports obtained by The Washington Times.

The reports included a 16-page list of weapons that Libyans supposedly tracked to the rebels from Western sources or their allies in the region. The memos were corroborated by a U.S.

intelligence asset familiar with the documents as well as former top Gadhafi regime official Mohammed Ismael.

“NATO has given permission to a number of weapons-loaded aircraft to land at Benghazi airport and some Tunisian airports,” the intelligence report said, identifying masses of weapons including tanks and surface-to-air missiles.

That report, which was prepared in English so it could be passed by a U.S. intelligence asset to key members of Congress, identified specific air and sea shipments observed by Libyan intelligence moving weapons to the rebels trying to unseat the Gadhafi regime.

“There is a close link between al Qaeda, Jihadi organizations, and the opposition in Libya,” the report warned.<sup>10</sup>

[Elliot Jager of NewsMax](#) also points out Clinton’s treachery in the funding and support of jihadists in Libya when he writes that Clinton was one of the principal officials who promoted the idea of supporting terrorists in the African country. Jager states,

Moammar Gadhafi, the Libyan dictator overthrown in 2011 by NATO-backed rebels, had provided important information that led to the killings of key al-Qaida operatives and disrupted planned terror attacks against U.S. interests, former Gadhafi aide Mohammed Ismael confirmed to The Washington Times.<sup>11</sup>

---

<sup>10</sup> Shapiro, Jeffrey Scott. “Secret Benghazi Report Reveals Hillary’s Libya War Push Armed Al-Qaeda-Tied Terrorists.” Washington Times. February 1, 2015. <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/feb/1/hillary-clinton-libya-war-push-armed-benghazi-rebe/?page=all> Accessed on August 27, 2015.

<sup>11</sup> Shapiro, Jeffrey Scott. “Hillary Clinton’s War On Libya Damaged U.S. Intelligence.” Washington Times. February 25, 2015.

Then Secretary of State Hillary Clinton became the prime mover in the decision by the Obama administration to back anti-Gadhafi insurgents, The New York Times reported in 2011.<sup>12</sup>

Clinton, along with Samantha Power, then at the National Security Council, and Susan Rice, the U.N. ambassador at the time, convinced Obama to back the rebels.

Yet even after the disaster in Libya, Hillary did not learn her lesson. She also promoted the idea of assisting the “rebels” in Syria, who were wholly conceived and controlled by NATO and the United States to begin with. Indeed, she actively participated in the act of doing so.<sup>13</sup>

In fact, even after it became clear to the world that the fighters known to the general public as “rebels” were actually terrorists and jihadists, she continued to publicly lobby to support them, even criticizing Obama for not doing enough to train, fund, arm, and direct them.

Obviously, the idea that Clinton was unaware of the jihadist nature of the fighters in Syria is absurd. Of course she knew. Any informed observer knew. Clinton was simply one more State

---

<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/feb/25/hillary-clintons-war-on-libya-lost-terror-intellig/> Accessed on August 27, 2015.

<sup>12</sup> Cooper, Helene; Myers, Steven Lee. “Obama Takes Hard Line With Libya After Shift By Clinton.” New York Times. March 18, 2011.

<http://www.nytimes.com/2011/03/19/world/africa/19policy.html?pagewanted=all&r=2> Accessed on August 27, 2015.

<sup>13</sup> Jager, Elliot. “Ex-Gadhafi Aide: By Aiding Libya Rebels, Hillary Helped al-Qaida.” Newsmax. February 26, 2015.

<http://www.newsmax.com/World/GlobalTalk/Moammar-Gadhafi-Mohammed-Ismael-Hillary-Clinton-qaida/2015/02/26/id/626958/> Accessed on August 27, 2015.

Department official in a whole collection of American and Western officials who were involved in the support, funding, and directing of al-Qaeda and “ISIS” fighters in Syria for the purposes of destroying the secular government of Bashar al-Assad.

# Hillary Clinton's Rabid Anti-Gun Obsession

Hillary Clinton has long been a rabid anti-gun fanatic. Stemming back from her years in her husband's abysmal administration where she helped promote the passage of the infamous freedom-crushing Brady Bill to her 2016 presidential campaign, Clinton has made the elimination of self-defense, gun rights, buttress against unwarranted government encroachment, and the Second Amendment a hallmark of her stance.

For instance, as NY Senator in 2004, [Clinton Voted NO](#) on S.1805,<sup>14</sup> the "[Protection of Lawful Commerce in Arms Act](#)," a bill that would have prohibited lawsuits against gun manufacturers for gun violence.<sup>15</sup>

In 2005, [Clinton voted NO](#)<sup>16</sup> on the "[Protection of Lawful Commerce Act](#)," a similar bill that was eventually passed into law.<sup>17</sup>

---

<sup>14</sup> "Vote number [2004-30](#) banning lawsuits against gun manufacturers for gun violence on Mar 2, 2004 regarding bill [S.1805/H.R.1036](#) Protection of Lawful Commerce in Arms Act Results: Bill Rejected 8-90: R 3-48; D 5-41." On The Issues. [http://www.ontheissues.org/SenateVote/Party\\_2004-30.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/SenateVote/Party_2004-30.htm) Accessed on August 27, 2015.

<sup>15</sup> "Bill Summary & Status 108th Congress (2003 - 2004) S.1805." The Library Of Congress. <http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/bdquery/z?d108:SN01805>: Accessed on August 27, 2015.

<sup>16</sup> "Vote number [2005-219](#) prohibiting lawsuits against gun manufacturers on Jul 29, 2005 regarding bill [S 397](#) Protection of Lawful Commerce in Arms Act Results: Bill Passed, 65-31." On The Issues. [http://www.ontheissues.org/SenateVote/Party\\_2005-219.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/SenateVote/Party_2005-219.htm) Accessed on August 27, 2015.

In 2006, [Clinton voted against the Vitter Amendment](#), an amendment to the Disaster Recovery Personal Protection Act of 2006, which sought to “prohibit the confiscation of a firearm during an emergency or major disaster if the possession of such firearm is not prohibited under Federal or State law.” The amendment passed into law despite Clinton.<sup>18</sup>

But while her voting record has not been faced with the gun issue for much of her tenure as NY Senator, her public statements are very clear.

In August of 2000, Clinton signed on the “Hyde Park Declaration,” a Manifesto entitled “[A New Agenda For A New Decade](#),” where the goals of the manifesto were to toughen gun control laws and “require smart gun technology.”<sup>19</sup>

Consider also her statement in her book “[It Takes A Village](#),”

The first step is to take weapons off the streets and to put more police on them. The Brady Bill, which my husband signed into law in 1995, imposes a 5-day waiting period for gun purchases, time enough for authorities to check out a buyer’s record and for the buyer to cool down about any conflict he might have intended the gun to resolve. Since it was enacted, more than

---

<sup>17</sup> “Bill Summary & Status 109th Congress (2005 - 2006) S.397.” The Library Of Congress. <http://thomas.loc.gov/cgi-bin/bdquery/z?d109:SN397>: Accessed on August 27, 2015.

<sup>18</sup> “U.S. Senate Roll Call Votes 109<sup>th</sup> Congress - 2<sup>nd</sup> Session.” United States Senate website [http://www.senate.gov/legislative/LIS/roll\\_call\\_lists/roll\\_call\\_vote\\_cfm.cfm?congress=109&session=2&vote=00202#position](http://www.senate.gov/legislative/LIS/roll_call_lists/roll_call_vote_cfm.cfm?congress=109&session=2&vote=00202#position) Accessed on August 27, 2015.

<sup>19</sup> “[00-DLC11](#) on Aug 1, 2000.” “Make America the Safest Big Country in the World.” On The Issues. [http://www.ontheissues.org/Notebook/Note\\_00-DLC11.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/Notebook/Note_00-DLC11.htm) Accessed on August 27, 2015.

40,000 people with criminal records have been prevented from buying guns. The 1994 Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act banned 19 types of military-style assault weapons whose only purpose is to kill people.

As part of a “zero tolerance” policy for weapons, drugs, and other threats to the safety of teachers and students, the President signed an executive order decreeing that any student who comes to school with a gun will be expelled and punished as a condition of federal aid.<sup>20</sup>

Later, in 1999, Clinton [continued to push fear to High School students](#) where she told a high school class that they should socially ostracize households who own guns.

Q: What actions can students take to help gun control further?

A: Young people, especially teenagers, [should pledge] to not give any child unsupervised access to a firearm; not to go into homes, or let your younger siblings go into homes where you know guns are and are not safely stored and taken care of. You guys are going to a party, make sure there are no guns around. If you own a gun or you know people who do, make sure it's locked up and stored without the ammunition.<sup>21</sup>

She continued by addressing gun owners and suggested that they could protect children by storing their weapons in a way that would

---

<sup>20</sup> “It Takes A Village, by Hillary Clinton: On Gun Control.” On The Issues. [http://www.ontheissues.org/Archive/Takes\\_A\\_Village\\_Gun\\_Control.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/Archive/Takes_A_Village_Gun_Control.htm) Accessed on August 27, 2015.

<sup>21</sup> “Hillary Clinton On Gun Control.” On The Issues. [http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary\\_Clinton\\_Gun\\_Control.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary_Clinton_Gun_Control.htm) Accessed August 27, 2015.

make it impossible to actually use them in a way that might protect their children.

If you own a gun... make sure it's locked up and stored without the ammunition. In fact, make it stored where the ammunition is stored separately. We've made some progress in the last several years with the Brady Bill and some of the bans on assault weapons, but we have a lot of work to do.<sup>22</sup>

In her remarks to the NEA in 1999, Clinton once again attacked guns by attempting to portray America as a land where children roam free on the streets with six-shooters on their hips. She said,

We have to do everything possible to keep guns out of the hands of children, and we need to stand firm on behalf of the sensible gun control legislation that passed the Senate and then was watered down in the House. It does not make sense for us at this point in our history to turn our backs on the reality that there are too many guns and too many children have access to those guns-and we have to act to prevent that.<sup>23</sup>

Deciding to upgrade (or downgrade) to terrorizing Middle School students about guns, Hillary stated to the South Side Middle School in Nassau County in 1999, "We will not make progress on a sensible gun control agenda unless the entire American public gets behind it. It is really important for each of you [kids] to make sure you stay away from

---

<sup>22</sup> "Hillary Clinton On Gun Control." On The Issues.  
[http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary\\_Clinton\\_Gun\\_Control.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary_Clinton_Gun_Control.htm) Accessed August 27, 2015.

<sup>23</sup> "Hillary Clinton On Gun Control." On The Issues.  
[http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary\\_Clinton\\_Gun\\_Control.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary_Clinton_Gun_Control.htm) Accessed August 27, 2015.

guns. If you have guns in your home, tell your parents to keep them away from you and your friends and your little brothers and sisters.”<sup>24</sup>

In June 2000, Hillary Clinton voiced her support for a draconian bill that would have made Stalin nod in approval. The bill would have required anyone who was seeking to purchase a gun to obtain a state-issued photo gun license in order to do so. In other words, gun licensing.

In regards to that bill, [Clinton stated](#), “I stand in support of this common-sense legislation to license everyone who wishes to purchase a gun.”<sup>25</sup> Going beyond even that, Clinton added, “I also believe that every new handgun sale or transfer should be registered in a national registry.”<sup>26</sup>

In her 2003 book, *A Living History*, Clinton referred to the Columbine shooting as a crisis that she and her husband would not allow to go to waste in regards to gun control. [She wrote](#),

A month after the Columbine shootings, Bill & I went to Littleton Colorado to visit with the families of victims & survivors. The Columbine tragedy was not the first, nor the last, episode involving gun violence at an American high school. But it ignited a call for more federal action to keep guns out of the hands of the violent, troubled and young--a lethal combination.

---

<sup>24</sup> “Hillary Clinton On Gun Control.” On The Issues.  
[http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary\\_Clinton\\_Gun\\_Control.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary_Clinton_Gun_Control.htm) Accessed August 27, 2015.

<sup>25</sup> “Hillary Clinton On Gun Control.” On The Issues.  
[http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary\\_Clinton\\_Gun\\_Control.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary_Clinton_Gun_Control.htm) Accessed August 27, 2015.

<sup>26</sup> “Hillary’s Long Con.” NRA-ILA (National Rifle Association Institute For Legislative Action). May 11, 2015.  
<https://www.nraila.org/articles/20150511/hillarys-long-con> Accessed on August 27, 2015.

Bill and I announced a proposal to raise the legal age of handgun ownership to 21, and limit purchases of handguns to one per month.<sup>27</sup>

In a 2008 Presidential debate in Las Vegas, Clinton trumped up the nonsensical line that police deaths were going up because of the lapse of the assault weapons ban and that “bad guys” (note the term “bad guys” as if talking to children) now had assault weapons. Clinton, of course, blamed guns. She stated,

I am against illegal guns, and illegal guns are the cause of so much death and injury in our country. I also am a political realist and I understand that the political winds are very powerful against doing enough to try to get guns off the street, get them out of the hands of young people. I don't want the federal government preempting states and cities like New York that have very specific problems. We need to have a registry that really works with good information about people who are felons, people who have been committed to mental institutions. We need to make sure that that information is in a timely manner, both collected and presented. We do need to crack down on illegal gun dealers. This is something that I would like to see more of. We need to enforce the laws that we have on the books. I would also work to reinstate the assault weapons ban. We now have, once again, police deaths going up around the country, and in large measure because bad guys now have assault weapons again.<sup>28</sup>

---

<sup>27</sup> Clinton, Hillary Rodham. Living History. P. 503-504. November, 2003.

<sup>28</sup> “Hillary Clinton On Gun Control.” On The Issues.

[http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary\\_Clinton\\_Gun\\_Control.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary_Clinton_Gun_Control.htm) Accessed August 27, 2015.

As is typical for Clinton, she has tried to weasel around the fact that she is a notorious gun-grabber who is dedicated to total disarmament. While attempting to bob and weave during another Presidential debate in 2008, she was forced to admit that she supports the DC gun ban and the draconian and unconstitutional gun laws of New York City. [The discussion was as follows:](#)

Q: Do you support the DC handgun ban?

A: I want to give local communities the authority over determining how to keep their citizens safe. This case you're referring to is before the Supreme Court.

Q: But what do you support?

A: I support sensible regulation that is consistent with the constitutional right to own and bear arms.

Q: Is the DC ban consistent with that right?

A: I think a total ban, with no exceptions under any circumstances, might be found by the court not to be. But DC or anybody else [should be able to] come up with sensible regulations to protect their people.

Q: But do you still favor licensing and registration of handguns?

A: What I favor is what works in NY. We have one set of rules in NYC and a totally different set of rules in the rest of the state. What might work in NYC is certainly not going to work in Montana. So, for the federal government to be having any kind

of blanket rules that they're going to try to impose, I think doesn't make sense.<sup>29</sup>

Of course, the Federal government already has a blanket rule – the Second Amendment to the Constitution of the United States. The Constitution and the Bill of Rights, however, have never got in Clinton's way before.

One of her more [famous and recent diatribes](#) against gun ownership was made in 2014 at the National Behavioral Health Conference, when she stated that "We've got to rein in what has become an almost article of faith that anybody can have a gun anywhere, anytime," she said. "And I don't believe that is in the best interest of the vast majority of people."<sup>30</sup>

During a [CNN Town Hall Meeting](#), Clinton [compared gun-owners to terrorists](#) and a violence-prone minority. She stated that "We cannot let a minority of people — and that's what it is, it is a minority of people — hold a viewpoint that terrorizes the majority of people." She also said "We're going to have to do a better job protecting the vast majority of our citizens, including our children, from that very, very, very small group that is unfortunately prone to violence and now with automatic

---

<sup>29</sup> "2008 Democratic primary debate in Philadelphia, April 16, 2008: on Gun Control." On The Issues.  
[http://www.ontheissues.org/Archive/2008\\_Dems\\_Philly\\_Gun\\_Control.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/Archive/2008_Dems_Philly_Gun_Control.htm)  
Accessed on August 27, 2015. .

<sup>30</sup> Ballhaus, Rebecca. "Hillary Clinton Attacks 'Anywhere, Anytime' Gun Culture. Wall Street Journal. May 6, 2014.  
<http://blogs.wsj.com/washwire/2014/05/06/hillary-clinton-attacks-anywhere-anytime-gun-culture/> Accessed on August 27, 2015.

weapons can wreak so much more violence than they ever could have before.<sup>3132</sup>

Clinton's fanaticism seems to have only grown stronger in recent months. As her 2016 campaign kicks into high gear, [Clinton has made it clear](#) that she will be attacking the Second Amendment head on. As she told a crowd in Iowa in early July, "I'm going to speak out against the uncontrollable use of guns in our country because I believe we can do better."<sup>33</sup>

She had told a crowd in New Hampshire only a few days earlier, "We have to take on the gun lobby. . . . This is a controversial issue. I am well aware of that. But I think it is the height of irresponsibility not to talk about it."<sup>34</sup>

---

<sup>31</sup> Merica, Dan. "6 Times Clinton Sounded, Acted Like Candidate." CNN. June 18, 2014. <http://www.cnn.com/2014/06/17/politics/clinton-town-hall-what-to-watch/> Accessed on August 27, 2015.

<sup>32</sup> Fargo, Robert. "BREAKING: Hillary Clinton Calls Gun Rights Advocates Terrorists, Calls For 'Assault Weapons' Ban." The Truth About Guns. June 17, 2014. <http://www.thetruthaboutguns.com/2014/06/robert-fargo/breaking-hillary-clinton-calls-gun-rights-advocates-terrorists/> Accessed on August 27, 2015.

<sup>33</sup> Rucker, Phillip. "Hillary Clinton's Push On Gun Control Marks A Shift In Presidential Politics." Washington Post. July 9, 2015. [http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/clinton-makes-big-gun-control-pitch-marking-shift-in-presidential-politics/2015/07/09/4309232c-2580-11e5-b72c-2b7d516e1e0e\\_story.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/clinton-makes-big-gun-control-pitch-marking-shift-in-presidential-politics/2015/07/09/4309232c-2580-11e5-b72c-2b7d516e1e0e_story.html) Accessed on August 27, 2015.

<sup>34</sup> Rucker, Phillip. "Hillary Clinton's Push On Gun Control Marks A Shift In Presidential Politics." Washington Post. July 9, 2015. [http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/clinton-makes-big-gun-control-pitch-marking-shift-in-presidential-politics/2015/07/09/4309232c-2580-11e5-b72c-2b7d516e1e0e\\_story.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/clinton-makes-big-gun-control-pitch-marking-shift-in-presidential-politics/2015/07/09/4309232c-2580-11e5-b72c-2b7d516e1e0e_story.html) Accessed on August 27, 2015.

# Pro-Vaccine Propaganda

In stark contrast to candidate Clinton in 2008 when questions over the safety and effectiveness of vaccines were just coming to the political consciousness of the American people in large numbers, Hillary has now become a vocal cheerleader of Big Pharma and vaccinations.

While her opinion is odious enough on its face, it is quite the change from the opinion she held as a [candidate in 2008](#) when she stated that there was the possibility that vaccines were linked to autism. In fact, she wrote in a campaign questionnaire that she was committed to finding out the causes of autism, including “possible environmental causes like vaccines.”<sup>35</sup>

In 2015, however, when it became clear that questions surrounding vaccines was not going to remain merely a part of the “fringe” of society (among both the right and the left) and the propaganda campaign began full-steam ahead to eliminate any mass movement against the continued hiding of the dangers of vaccination, Clinton began showing her true colors, [coming out in favor](#) of the pro-vaccine crowd and insinuating that anyone who questions the safety or effectiveness of vaccines was a luddite and an anti-science crackpot.<sup>36</sup> Clinton was one in a long line of presidential hopefuls who made sure to

---

<sup>35</sup> Cohen, Rebecca. “Hillary Clinton Says All Kids Should Get Vaccinated – But She Wasn’t Always So Sure.” Mother Jones. February 23, 2015. <http://www.motherjones.com/politics/2015/02/hillary-clinton-vaccine-tweet> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

<sup>36</sup> Merica, Dan. “Hillary Clinton Hits GOP With Pro-Vaccine Tweet.” CNN. February 3, 2015. <http://www.cnn.com/2015/02/02/politics/hillary-clinton-vaccines/> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

take part in the propaganda campaign against concerned parents, affected individuals, and informed citizens.

[Clinton then took to social media](#) to make [a jab at those](#) who consider toxic chemicals like mercury, aluminum, polysorbate-80, or even live viruses to be cause for concern when faced with the question of whether or not to inject them into their children.<sup>3738</sup>

Her tweet read: “The science is clear. The earth is round, the sky is blue, and #vaccineswork Let’s protect all our kids #GrandmothersKnowBest.”<sup>39</sup>

While it is true that the earth is round and the sky is blue, there is nothing clear about the safety or effectiveness of vaccines. The "science" stating that vaccines are safe and effective is by no means settled. In fact, what few independent studies have been conducted, have revealed quite the opposite. At best, the results are negligible.

---

<sup>37</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. “Left-Right Paradigm Warps Vaccine Debate: Yes, Parents DO Have The Right To Opt-Out.” Activist Post. February 4, 2015. <http://www.activistpost.com/2015/02/left-right-paradigm-warps-vaccine-debate.html> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

<sup>38</sup> Camia, Catalina. “Hillary Clinton: The Earth Is Round And Vaccines Work.” USA Today. February 3, 2015. <http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation-now/2015/02/03/hillary-clinton-vaccines-work-tweet-christie/22783761/> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

<sup>39</sup> Hillary Clinton Twitter Account: <https://twitter.com/HillaryClinton/status/562456798020386816> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

# Hillary Clinton Has Always Been Pro-War

In addition to her history regarding the Syrian and Libyan crises, it should never be forgotten that Hillary Clinton has supported virtually every military conflict launched during and since her husband's own disastrous tenure as President.

Remember, in 2002-2003, Hillary Clinton not only supported the push for war in Iraq, she voted for the invasion. In fact, she was fervent in her support for the war, delivering impassioned speeches on the Senate floor in order to convince members of Congress who might have been on the fence, as well as the general American population and a handful of Democrats and liberals who valued her opinion on the topic that war was the right choice. Indeed, Hillary's speech promoting war in Iraq rivaled only George W. Bush who was campaigning night and day on American television.

## [Hillary stated on the floor of the Senate:](#)

I believe the facts that have brought us to this fateful vote are not in doubt. Saddam Hussein is a tyrant who has tortured and killed his own people, even his own family members, to maintain his iron grip on power. He used chemical weapons on Iraqi Kurds and on Iranians, killing over 20,000 people.<sup>40</sup>

---

<sup>40</sup> Curl, Joseph. "Hillary Clinton Supported Iraq War – Before She Opposed It." Washington Times. May 17, 2015.

<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/may/17/joseph-curl-hillary-clinton-supported-iraq-war-bef/?page=all> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

Clinton then began to detail not only why she believed the United States should begin its invasion, but also insinuated that the operation, if the first Gulf war was anything to go by, would not result in a long drawn out conflict but a war more like the first. Although Clinton did not state this directly, the implication was that it was time to go in and finish the job. It was also insinuated that much of the work was already done.<sup>41</sup>

“In 1991, Saddam Hussein invaded and occupied Kuwait, losing the support of the United States. The first President Bush assembled a global coalition, including many Arab states, and threw Saddam out after 43 days of bombing and a hundred hours of ground operations,” she said.<sup>42</sup>

Clinton stated that, after the first Gulf war, “the United Nations imposed a number of requirements on Iraq, among them disarmament of all weapons of mass destruction, stocks used to make such weapons, and laboratories necessary to do the work.”<sup>43</sup>

She then rushed to point out that international community (“everyone”) knew that Saddam did have weapons of mass destruction. She stated that “The [U.N.] inspectors found and destroyed far more

---

<sup>41</sup> Curl, Joseph. “Hillary Clinton Supported Iraq War – Before She Opposed It.” Washington Times. May 17, 2015.

<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/may/17/joseph-curl-hillary-clinton-supported-iraq-war-bef/?page=all> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

<sup>42</sup> Curl, Joseph. “Hillary Clinton Supported Iraq War – Before She Opposed It.” Washington Times. May 17, 2015.

<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/may/17/joseph-curl-hillary-clinton-supported-iraq-war-bef/?page=all> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

<sup>43</sup> Curl, Joseph. “Hillary Clinton Supported Iraq War – Before She Opposed It.” Washington Times. May 17, 2015.

<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/may/17/joseph-curl-hillary-clinton-supported-iraq-war-bef/?page=all> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

weapons of mass destruction capability than were destroyed in the Gulf War, including thousands of chemical weapons, large volumes of chemical and biological stocks, a number of missiles and warheads, a major lab equipped to produce anthrax and other bioweapons, as well as substantial nuclear facilities.”<sup>44</sup>

As the Washington Times stated, “She expressed support for her husband’s decision in 1998 to push for “regime change,” and ripped the U.N. for putting limits on its inspections. And she went on and on about Saddam’s WMD stockpile, saying he held so many secret sites that “were huge compounds well-suited to hold weapons labs, stocks.”<sup>45</sup>

Clinton then went for broke by declaring that Hussein was on his way to developing a nuclear weapon that he would then use to destroy the Middle East and even the United States. She stated that Saddam, “left unchecked ... will continue to increase his capacity to wage biological and chemical warfare, and will keep trying to develop nuclear weapons. Should he succeed in that endeavor, he could alter the political and security landscape of the Middle East, which as we know all too well affects American security.”<sup>46</sup>

---

<sup>44</sup> Curl, Joseph. “Hillary Clinton Supported Iraq War – Before She Opposed It.” Washington Times. May 17, 2015.

<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/may/17/joseph-curl-hillary-clinton-supported-iraq-war-bef/?page=all> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

<sup>45</sup> Curl, Joseph. “Hillary Clinton Supported Iraq War – Before She Opposed It.” Washington Times. May 17, 2015.

<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/may/17/joseph-curl-hillary-clinton-supported-iraq-war-bef/?page=all> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

<sup>46</sup> Curl, Joseph. “Hillary Clinton Supported Iraq War – Before She Opposed It.” Washington Times. May 17, 2015.

<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/may/17/joseph-curl-hillary-clinton-supported-iraq-war-bef/?page=all> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

Although Clinton now attempts to brush off her treasonous assistance to drum up an illegal and immoral war in Iraq as a mistake, the truth is that anyone with any political judgement knew that the war itself was the mistake. While she also attempts to blame the “faulty intelligence” of the Bush administration, it was Hillary Clinton herself who once referred to the intelligence as “undisputed.”<sup>47</sup>

Clinton was also an [avid supporter](#) of an Afghanistan “surge” that was conducted by Obama during his first year in office. In fact she argued for an even greater surge and suggested that leaving too early “would signal we were abandoning Afghanistan.”<sup>48</sup>

Interestingly enough, [Clinton opposed the Bush surge](#) in Iraq in 2007, voting against the plan during her tenure in the Senate.<sup>49</sup> This vote marks virtually the only Clinton vote that was opposed to greater use of the US military. However, it was later revealed that her opposition vote was nothing more than political grandstanding due to the fact that the Democratic Primaries were right around the corner. In fact, this much was revealed by former [Secretary of Defense Robert M. Gates who wrote](#) that he witnessed Clinton tell Barack Obama that “she had opposed George W. Bush’s last-ditch effort to salvage the Iraq war,

---

<sup>47</sup> Curl, Joseph. “Hillary Clinton Supported Iraq War – Before She Opposed It.” Washington Times. May 17, 2015.

<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/may/17/joseph-curl-hillary-clinton-supported-iraq-war-bef/?page=all> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

<sup>48</sup> Golan-Vilella, Robert. “Hillary Clinton’s Afghanistan Problem.” The National Interest. December 17, 2013. <http://nationalinterest.org/blog/the-buzz/hillary-clintons-afghanistan-problem-9574> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

<sup>49</sup> Ballhaus, Rebecca. “Gates: Clinton’s Comment On Iraq Surge An ‘Anomaly.’” The Wall Street Journal. January 13, 2014.

<http://blogs.wsj.com/washwire/2014/01/13/gates-clintons-comment-on-iraq-surge-an-anamoly/?dsk=y>

Accessed on August 28, 2015.

the 2007 troop “surge,” because the politics of the 2008 Democratic primaries demanded it.”<sup>50</sup>

This cynical political maneuvering reveals two things: 1.) That Clinton truly supported increasing the number of US forces in Iraq and 2.) Clinton was willing to sacrifice the lives of American service men and women, the financial future of the country, and the countless lives of innocent people on the line for even a minor political strategic position.

Still, Clinton was a staunch supporter of the war in Afghanistan, yet another in a long list of Clinton-supported wars based on lies, half-truths, and deceit. After all, despite the numerous facts and inconsistencies disproving the official story of 9/11, U.S. intelligence and military connections to al-Qaeda (which Clinton was well aware of), and the known pre-concert to go to war with Afghanistan prior to 9/11, Clinton was an avid supporter of the authorization to use military force in Afghanistan. Clinton even went so far as to bring in the gender issue – a tactic which she is famous for. [Clinton argued](#) that an invasion would not only be a way to fight terrorism, but it would be a way to improve the lives and condition of women who suffered under Taliban leadership.<sup>51</sup>

When it came time for an even more brazen war campaign based on lies and machinations of the ruling administration and its media mouthpieces, Clinton was on board again. Voting for the 2002 Iraq War

---

<sup>50</sup> Crowley, Michael. “Hillary Clinton’s Unapologetically Hawkish Record Faces 2016 Test.” January 14, 2014. TIME.

<http://swampland.time.com/2014/01/14/hillary-clintons-unapologetically-hawkish-record-faces-2016-test/> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

<sup>51</sup> Clinton, Hillary. “New Hope For Afghanistan’s Women.” TIME. November 24, 2001. <http://content.time.com/time/nation/article/0,8599,185643,00.html> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

Resolution, Clinton vociferously promoted the cause for war and, once that war had taken place, she [argued against leaving “too soon.”](#)<sup>52</sup>

Even on the question of Iran, Clinton has not only pushed the nonsensical line that Iran was developing nuclear weapons and presents a major threat to the world (meaning the United States and Israel) but she also [suggested military action](#) against the Persian nation.<sup>53</sup> [As Steve Chapman for Reason.com writes,](#)

Anyone who thinks the only thing worse than a nuclear-armed Iran is a war with Iran will find no friend in Clinton. Going back to 2007, she has stressed the option of launching airstrikes to keep Tehran from getting the bomb. Like most in her camp, she acts as though a pre-emptive attack would be quick and easy— instead of being the opening round of a war that would not stick to her script any more than Iraq stuck to Bush's.<sup>54</sup>

[Michael Crowley of TIME writes,](#)

Clinton brought a hard-line background to the topic of Iran. In April 2008 she warned that the U.S. could [“totally obliterate”](#)<sup>55</sup>

---

<sup>52</sup> Fitzgerald, Jim. “Hillary Clinton Says Immediate Withdrawal From Iraq Would Be A ‘Big Mistake.’” Associated Press. November 21, 2005. <http://legacy.utsandiego.com/news/world/iraq/20051121-1341-hillaryclinton-iraq.html> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

<sup>53</sup> Glaser, John. “Hillary Clinton, The Democratic Party’s Pro-War, Anti-Civil Liberties Front-Runner.” Antiwar.com. April 29, 2014. <http://antiwar.com/blog/2014/04/29/hillary-clinton-the-democratic-partys-pro-war-anti-civil-liberties-front-runner/> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

<sup>54</sup> Chapman, Steve. “Hillary Clinton, The Unrepentant Hawk.” Reason.com. April 28, 2014. <http://reason.com/archives/2014/04/28/hillary-clinton-the-unrepentant-hawk> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

<sup>55</sup> Morgan, David. “Clinton Says U.S. Could ‘Totally Obliterate’ Iran.” Associated Press. April 22, 2008. <http://www.reuters.com/article/2008/04/22/us-usa-politics-iran-idUSN2224332720080422> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

Iran in retaliation for a nuclear attack on Israel—prompting Obama to chastise her for using “language that’s reflective of George Bush.”

In Obama administration debates about Tehran’s nuclear program, Clinton opposed talk of ‘containment,’ a policy option that plans for a world in which Iran possesses a nuclear weapon. Preparing for containment implies a decision not to use military force to prevent an Iranian bomb in the event that diplomacy fails.<sup>56</sup>

Indeed, Clinton’s statements would (and probably did) make war-obsessed psychopaths like Lindsey Graham gleam with pride. In 2008, [she stated to Good Morning America](#),

I want the Iranians to know that if I'm the president, we will attack Iran (if it attacks Israel).

In the next 10 years, during which they might foolishly consider launching an attack on Israel, we would be able to totally obliterate them.

That's a terrible thing to say but those people who run Iran need to understand that because that perhaps will deter them from doing something that would be reckless, foolish and tragic.<sup>57</sup>

---

<sup>56</sup> Crowley, Michael. “Hillary Clinton’s Unapologetically Hawkish Record Faces 2016 Test.” January 14, 2014. TIME. <http://swampland.time.com/2014/01/14/hillary-clintons-unapologetically-hawkish-record-faces-2016-test/> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

<sup>57</sup> Morgan, David. “Clinton Says U.S. Could ‘Totally Obliterate’ Iran.” Associated Press. April 22, 2008. <http://www.reuters.com/article/2008/04/22/us-usa-politics-iran-idUSN2224332720080422> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

In an interview with Jeffrey Goldberg of The Atlantic, [she stated brashly](#),

I've always been in the camp that held that they did not have a right to enrichment. Contrary to their claim, there is no such thing as a right to enrich. This is absolutely unfounded. There is no such right. I am well aware that I am not at the negotiating table anymore, but I think it's important to send a signal to everybody who is there that there cannot be a deal unless there is a clear set of restrictions on Iran. The preference would be no enrichment. The potential fallback position would be such little enrichment that they could not break out."<sup>58</sup>

Of course, [there is a right to enrich](#).<sup>59</sup> There is a right to enrich up to the levels that would indeed allow for the capability to create a nuclear weapon but stopping short of actually doing so. In other words, since Iran is a signatory to the Nuclear Nonproliferation Treaty, it is entitled all avenues of nuclear technology for peaceful purposes, including uranium enrichment.

Clinton's warmongering does not end with the Middle East, however. Although not (at least officially) a policy maker herself during her husband's administration, it is no secret that Hillary was fully

---

<sup>58</sup> Goldberg, Jeffrey. "Hillary Clinton: 'Failure' To Help Syrian Rebels Led To The Rise Of ISIS." The Atlantic. August 10, 2014.

<http://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2014/08/hillary-clinton-failure-to-help-syrian-rebels-led-to-the-rise-of-isis/375832/> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

<sup>59</sup> Sahimi, Muhammad. "Iran Has A Right To Enrich – And America Already Recognized It." The National Interest. November 19, 2013.

<http://nationalinterest.org/commentary/iran-has-right-enrich%E2%80%94-america-already-recognized-it-9425> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

supportive of both Bill Clinton’s tragic bombing of Iraq as well as his [war in Yugoslavia](#) and [Kosovo](#).<sup>60 61</sup>

As Gary Leupp wrote in his article “[The Warmongering Rhetoric of Hillary Clinton](#)” published by Counterpunch in February, 2015,

**\*She has always been a warmonger.** As First Lady from January 1993, she encouraged her husband Bill and his secretary of state Madeleine Albright to attack Serbian forces in the disintegrating Yugoslavia—in Bosnia in 1994 and Serbia in 1999. She’s stated that in 1999 she phoned her husband from Africa. “I urged him to bomb,” she boasts. These Serbs were (as usual) forces that did not threaten the U.S. in any way. The complex conflicts and tussles over territory between ethnic groups in the Balkans, and the collapse of the Russian economy following the dissolution of the Soviet Union, gave Bill Clinton an excuse to posture as the world’s savior and to use NATO to impose order. Only the United States, he asserted, could restore order in Yugoslavia, which had been a proudly neutral country outside NATO and the Warsaw Pact throughout the Cold War. President Clinton and Albright also claimed that only NATO—designed in 1949 to counter a supposed Soviet threat to Western Europe, but never yet deployed in battle—should deal with the Balkan crises.

---

<sup>60</sup> Scahill, Jeremy. “The Real Story Behind Kosovo’s Independence.” Altnet. February 22, 2008. [http://www.altnet.org/story/77546/the\\_real\\_story\\_behind\\_kosovo's\\_independence](http://www.altnet.org/story/77546/the_real_story_behind_kosovo's_independence) Accessed on August 28, 2015.

<sup>61</sup> Leupp, Gary. “The Warmongering Record Of Hillary Clinton.” CounterPunch. February 11, 2015. <http://www.counterpunch.org/2015/02/11/the-warmongering-record-of-hillary-clinton/> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

The Bosnian intervention resulted in the imposition of the “Dayton Accord” on the parties involved and the creation of the dysfunctional state of Bosnia-Herzegovina. The Kosovo intervention five years later (justified by the scaremongering, subsequently disproven reports of a Serbian genocidal campaign against Kosovars) involved the NATO bombing of Belgrade and resulted in the dismemberment of Serbia. Kosovo, now recognized by the U.S. and many of its allies as an independent state, is the center of Europe’s heroin trafficking and the host of the U.S.’s largest army base abroad. The Kosovo war, lacking UN support and following Albright’s outrageous demand for Serbian acquiescence—designed, as she gleefully conceded, “to set the bar too high” for Belgrade and Moscow’s acceptance—of NATO occupation of all of Serbia, was an extraordinary provocation to Serbia’s traditional ally Russia. “They need some bombing, and that’s what they are going to get,” Albright said at the time, as NATO prepared to bomb a European capital for the first time since 1945.<sup>62</sup>

Leupp also wrote about Clinton’s support for the horrific sanctions that resulted in the deaths of untold numbers of innocent Iraqis during her husband’s tenure as President. He wrote,

Initially applied to force Iraqi forces out of Kuwait, the sanctions were sustained at U.S. insistence (and over the protests of other Security Council members) up to and even beyond the U.S. invasion in 2003. Bill Clinton demanded their continuance, insisting that Saddam Hussein’s (non-existent) secret WMD programs justified them. In 1996, three years into the Clinton

---

<sup>62</sup> Leupp, Gary. “The Warmongering Record Of Hillary Clinton.” CounterPunch. February 11, 2015. <http://www.counterpunch.org/2015/02/11/the-warmongering-record-of-hillary-clinton/> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

presidency, Albright was asked whether the death of half a million Iraq children as a result of the sanctions was justified, and famously replied in a television interview, “We think it was worth it.” Surely Hillary agreed with her friend and predecessor as the first woman secretary of state. She also endorsed the 1998 “Operation Desert Fox” (based on lies, most notably the charge that Iraq had expelled UN inspectors) designed to further destroy Iraq’s military infrastructure and make future attacks even easier.<sup>63</sup>

Webster Griffin Tarpley sums up Hillary’s war record succinctly in his article [“Hillary Clinton: The International Neocon Warmonger,”](#) when he writes,

*As the National Journal reported in 2014, even the pathetically weak anti-war left is not ready to reconcile with Hillary given her warmongering as Secretary of State. And with good reason. Scratching just lightly beneath the surface of Hillary Clinton’s career reveals the empirical evidence of her historic support for aggressive interventions around the globe.*

Beginning with Africa, Hillary defended the 1998 cruise missile strike on the El Shifa pharmaceutical plant in the Sudanese capital of Khartoum, destroying the largest producer of cheap medications for treating malaria and tuberculosis and provided over 60% of available medicine in Sudan. In 2006 she supported sending United Nations troops to Darfur with logistical and technical support provided by NATO forces. Libyan leader Moammar Qaddafi was outspoken in his condemnation of this

---

<sup>63</sup> Leupp, Gary. “The Warmongering Record Of Hillary Clinton.” CounterPunch. February 11, 2015. <http://www.counterpunch.org/2015/02/11/the-warmongering-record-of-hillary-clinton/> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

intervention, claiming it was not committed out of concern for Sudanese people but “...for oil and for the return of colonialism to the African continent.”

This is the same leader who was murdered in the aftermath of the 2011 NATO bombing of Libya; an attack promoted and facilitated with the eager support of Mrs. Clinton. In an infamous CBS news interview, said regarding this international crime: “We came, we saw, he died.” As Time magazine pointed out in 2011, the administration understood removing Qaddafi from power would allow the terrorist cells active in Libya to run rampant in the vacuum left behind. Just last month the New York Times reported that Libya has indeed become a terrorist safe haven and failed state— conducive for exporting radicals through “ratlines” to the conflict against Assad in Syria.

Hillary made prompt use of the ratlines for conflicts in the Middle East. In the summer of 2012, Clinton privately worked with then CIA director and subversive bonapartist David Petraeus on a proposal for providing arms and training to death squads to be used to topple Syria just as in Libya. This proposal was ultimately struck down by Obama, reported the New York Times in 2013, but constituted one of the earliest attempts at open military support for the Syrian death squads.

Her voting record on intervening in Afghanistan and Iraq is well known and she also has consistently called for attacking Iran. She even told Fareed Zakaria the State Department was involved “behind the scenes” in Iran’s failed 2009 Green Revolution. More recently in Foreign Policy magazine David Rothkopf wrote on the subject of the Lausanne nuclear accord, predicting a “snap-back” in policy by the winner of the 2016

election to the foreign policy in place since the 1980s. The title of this article? “Hillary Clinton is the Real Iran Snap-Back.” This makes Hillary the prime suspect for a return to the madcap Iranian policies that routinely threaten the world with a World War 3 scenario.

Hillary Clinton is not only actively aggressing against Africa and the Middle East. She was one of the loudest proponents against her husband’s hesitancy over the bombing of Kosovo, telling Lucina Frank: “I urged him to bomb,” even if it was a unilateral action.

While no Clinton spokesperson responded to a request by the Washington Free Beacon regarding her stance on Ukraine, in paid speeches she mentioned “putting more financial support into the Ukrainian government”. When Crimea decided to choose the Russian Federation over Poroshenko’s proto-fascist rump state, Hillary anachronistically called President Putin’s actions like “what Hitler did in the ‘30s.” As a leader of the bumbled “reset” policy towards Russia, Hillary undoubtedly harbors some animus against Putin and will continue the destabilization project ongoing in Ukraine.

Not content with engaging in debacles in Eastern Europe, she has vocally argued for a more aggressive response to what she called the “rollback of democratic development and economic openness in parts of Latin America.” This indicates her willingness to allow the continuation of CIA sponsored efforts at South American destabilization in the countries of Venezuela, Bolivia, Ecuador, Argentina and Brazil.

It is one of the proud prerogatives of the Tax Wall Street Party to push out into the light the Wall Street and foundation-funded

Democrats. The final blow to Hillary's clumsy façade comes directly from arch-neocon Robert Kagan. Kagan worked as a foreign policy advisor to Hillary along with his wife, Ukraine madwoman Victoria Nuland, during Hillary's term as Secretary of State. He claimed in the New York Times that his view of American foreign policy is best represented in the "mainstream" by the foreign policy of Hillary Clinton; a foreign policy he obviously manipulated or outright crafted. Kagan stated: "If she pursues a policy which we think she will pursue...it's something that might have been called neocon, but clearly her supporters are not going to call it that; they are going to call it something else." What further reason could any sane person need to refute Hillary? A vote for Hillary is a vote for the irrational return to war.<sup>64</sup>

Indeed, her support for war and military confrontation is perhaps the only thing Hillary Clinton is honest about.

---

<sup>64</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. "Hillary Clinton: The International Neocon Warmonger." Voltaire Net. April 13, 2015.  
<http://www.voltairenet.org/article187315.html> Accessed on August 28, 2015.

# Hillary Clinton VS Bashar al-Assad

As part of her campaign against the secular Syrian government and toward the destruction of Syria as a nation, Clinton has maintained the position – both as Secretary of State and as Presidential candidate – that “[Assad must go](#).”<sup>65</sup> Like her role in the absurd propaganda push to overthrow and murder Muammar Ghaddafi, Clinton has been the purveyor of similar outrageous lies levied against both the Assad government and Assad himself.

Despite [admitting early on](#) that Assad was seen as a reformer after coming to power in Syria, Clinton nonetheless helped [lead the charge](#) in the campaign for his ouster.<sup>66 67</sup> Consider her subsequent statements as she helped drum up support for ISIS and al-Qaeda as well as the US military invasion of Syria. According to Hillary, “The Reformer must go!”

On June 30, 2012, [she stated](#) that,

---

<sup>65</sup> “Introduction and Notes.” Whatever It Takes. October 14, 2014. <http://www.whatevertakeshillary.org/2014/06/introduction-and-notes.html> Accessed on August 30, 2015.

<sup>66</sup> Kessler, Glenn. “Hillary Clinton’s Uncredible Statement On Syria.” The Washington Post. April 14, 2011. [http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/fact-checker/post/hillary-clintons-uncredible-statement-on-syria/2011/04/01/AFWPEYaC\\_blog.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/fact-checker/post/hillary-clintons-uncredible-statement-on-syria/2011/04/01/AFWPEYaC_blog.html) Accessed on August 30, 2015.

<sup>67</sup> Cavanaugh, Kate. “Hillary Clinton On Syria: Not Exactly Consistent.” The Global Post. July 18, 2012. <http://www.globalpost.com/dispatch/news/regions/middle-east/syria/120718/hillary-clinton-quotes-syria> Accessed on August 30, 2015.

We are dealing with not only a murderous regime in a combustible region, but the potential for that region to be gravely affected by the continuance of this violence. But the stakes of inaction by the international community are just too high. If Syria spirals further into civil war, not only will more civilians die, not only will more refugees stream across the borders, but instability will most certainly spill into neighboring states.<sup>68</sup>

On July 17 of the same year, [she stated](#) "I can't put a definite hour and minute on it, but the [Assad regime is not going to survive](#). I just wish it would end sooner instead of later...That would be the best signal we could send to Assad that his days are numbered."<sup>69</sup>

Yet Clinton did not stop with calls to "step down." She continued her absurd and patently stupid commentary aimed at propagandizing the American public into believing that Assad was "Syria's Butcher," a "brutal dictator," or some type of sectarian fascist.

For instance, during the hysteria orchestrated by Western governments and their media mouthpieces regarding the infamous "Hama Massacre," Hillary stated that "The regime sponsored violence that we witnessed again in Hama yesterday is simply [unconscionable](#). Assad has doubled down on his brutality and duplicity."<sup>70</sup>

---

<sup>68</sup> "Hillary Clinton 'Post Assad Unity Government Endorsed By International Community.' 6-30-12." Youtube. Posted by Souria Archives – Syria Archives #4. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=EgarkVs2izI> Accessed on August 30, 2015. Clinton gave the speech in which she made the cited remarks on June 30, 2012 following the meeting of the Action Group on Syria in Geneva, Switzerland.

<sup>69</sup> "Egypt: Clinton's Interview On Egypt Trip With Elise Labott Of CNN." All Africa. July 17, 2012. <http://allafrica.com/stories/201207171184.html> Accessed on August 30, 2015.

<sup>70</sup> "Hillary Clinton: Syria Violence 'Unconscionable.'" BBC. June 7, 2012. <http://www.bbc.com/news/world-18350018> Accessed on August 30, 2015.

Of course, as informed observers reported long before any mainstream outlets, the “Hama Massacre” was nothing more than [a propaganda scam](#) perpetrated on Western audiences by NATO governments and the proxy Syrian National Council.<sup>71</sup> As it turned out, the “massacre” was [actually a battle](#) between government forces and Western-backed jihadists, not a massacre of innocent civilians.<sup>72</sup> It was not a heartless slaughter, it was a battle. Western media outlets simply relied on reports by the SNC in the typical “activists say” vein of journalism with no facts to back them up. “Activists” can rightly be translated to mean “death squad fighters” and “terrorists.” The alleged “massacre” merely one in a long line of manufactured “massacres” and “[chemical weapons attacks](#)” used by the West and promoted by Hillary Clinton that were later (or soon after) proven to be false.<sup>73</sup> See [here](#)<sup>74</sup> [here](#)<sup>75</sup> [here](#) and [here](#).<sup>76</sup>

---

<sup>71</sup> Nimmo, Kurt. “Prelude To The Destruction Of Syria: Another Fake Massacre.” Infowars.com. July 16, 2012. <http://www.infowars.com/prelude-to-the-destruction-of-syria-another-fake-massacre/> Accessed on August 30, 2015.

<sup>72</sup> MacFarquhar, Neil. “Details Of A Battle Challenge Reports Of A Syrian Massacre.” New York Times. July 14, 2012. [http://www.nytimes.com/2012/07/15/world/middleeast/details-of-a-battle-challenge-reports-of-a-syrian-massacre.html?\\_r=0](http://www.nytimes.com/2012/07/15/world/middleeast/details-of-a-battle-challenge-reports-of-a-syrian-massacre.html?_r=0) Accessed on August 30, 2015.

<sup>73</sup> Black, Ian; Weaver, Matthew. “Hillary Clinton Warns Syria Over Chemical Weapons.” The Guardian. December 6, 2012. <http://www.theguardian.com/world/2012/dec/06/hillary-clinton-syria-chemical-weapons> Accessed on August 30, 2015.

<sup>74</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. “Syria Chemical Weapons Victims Were Staged Using Kidnapped Hostages: Report.” Activist Post. September 25, 2013. <http://www.activistpost.com/2013/09/syria-chemical-weapons-victims-were.html> Accessed on August 30, 2015.

<sup>75</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. “New Chemical Weapons Attack In Syria Another False Flag?” Activist Post. August 21, 2013. <http://www.activistpost.com/2013/08/new-chemical-weapons-attack-in-syria.html> Accessed on August 30, 2015.

Clinton has [repeatedly argued](#) for increased assistance to the “Syrian rebels,” finding herself even more to the side of war-mongering than Obama, the head of the administration that has seen the bulk of the training, arming, deploying, and directing of jihadist death squads across Syria.<sup>77</sup> Of course, there has never been such a thing as a “moderate rebel” in Syria. The so-called rebels are nothing more than [foreign-backed extremists](#) raping, beheading, and cannibalizing their way across the Middle East with impunity.<sup>78</sup> That is [what they are today](#) and that is [what they have been](#) since the beginning of the Syrian crisis.<sup>79 80</sup>

As mentioned earlier, Clinton was well aware of the jihadist nature of the so-called “rebels” in Syria. However, as in Libya, Clinton did much more than simply argue for the arming and training of these terrorists. She assisted in arming and training them through her policies as Secretary of State. While Clinton continually states that she argued for arming the “rebels” in Syria but was overruled by Barack Obama, the

---

<sup>76</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. “Assad Chemical Weapons ‘Violation’ A Propaganda Campaign Against Syria.” *Activist Post*. May 11, 2015. <http://www.activistpost.com/2015/05/assad-chemical-weapons-violation.html> Accessed on August 30, 2015.

<sup>77</sup> Goldberg, Jeffrey. “Hillary Clinton: ‘Failure’ To Help Syrian Rebels Lead To The Rise Of ISIS.” *The Atlantic*. August 10, 2014. <http://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2014/08/hillary-clinton-failure-to-help-syrian-rebels-led-to-the-rise-of-isis/375832/> Accessed on August 30, 2015.

<sup>78</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. “The Roots of ISIS.” *Activist Post*. September 11, 2014. <http://www.activistpost.com/2014/09/the-roots-of-isis.html> Accessed on August 30, 2015.

<sup>79</sup> Hubbard, Ben. “Islamist Rebels Create Dilemma On Syria Policy.” *New York Times*. April 27, 2013. <http://www.activistpost.com/2014/09/the-roots-of-isis.html> Accessed on August 30, 2015.

<sup>80</sup> Cartalucci, Tony. “In Syria, There Are No Moderates.” *Land Destroyer*. September 20, 2013. <http://landdestroyer.blogspot.com/2013/09/in-syria-there-are-no-moderates.html> Accessed on August 30, 2015.

truth is that the State Department was already heavily involved in arming these terrorists.

State Department official Robert Ford, ambassador to Syria, for instance, was a well-known death squad organizer on the ground [as far back as 2009](#), the same year Hillary was appointed to her position as Secretary of State.<sup>81</sup> The policy of organizing, arming, training, and directing terrorists in Syria was a policy of the State Department when Hillary took over the position, during her tenure, and after she left office.

It should also be remembered that, in the massive propaganda attempt by the United States to justify American airstrikes against the Syrian government under the justification of “chemical weapons attacks” which were later demonstrated to have been conducted by the Western-backed terrorists, Hillary Clinton was an absolute cheerleader of the plan.

“The Assad regime’s inhuman use of weapons of mass destruction against innocent men, women and children violates a universal norm at the heart of our global order, and therefore it demands a strong response from the international community, led by the United States,” [she said](#).<sup>82</sup>

---

<sup>81</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. “Syria Under Attack By Globalist Death Squad Experts.” Activist Post. May 27, 2012. <http://www.activistpost.com/2012/05/syria-under-attack-by-globalist-death.html> Accessed on August 30, 2015.

<sup>82</sup> Rucker, Phillip. “Hillary Clinton Backs Obama On Syria Strikes.” Washington Post. September 9, 2013. [http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/hillary-clinton-backs-obama-on-syria-strikes/2013/09/09/f697ecc6-196f-11e3-a628-7e6dde8f889d\\_story.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/hillary-clinton-backs-obama-on-syria-strikes/2013/09/09/f697ecc6-196f-11e3-a628-7e6dde8f889d_story.html) Accessed on August 30, 2015.

Hillary [would later go on to claim](#) that failure to bomb Syria [resulted in the creation of ISIS](#).<sup>83</sup> <sup>84</sup>Of course, for a somnambulant population gorging itself on television may be convinced, but to anyone with even a shred of knowledge of the Syrian crisis, her claims are absolutely absurd.

---

<sup>83</sup> Fatemi, Fariborz S. "Dear Hillary Clinton: When Did Not Bombing Syria Create ISIS?" Juan Cole. August 21, 2014. <http://www.juancole.com/2014/08/hillary-clinton-bombing.html> Accessed on August 30, 2015.

<sup>84</sup> Goldberg, Jeffrey. "Hillary Clinton: 'Failure' To Help Syrian Rebels Lead To The Rise Of ISIS." The Atlantic. August 10, 2014. <http://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2014/08/hillary-clinton-failure-to-help-syrian-rebels-led-to-the-rise-of-isis/375832/> Accessed on August 30, 2015.

# Hillary Clinton, The Fake Bin Laden Killing, And The Fake Photos That Accompanied It

Without a detailed study of the nature of the alleged killing of Bin Laden, suffice it to say that there is overwhelming and credible evidence suggesting that the entire affair was designed to drum up support for a faltering administration, the war on terror, and the phony narrative of the al-Qaeda boogeyman.

The most damning nail in the coffin of the killing of bin Laden official story is that there is quite a bit of evidence that Bin Laden was dead long before the alleged killing took place. Indeed, evidence suggests that bin Laden was dead shortly after the attacks on 9/11.<sup>85</sup> This is, of course, in addition to the fact that Bin Laden was a known CIA asset in the late 1970s as part of the Mujhadeen forces organized by Western intelligence to draw the Soviet Union into a disastrous war in Afghanistan.<sup>86</sup>

Still, the narrative that Bin Laden was killed by the Obama administration was used to make Obama supporters feel superior

---

<sup>85</sup> Griffin, David Ray. *Osama Bin Laden: Dead Or Alive?* Olive Branch Press. 2009.

<sup>86</sup> Burke, Jason. "Frankenstein The CIA Created." *The Guardian*. January 17, 1999. <http://www.theguardian.com/world/1999/jan/17/yemen.islam>  
Accessed on August 31, 2015.

to their false dichotomy opponents and bolster the support of the Obama administration at a time when cracks were beginning to show in the administration's veneer. The stage was set in Pakistan and the official word was that Bin Laden was killed, although his body was dumped into the middle of the ocean in accordance with Muslim burial tradition.

Of course, aside from the evidence that Bin Laden was already dead long ago, one would assume that, having killed the most wanted terrorist in the world and one around which so many "conspiracy theories" circulate, the goal would have been to save the body, test the DNA, and do everything humanly possible to confirm the identity of the victim. Instead, there were grainy photos and the announcement that his body had been dumped into the ocean, despite the fact that the Muslim tradition of burial at sea only applies to individuals who had been killed at sea. The world public was then forced to simply trust the Obama administration to have told them the truth. Lastly, it should be pointed out that, out of the millions of Muslims killed by the West in the Middle East, few if any have ever received a proper burial by the Western forces.

In addition, many of the members of SEAL Team 6, the Navy Special Forces team that was involved in the Bin Laden raid, [were killed in a helicopter crash in Afghanistan](#), thus eliminating many of the voices that could have corroborated or discredited the alleged operation in the future.<sup>87</sup>

---

<sup>87</sup> Berger, Judson. "House Panel Probing Chopper Crash That Killed SEAL Team 6 Members." FOX News. July 25, 2013.

Hillary Clinton's role in the operation is shrouded in political grandstanding. According to her book, *Hard Choices*, much of which centered around the Bin Laden killing, Clinton bragged about being one of the masterminds of the plan to assassinate the phantom Bin Laden. Her actual role may have been much less significant but, given the fact that the act of propaganda was so well-received by the American voting public, Clinton has aggressively attached herself to it. Consider how she was reported in the press prior to the release of her book. [The Washington Post writes](#),

Through weeks of sometimes heated White House debate in 2011, Clinton was alone among the president's topmost cabinet officers to back it. Vice President Biden, a potential political rival for Clinton in 2016, opposed it. So did then-Defense Secretary Robert M. Gates.

"What she looks like is someone decisive, smart and risk-taking in the right way," said Robert Shrum, a top strategist on the presidential campaigns of Al Gore and John F. Kerry. "This wasn't about risking thousands or tens of thousands of American troops. This was about taking a risk that if it failed would hurt her and the president, but it's the kind of thing you do if you are president or if you are secretary of state."

Privately, Republican strategists questioned whether Clinton is taking more credit than she deserves for the

---

<http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2013/07/25/house-panel-probing-chopper-crash-that-killed-seal-team-6-members/> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

successful raid. But even America Rising, the leading Republican super PAC attacking Clinton in the run-up to 2016, stopped short of publicly criticizing her role in the bin Laden operation.<sup>88</sup>

Yet much of Clinton's involvement in the affair was urban legend. For instance, the famous photograph of a solemn Hillary sitting in the Situation Room along with Obama, Biden, and other members of the White House Security team was one which was repeatedly used by Clinton for political gain. The photo showed Hillary with her hand clasped over her mouth, appearing anxious, as if she were watching some horrible or important event.

In true Hillary fashion, however, [her recall shifted](#) depending on the day and the audience being addressed.<sup>89</sup> For instance, early on, when American bloodlust was at its height, she attempted to make herself look stronger by insisting that she was not steeling herself for the horror she was watching, but merely [covering up a cough](#). "I am somewhat sheepishly concerned that it was my preventing one of my early spring allergic coughs. So, it may have no great meaning whatsoever," she said.<sup>90</sup>

---

<sup>88</sup> Gearan, Anne; Rucker, Philip. "Bin Laden Raid Expected To Be A Centerpiece Of Hillary Clinton's Memoir." The Washington Post. June 2, 2014. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/bin-laden-raid-expected-to-be-centerpiece-of-hi> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

<sup>89</sup> Coscarelli, Joe. "Hillary Clinton Flip-Flopping On Bin Laden Raid 'Cough.'" New York Magazine. June 20, 2014. <http://nymag.com/daily/intelligencer/2014/06/hillary-clinton-bin-laden-raid-cough-or-gasp.html> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

<sup>90</sup> Hall, Carrie. "Video: Clear Communications In Family Business Lead To Effective Governance." Forbes. July 20, 2015.

Later, when her campaign staff wanted to present [a kinder more caring](#) Clinton, she said "We watched it all in real time, the helicopters that landed in the courtyard of Osama's compound, our SEALs that attacked the building, the helicopter's tail that hit the wall, but succeeded in touching down regardless and I closed my mouth to keep my heart from coming out of my throat."<sup>91</sup>

Of course, there are some problems with the second statement because, according to CIA Director Leon Panetta, there was no way that the individuals photographed in the Situation Room could have witnessed the attack live because the feed had been cut about 25 minutes or so before the SEALs even entered the building. [In an interview with PBS](#), Panetta stated, "Once those teams went into the compound I can tell you that there was a time period of almost 20 or 25 minutes where we really didn't know just exactly what was going on. And there were some very tense moments as we were waiting for information."<sup>92</sup>

While Panetta certainly did not intend to break with the rest of the Obama administration in their official narrative (which had been constructed carefully not to say that these "leaders" were

---

<http://www.forbes.com/sites/ey/2015/07/20/video-clear-communications-in-family-business-lead-to-effective-governance/> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

<sup>91</sup> Horowitz, Jason. "Clinton's Cough Was Really A Gasp." The Caucus. June 20, 2014. [http://thecaucus.blogs.nytimes.com/2014/06/20/clintons-gasp-was-really-a-cough/?\\_r=1](http://thecaucus.blogs.nytimes.com/2014/06/20/clintons-gasp-was-really-a-cough/?_r=1) Accessed on August 31, 2015.

<sup>92</sup> Swinford, Steven. "Osama Bin Laden Dead: Blackout During Raid On Bin Laden Compound." The Telegraph. <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/al-qaeda/8493391/Osama-bin-Laden-dead-Blac> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

watching the Bin Laden execution in real time but instead strongly implying it), the fact is the photos were entirely staged.

# Hillary Clinton: Fake As A Wedding Cake

Hillary Clinton has long been known as a chameleon. Clinton, with the exception of some of her more onerous policies – gun control, war, banker affiliation etc. – is willing to have one position on Monday and another one Monday afternoon on wedge issues and those discussions which are seen largely as belonging to a specific group of people. Thus, that position changes depending on what audience she is catering to. Clinton’s personality, tone, and presentation change with the audience.

While this is not surprising given that Clinton is a politician, what is surprising is that Clinton’s epic fails in her attempts to be portrayed as “just like the common man (or woman)” have not earned her more ridicule. Indeed, it is surprising that Hillary at her most phony is still able to fool the members of the audience watching her speeches and her poor attempts at mimicking the behavior, cadence, and colloquialisms of the lower classes.

Consider one of the most hilarious incidents of Hillary’s mimicking fails, a campaign trip to Kentucky where she attempted to pick up a southern accent mixed with black vernacular and colloquial speech, conveniently for an audience that was both southern and black. Clinton’s rendition of both of these styles was so terrible it should have had everyone in the room cringe. Clinton sounded neither black nor southern as [she slashed and shrieked](#)

[her way through a quote](#) of James Cleveland’s “I Don’t Feel Noways Tired.”<sup>93</sup>

Clinton’s horrible southern accent [improved somewhat](#) for her trip to South Carolina, where she was [able to make jokes about coloring her hair](#) to an audience supporting her campaign.<sup>94 95</sup> This time her target was the stereotypical “southern woman” since the campaign event was taking place in Columbia, South Carolina. Improving from the Kentucky speech, however, is not to give credit for being good. It was an atrocious outing and should have been insulting to anyone in the audience. “I’m aware I may not be the youngest candidate in this race, but I have one advantage: I’ve been coloring my hair for years. They are not going to see me turn white in the White House — and you’re also not going to see me shrink from a fight,” she said.<sup>96</sup>

---

<sup>93</sup> Hillary Clinton in Selma, Alabama, March 4, 2007. “Hillary’s Negro Dialect.” Youtube. Posted by SGT Hartsock.  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bWRoEpYuHwI> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

<sup>94</sup> Hillary Clinton campaign event on May 27, 2015. Broadcast on CSPAN. “Clinton Breaks Into Southern Accent At First Southern Campaign Event.” Youtube. Posted by Tike Tyson.  
[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4\\_kr9\\_xBssl](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4_kr9_xBssl) Accessed on August 31, 2015.

<sup>95</sup> “Hillary Campaigns On Her Hair Color.” Youtube. ReidBaerPoetry.  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aH4Bh696sRU> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

<sup>96</sup> Miller, S.A. “Hillary Clinton Jokes About Her Age, Hair Color.” Washington Times. May 27, 2015.  
<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/may/27/hillary-clinton-jokes-about-her-age-hair-color/?page=all> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

Never to take second place to anyone when it comes to the question of qualifications, Hillary was [apt to point out that](#), since all of her grandparents were immigrants, she was the most qualified to speak on the question of illegal immigration in 2015.<sup>97</sup> The problem, however, was that her claims were easily debunked as only [one of her grandparents](#) was a documented (meaning confirmed) immigrant.<sup>98</sup>

Clinton's attempts at mimicking the accents, physical characteristics, and social positions of the audiences to which she speaks falls right into line with her psychopathic nature. After all, psychopaths are [excellent mimickers](#) of human behavior.<sup>99</sup> Despite the fact that rational people who think critically would be able to see through Clinton's gaffs, the truth is that such stupidity more often than not resonates with the average person. The members of Clinton's audiences, as one can see from the videos, simply ate her performance up.

Whenever one discusses the myriad of falsehoods told by Hillary, it would be difficult to forget to mention [the famous](#)

---

<sup>97</sup> Mirengoff, Paul. "Hillary Clinton, An Inauthenticity You Just Can't Fake." Power Line. April 16, 2015. <http://www.powerlineblog.com/archives/2015/04/hillary-clinton-an-inauthenticity-you-just-cant-fake.php> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

<sup>98</sup> Kaczynski, Andrew. "Hillary Clinton Wrong On Family's Immigration History, Records Show." Buzz Feed. April 15, 2015. <http://www.buzzfeed.com/andrewkaczynski/hillary-clinton-wrong-on-familys-immigration-history-records#.ampVzRX1B> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

<sup>99</sup> Bonn, Scott A. "How To Tell A Psychopath From A Sociopath." Psychology Today. January 22, 2014. <https://www.psychologytoday.com/blog/wicked-deeds/201401/how-tell-sociopath-psychopath> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

[Bosnia Sniper story](#) repeated numerous times during interviews and campaign speeches.<sup>100</sup>

This now famous “sniper” incident took place when Clinton was campaigning in the Democratic primary against Barack Obama and was attempting to portray herself as more experienced in foreign policy than he. She then relied on a 1996 trip to Bosnia to demonstrate her experience and bravery. Her statements generally followed the same line. For instance, on March 17, 2008, she stated, "I remember landing under sniper fire. There was supposed to be some kind of greeting ceremony at the airport, but instead we just ran with our heads down to get into the vehicles to get to our base."

She also told CNN around the same time, "There was no greeting ceremony and we were basically told to run to our cars. Now that is what happened."

Of course, the story was a complete fabrication. Details of the event as well as video revealed there were never any snipers. In fact, the video showed Clinton and her daughter Chelsea walking casually from the plane to a greeting ceremony where a young girl received them. There were no signs of tension or danger to be found.

---

<sup>100</sup> Mason, Jeff. "Hillary Clinton Calls Bosnia Sniper Story A Mistake." Reuters. March 25, 2008. <http://www.reuters.com/article/2008/03/26/us-usa-politics-clinton-idUSN2540811420080326> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

When Clinton was called out on her obvious lie, she simply responded that she “mis-remembered” and had a “different memory” of the events. In other words, she lied.

# Hillary Clinton: Getting A Pedophile Off The Hook And Bragging About It

When Hillary Clinton was just beginning her career as an attorney in Fayetteville, Arkansas, she received a request to represent a 41-year old man by the name of Thomas Alfred Taylor who had been accused of raping a 12 year-old girl whom he had allegedly lured into his car.

Clinton accepted the case, represented Taylor, and succeeded in securing a plea to a much lesser charge. Years later, Clinton was taped discussing the case (sometime between 1983-1987) and the audio contained in these tapes shocked even those who may have already maintained a hatred for a politician who may be the most openly psychopathic “leader” since Dick Cheney.

The audio tapes - [obtained and released by the Washington Free Beacon](#) revealed that, not only did Clinton use a number of technicalities and devious tricks to essentially free Taylor, she did so knowing full well that he was guilty the whole time. In fact, Clinton even went so far as to slander the victim of

the case in her effort to get Taylor a lesser charge than the 30 years he was originally facing.<sup>101102</sup>

These revelations came out as far back as 2014 yet Clinton continues to paint herself as a tireless advocate for women and children ever since she began her legal career.

Clinton's comments on the rape trial were taken down during the course of unpublished interviews with Bill and Hillary conducted by reporter Roy Reed in the 1980's while Bill was governor of Arkansas.

[Alana Goodman of the Washington Free Beacon sums up the details](#) of the case as follows, along with pertinent quotes from Clinton herself. Goodman writes,

Twenty-seven-year-old Hillary Rodham had just moved to Fayetteville, and was running the University of Arkansas' newly-formed legal aid clinic, when she received a call from prosecutor Mahlon Gibson.

"The prosecutor called me a few years ago, he said he had a guy who had been accused of rape, and the guy wanted a woman lawyer," said Clinton in the interview. "Would I do it as a favor for him?"

---

<sup>101</sup> Goodman, Alana. "The Hillary Tapes." Washington Free Beacon. June 15, 2014. <http://freebeacon.com/politics/the-hillary-tapes/> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

<sup>102</sup> "AUDIO: Hillary Clinton Speaks Of Defense Of Child Rapist In Newly Unearthed Tapes." Washington Free Beacon. June 15, 2014. <http://freebeacon.com/politics/audio-hillary-clinton-speaks-of-defense-of-child-rapist-in-newly-unearthed-tapes/> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

The case was not easy. In the early hours of May 10, 1975, the Springdale, Arkansas police department received a call from a nearby hospital. It was treating a 12-year-old girl who said she had been raped.

The suspect was identified as Thomas Alfred Taylor, a 41-year-old factory worker and friend of the girl's family.

And though the former first lady mentioned the ethical difficulties of the case in *Living History*, her written account some three decades later is short on details and has a far different tone than the tapes.

"It was a fascinating case, it was a very interesting case," Clinton says in the recording. "This guy was accused of raping a 12-year-old. Course he claimed that he didn't, and all this stuff" ([LISTEN HERE](#)).<sup>103</sup>

Describing the events almost a decade after they had occurred, Clinton's struck a casual and complacent attitude toward her client and the trial for rape of a minor.

"I had him take a polygraph, which he passed – which forever destroyed my faith in polygraphs," she added with a laugh.

---

<sup>103</sup> "AUDIO: Hillary Clinton Speaks Of Defense Of Child Rapist In Newly Unearthed Tapes." Washington Free Beacon. June 15, 2014. <http://freebeacon.com/politics/audio-hillary-clinton-speaks-of-defense-of-child-rapist-in-newly-unearthed-tapes/> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

Clinton can also be heard laughing at several points when discussing the crime lab's accidental destruction of DNA evidence that tied Taylor to the crime.

.....

Public records provide few details of what happened on the night in question. The Washington County Sheriff's Office, which investigated the case after the Springdale Police Department handled the initial arrest, said it was unable to provide an incident report since many records from that time were not maintained and others were destroyed in a flood.

A lengthy yet largely overlooked 2008 *Newsday* story focused on Clinton's legal strategy of attacking the credibility of the 12-year-old victim.

The girl had joined Taylor and two male acquaintances, including one 15-year-old boy she had a crush on, on a late-night trip to the bowling alley, according to *Newsday*.

Taylor drove the group around in his truck, pouring the girl whisky and coke on the way.

The group later drove to a "weedy ravine" near the highway where Taylor raped the 12-year-old.

Around 4 a.m., the girl and her mother went to the hospital, where she was given medical tests and reported that she had been assaulted.

Taylor was arrested on May 13, 1975. The court initially appointed public defender John Barry Baker to serve as his attorney. But Taylor insisted he wanted a female lawyer.

The lawyer he would end up with: Hillary Rodham.

According to court documents, the prosecution's case was based on testimony from the 12-year-old girl and the two male witnesses as well as on a "pair of men's undershorts taken from the defendant herein."<sup>104</sup>

Goodman then goes on to describe how Clinton went on the warpath against the 12 year-old victim. Goodman writes,

In a July 28, 1975, court affidavit, Clinton wrote that she had been informed the young girl was "emotionally unstable" and had a "tendency to seek out older men and engage in fantasizing."

"I have also been told by an expert in child psychology that children in early adolescence tend to exaggerate or romanticize sexual experiences and that adolescents in disorganized families, such as the complainant's, are even more prone to exaggerate behavior," Clinton said.

Clinton said the child had "in the past made false accusations about persons, claiming they had attacked her

---

<sup>104</sup> Goodman, Alana. "The Hillary Tapes." Washington Free Beacon. June 15, 2014. <http://freebeacon.com/politics/the-hillary-tapes/> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

body” and that the girl “exhibits an unusual stubbornness and temper when she does not get her way.”<sup>105</sup>

It turns out Clinton did not need to attack the girl at all and that the Prosecution would actually drop the ball or, at least drop it enough so that Clinton was able to salvage her case. Goodman writes,

“You know, what was sad about it,” Clinton told Reed, “was that the prosecutor had evidence, among which was [Taylor’s] underwear, which was bloody.”

Clinton wrote in *Living History* that she was able to win a plea deal for her client after she obtained forensic testimony that “cast doubt on the evidentiary value of semen and blood samples collected by the sheriff’s office.”

She did that by seizing on a missing link in the chain of evidence. According to Clinton’s interview, the prosecution lost track of its own forensic evidence after the testing was complete.

“The crime lab took the pair of underpants, neatly cut out the part that they were gonna test, tested it, came back with the result of what kind of blood it was what was mixed in with it – then sent the pants back with the hole in it to evidence,” said Clinton ([LISTEN HERE](#)). “Of course the crime lab had thrown away the piece they had cut out.”

---

<sup>105</sup> Goodman, Alana. “The Hillary Tapes.” Washington Free Beacon. June 15, 2014. <http://freebeacon.com/politics/the-hillary-tapes/> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

Clinton said she got permission from the court to take the underwear to a renowned forensics expert in New York City to see if he could confirm that the evidence had been invalidated.

“The story through the grape vine was that if you could get [this investigator] interested in the case then you had the foremost expert in the world willing to testify, so maybe it came out the way you wanted it to come out,” she said.

She said the investigator examined the cut-up underwear and told her there was not enough blood left on it to test.

When Clinton returned to Arkansas, she said she gave the prosecutor a clipping of the New York forensic investigator’s “Who’s Who.”

“I handed it to Gibson, and I said, ‘Well this guy’s ready to come up from New York to prevent this miscarriage of justice,’” said Clinton, breaking into laughter.

“So we were gonna plea bargain,” she continued.

When she went before Judge Cummings to present the plea, he asked her to leave the room while he interrogated her client, she said.

“I said, ‘Judge I can’t leave the room, I’m his lawyer,’” said Clinton, laughing. “He said, ‘I know but I don’t want to talk about this in front of you.’”

“So that was Maupin [Cummings], we had a lot of fun with Maupin,” Clinton added.

Reed asked what happened to the rapist.

“Oh, he plea bargained. Got him off with time served in the county jail, he’d been in the county jail for about two months,” said Clinton.<sup>106</sup>

Please See this video (audio recording of Clinton’s interview).

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=e2f13f2awK4><sup>107</sup>

To this day, the victim still harbors resentment toward Clinton for the way she was treated. In fact, she subscribes many of the obstacles she faced in later life to the trauma caused her by Clinton’s handling of the trial.

“Hillary Clinton took me through Hell,” she stated [in an interview with the Daily Beast](#). “I would say [to Clinton], ‘You took a case of mine in ’75, you lied on me... I realize the truth now, the heart of what you’ve done to me. And you are supposed to be for women? You call that [being] for women, what you done to me? And I hear you on tape laughing.’”<sup>108</sup>

---

<sup>106</sup> Goodman, Alana. “The Hillary Tapes.” Washington Free Beacon. June 15, 2014. <http://freebeacon.com/politics/the-hillary-tapes/> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

<sup>107</sup> “The Hillary Clinton Tapes.” Youtube. Posted by Washington Free Beacon. June 15, 2014. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=e2f13f2awK4> Accessed on August 31, 2015.

<sup>108</sup> Rogin, Josh. “Exclusive: ‘Hillary Clinton Took Me Through Hell,’ Rape Victim Says.” The Daily Beast. June 20, 2014.

She also responded to some of Hillary's allegations regarding the victim's alleged questionable behavior and her previous unsubstantiated allegations. "I've never said that about anyone. I don't know why she said that. I have never made false allegations. I know she was lying," she said. "I definitely didn't see older men. I don't know why Hillary put that in there and it makes me plumb mad."

# Who Does The Hillary Clinton Piper Play For? Wall Street!

While Clinton makes veiled attempts at attacking Wall Street on the campaign trail, the fact remains that she is one of the most heavily Wall Street financed candidates in the race. All of her campaign diatribes against power of banks and how the US government must focus on “Main Street and not Wall Street,” are clearly nothing but empty campaign rhetoric that, in no way, has a basis in the reality of Clinton’s ideology.

Clinton’s 2008 campaign was overwhelmingly funded by Wall Street and Wall Street interests. We can also never forget that it was during Bill Clinton’s tenure as President that Wall Street received the benefit of seeing derivatives become fully unregulated, the implementation of NAFTA, as well as other anti-labor and anti-American policies that further destroyed the American economy and working class.

Before anyone argues that Clinton is not her husband and the tragedies of his tenure in office should in no way be pawned off on her, it should be noted that [Clinton opposes](#) the re-imposition of Glass Steagall, the bill that was [repealed by her husband’s administration](#) and that was so important in creating the conditions for the 2008 financial crisis.<sup>109 110</sup>

---

<sup>109</sup> Reich, Robert. “Robert Reich: Hillary Clinton Is Making A ‘Big Mistake’ By Not Reinstating The Glass-Steagall Act.” Salon. July 18, 2015.

In regards to Clinton's money from Wall Street firms, consider the article "[Delamaide: Wall Street Is In Hillary's Corner](#)," written by Darrell Delamaide for USA Today. Here, Delamaide writes,

Behind the scenes, Hillary Clinton's campaign for president belies the Wall Street reform rhetoric that she uses to appeal to left-wing Democratic voters.

It was Deep Throat's reputed advice to reporters in the Watergate scandal that made "follow the money" the iconic slogan for those seeking to ferret out corruption in U.S. politics.

But the political slush fund in Nixon's 1972 re-election campaign seems quaint in the wake of Citizens United, super PACs and the even darker pools of campaign funds that are the forms of corporate payoffs to politicians nowadays.

Much of the money is impossible to follow as dubious non-profit organizations mask the identity of their donors and the U.S. Chamber of Commerce fights efforts to make companies disclose their political contributions.

However, we can still see the tip of the iceberg through the tatters of campaign finance law that remain. And what

---

[http://www.salon.com/2015/07/18/robert\\_reich\\_hillary\\_clinton\\_is\\_making\\_a\\_big\\_mistake\\_by\\_not\\_reinstating\\_the\\_glass\\_steagall\\_act\\_partner/](http://www.salon.com/2015/07/18/robert_reich_hillary_clinton_is_making_a_big_mistake_by_not_reinstating_the_glass_steagall_act_partner/) Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>110</sup> Cirilli, Kevin. "Bill Clinton Defends Repeal Of Glass-Steagall." The Hill. August 11, 2015. <http://thehill.com/blogs/blog-briefing-room/250838-bill-clinton-defends-repeal-of-glass-steagall> Accessed on August 1, 2015.

that tip tells us is that Wall Street is still squarely behind Clinton.

\*\*\*\*\*

One of the things making it "complicated" might be the unstinting support Clinton is getting from Wall Street.

An analysis of the most recent federal campaign contribution data by the *Huffington Post* found Clinton in the lead in donations from Wall Street with \$432,610 from bank executives, employees and their spouses.

Republican hopefuls Jeb Bush and Marco Rubio trailed with \$353,150 and \$105,669, respectively.<sup>111</sup>

Delamaide points out that these types of donations, however, are only one small avenue from which Wall Street money is able to find itself in to the Clinton coffers. He writes,

Again, this is the tip of the iceberg, because it doesn't include funds flowing into many super PACs and the very dark 501(c)(4) organizations like Americans for Prosperity that ostensibly promote social welfare but are shams for political advocacy.

This is on top, in Clinton's case, of the millions she and her husband, the former president, collected in "speaking

---

<sup>111</sup> Delamaide, Darrell. "Delamaide: Wall Street Is In Hillary's Corner." USA Today. July 28, 2015.  
<http://www.usatoday.com/story/money/2015/07/28/delmaide-wall-street-support-hillary-clinton/30781705/> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

fees" in the months prior to the official declaration of her candidacy, including from Goldman Sachs, JPMorgan Chase and other Wall Street firms.

These ties are well known, but the fact that this support continues in the face of Clinton's leftish rhetoric tells us Wall Street is not too worried about anything Clinton may do if she were to win the election.

This susceptibility to influence by mega-donors is not confined to Wall Street or financial services.

In a report this week on Clinton's ambitious plans for increasing renewable energy, *The New York Times* quoted her own press secretary as noting that these goals met the bar to win her donations from hedge fund billionaire and environmentalist Tom Steyer. (The report also noted her plans would require new legislation that would be difficult to pass.)

As important as the money trail is, there are other indications behind the scenes that Clinton does not envisage any radical changes — or even any significant restrictions — on Wall Street.

Her top advisers include two former investment bankers who have a history of being soft on financial regulation. Both held high positions in Clinton's State Department and would be obvious candidates for cabinet posts in a new Clinton administration.

Tom Nides, a veteran of Morgan Stanley and a former chairman of the main financial services lobbying group Sifma (Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association), was deputy secretary of state under Clinton.

Robert Hormats, a longtime vice chairman at Goldman Sachs and currently vice chair of Kissinger Associates, was an under secretary during Clinton's tenure at State.

It was President Bill Clinton, let us not forget, who brought Goldman Sachs co-chairman Robert Rubin and his coterie of Wall Streeters into his administration and championed the financial deregulation that led to the 2008 financial crisis.<sup>112</sup>

Such high volumes of Wall Street money may show where Clinton's bread is buttered, at least in terms of campaign finance money. However, the facts can never keep a good Clinton down. Hillary has blasted big banks, hedge funds, high-frequency traders, and other similar operations while on the campaign trail and, laughably to anyone with any political judgement at all, attempting to present herself as a political outsider. In fact, after giving an "anti Wall Street" speech at the New School only a week later, Hillary attended a private fundraiser with Raj Fernando, CEO of high-frequency trading firm Chopper Trading. The whole affair

---

<sup>112</sup> Delamaide, Darrell. "Delamaide: Wall Street Is In Hillary's Corner." USA Today. July 28, 2015. <http://www.usatoday.com/story/money/2015/07/28/delmaide-wall-street-support-hillary-clinton/30781705/> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

was an [exercise in deceit](#) and a testament to Clinton's faux populism.<sup>113</sup>

Obviously, Clinton is a complete tool of Wall Street as her tenure as First Lady, Senator, and Secretary of State have already demonstrated.

Nevertheless, continuing on the discussion of Clinton's campaign contributions from Wall Street, consider the article "[Clinton Rakes In Wall Street Cash Amid Tough Talk](#)," by Jacob Pramuk of CNBC. Pramuk writes,

During her nascent presidential campaign, Hillary Clinton has called for expanded regulation of the financial system, slammed wealth disparity and pushed for tougher punishment on individual rule breakers.

All of that rhetoric has mattered little to Wall Street. Already among the biggest donors to Clinton's political career, employees of some megabanks have funneled big money into her bid for the 2016 nomination.

Employees of five financial firms—Citigroup, Goldman Sachs, JPMorgan Chase, Morgan Stanley and Bank of America Merrill Lynch—gave about \$290,000 to Clinton's campaign committee through June 30, according to a

---

<sup>113</sup> Krieger, Michael. "Hillary Clinton Blasts High Frequency Trading Ahead Of Fundraiser With High Frequency Trader." Liberty Blitzkrieg. July 14, 2015. <http://libertyblitzkrieg.com/2015/07/14/hillary-clinton-blasts-high-frequency-trading-ahead-of-fundraiser-with-high-frequency-trader/> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

MapLight analysis of Federal Election Commission data. While it makes up less than 1 percent of the roughly \$47 million raised by Clinton's committee this cycle, it follows a precedent set in her 2008 presidential campaign, when the firms' employees were among her biggest donors.<sup>114</sup>

[An April, 2014 blurb in The Week](#) also mentioned how Clinton is so amenable to Wall Street that big banks see a Clinton or Bush presidency as being one about the same as the other.<sup>115</sup> The article reads,

Most alarmingly to liberals, Clinton has appeared downright chummy with big business and Wall Street in her long political career, raising concerns about whether she would ignore white collar malfeasance to the detriment of the middle and lower classes.

That concern [isn't unfounded](#), either.<sup>116</sup> And in the latest sign of Clinton's coziness with moneyed interests, an [in-depth story from Politico](#) on Monday reports that Wall

---

<sup>114</sup> Pramuk, Jacob. "Clinton Rakes In Wall Street Cash Amid Tough Talk." CNBC. July 21, 2015. <http://www.cnbc.com/2015/07/21/clinton-rakes-in-wall-street-cash-amid-tough-talk.html> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>115</sup> Terbush, Jon. "Wall Street Would 'Love' Hillary Clinton Vs. Jeb Bush In 2016." The Week. April 28, 2014. <http://theweek.com/speedreads/454351/wall-street-love-hillary-clinton-vs-jeb-bush-201> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>116</sup> Graham, David A. "Why Liberal Democrats Are Skeptical Of Hillary Clinton, In One Paragraph." The Atlantic. December 12, 2013. <http://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2013/12/why-liberal-democrats-are-skeptical-of-hillary-clinton-in-one-paragraph/282304/> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

Street has three favorite candidates in the early 2016 jockeying.<sup>117</sup> Those candidates, in preferred order: Former Florida Gov. Jeb Bush, New Jersey Gov. Chris Christie — and Clinton.

"If it turns out to be Jeb versus Hillary we would love that and either outcome would be fine," a "top Republican-leaning Wall Street lawyer" [told Politico](#).<sup>118119</sup>

[The Politico story](#) mentioned by the Week also contains an interesting quote by Lloyd Blankfein who told Politico that "I very much was supportive of Hillary Clinton the last go-round," he said. "I held fundraisers for her."<sup>120</sup>

---

<sup>117</sup> White, Ben; Haberman, Maggie. "Wall St. Republicans' Dark Secret." Politico. April 28, 2014.

<http://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2013/12/why-liberal-democrats-are-skeptical-of-hillary-clinton-in-one-paragraph/282304/> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>118</sup> White, Ben; Haberman, Maggie. "Wall St. Republicans' Dark Secret." Politico. April 28, 2014.

<http://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2013/12/why-liberal-democrats-are-skeptical-of-hillary-clinton-in-one-paragraph/282304/> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>119</sup> Terbush, Jon. "Wall Street Would 'Love' Hillary Clinton Vs. Jeb Bush In 2016." The Week. April 28, 2014.

<http://theweek.com/speedreads/454351/wall-street-love-hillary-clinton-vs-jeb-bush-201> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>120</sup> White, Ben; Haberman, Maggie. "Wall St. Republicans' Dark Secret." Politico. April 28, 2014.

<http://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2013/12/why-liberal-democrats-are-skeptical-of-hillary-clinton-in-one-paragraph/282304/> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

Even more open about Clinton's connections to Wall Street was a relatively positive story about her Presidential bid announcement. As MJ Lee wrote in "[Hillary Clinton's Wall Street Dilemma](#)," for CNN,

Wall Street is more than ready for Hillary Clinton.

The former secretary of state confirmed on Sunday what the political world has expected for months -- eight years after her first failed White House bid, Clinton will once again seek the Democratic Party's nomination for president.

"I'm hitting the road to earn your vote, because it's your time," Clinton said in a video released Sunday afternoon officially kicking off her campaign. "And I hope you'll join me on this journey."

As Clinton sets off onto the campaign trail to reintroduce herself to voters and court donors across the country, Wall Street elites are ready to roll out the red carpet. But while the enthusiastic support from the industry will be a financial boon for Clinton's newly launched campaign, it will also pose a delicate balancing act when it comes to appeasing a vocal wing of her party that is antagonistic toward the banking sector.

Clinton, 67, has long enjoyed a close relationship with the financial industry.

As a New York senator for almost a decade, she represented Wall Street and courted the industry aggressively during her last presidential campaign. And there is a certain degree of nostalgia within the industry for her husband's two-term presidency, marked by the 1990s bull market and broad financial deregulation, including the repeal of the Glass-Steagall Act, which separated commercial banking from riskier investing activities.

Now Clinton's allies in the finance world are eager to galvanize a broad network of potential donors in New York and beyond. Many on Wall Street and in the broader business community view her as a dependable, business-friendly force within a Democratic Party that has grown increasingly populist during President Barack Obama's time in office.

\*\*\*\*\*

Robert Wolf, the former CEO of UBS Americas and a close Obama associate who will back Clinton in 2016, said there's an "incredible amount of enthusiasm" for her campaign to get off the ground.

"We know the secretary from the years of being first lady to the senator to the secretary, so we have decades of working relationship with her," Wolf, who now runs a boutique consulting firm headquartered in Manhattan,

told CNN. "I don't think it's surprising that the former senator of New York is close to the finance community."

Longtime Clinton friend and prominent Democratic fundraiser Alan Patricof, who founded the venture capital firm Greycroft Partners, said Clinton has "an enormous following" both inside and outside of the finance world.

"There are a lot of people who perhaps didn't know her as well before who are all set to jump on the bandwagon," Patricof said. As compared with 2008, he added: "There is no diminishment, just the opposite -- an acceleration of interest in her running for the presidency."

But the fanfare won't sit well with everyone.

The former first lady's perceived coziness with Wall Street is a source of irritation for liberal activists, who hope to push the eventual Democratic nominee to embrace progressive ideals during the 2016 primaries.

Clinton, who lost her first presidential campaign to a challenger from the left, seems to recognize that the liberal wing of the party has grown even more vocal and influential since then, especially on economic matters.<sup>121</sup>

---

<sup>121</sup> Lee, MJ. "Hillary Clinton's Wall Street Dilemma." CNN. April 12, 2015. <http://www.cnn.com/2015/04/12/politics/hillary-clinton-2016-wall-street/> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

[The Daily Kos also mentioned](#) Hillary's Wall Street ties as a potential problem for her 2016 campaign amongst true liberals.<sup>122</sup> It wrote in April 2015,

One can have many arguments about Hillary and Bill Clinton. The vote for the AUMF, welfare reform, and NAFTA can be argued in various ways. But one you cannot have is about the connection between the Clintons and Wall Street. It is simply a fact. Consider the [top 10 donors](#) to Hillary Clinton's campaigns:<sup>123</sup>

\*\*\*\*

Five of the top 10 donors to Hillary Clinton were Wall Street investment banks, and many were the beneficiaries of the Wall Street bailout. Two others, DL Piper and Skadden Arps, are Wall Street law firms.

\*\*\*

And make no mistake: Wall Street has hopes for Hillary Clinton. Consider [this from the author of a book on Goldman Sachs and its influence](#):

---

<sup>122</sup> Armando. "Left Flank Critique Of Hillary Clinton: On Wall Street Ties." Daily Kos. April 12, 2015. <http://www.dailykos.com/story/2015/04/12/1376828/-Left-flank-critique-of-Hillary-Clinton-On-Wall-Street-ties#> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>123</sup> "Hillary Clinton." Open Secrets.: Center For Responsive Politics. <http://www.opensecrets.org/politicians/contrib.php?cycle=Career&cid=N00000019&type=C> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

While the finance industry does genuinely hate Warren, the big bankers love Clinton, and by and large they badly want her to be president. Many of the rich and powerful in the financial industry—among them, Goldman Sachs CEO Lloyd Blankfein, Morgan Stanley CEO James Gorman, Tom Nides, a powerful vice chairman at Morgan Stanley, and the heads of JPMorganChase and Bank of America—consider Clinton a pragmatic problem-solver not prone to populist rhetoric. To them, she’s someone who gets the idea that we all benefit if Wall Street and American business thrive. What about her forays into fiery rhetoric? They dismiss it quickly as political maneuvers. None of them think she really means her populism.<sup>124125</sup>

White Out Press covered yet another Clinton confab with Wall Street interests as far back as 2014, describing the political climate with Clinton. According to interviews conducted with attendees, Clinton rushed in to assure bankers and financial predators that she would usher in an era that was free of “bank bashing,” and that all Americans were responsible for the 2008

---

<sup>124</sup> Cohan, William D. “Why Wall Street Loves Hillary.” Politico. November 11, 2014. <http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2014/11/why-wall-street-loves-hillary-112782#ixzz3WRSylybp> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>125</sup> Armando. “Left Flank Critique Of Hillary Clinton: On Wall Street Ties.” Daily Kos. April 12, 2015. <http://www.dailykos.com/story/2015/04/12/1376828/-Left-flank-critique-of-Hillary-Clinton-On-Wall-Street-ties#> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

collapse, not just bankers and her own aristocratic class. [White Out reports](#) the following:

Two weeks ago at the luxurious Conrad Hotel in lower Manhattan, some of the world's richest and most powerful people got together for what seemed at times like a political rally. Prospective 2016 Presidential candidate Hillary Clinton even reportedly took home no less than \$200,000 as her minimum speaking fee. By her side giving his own six-figure keynote speech to the gathered Wall Street elite was her husband and former President Bill Clinton.

After being introduced by Goldman Sachs executive Tim O'Neill, Hillary Clinton went on to reassure the crowd of bankers and wealthy investors that a Clinton administration wouldn't hold Wall Street responsible for the nation's economic ills the way the current Obama administration has. Illustrating the two hypocritical faces of the Obama White House, the bankers love his policy of giving them trillions in free money. But they don't like being called "fat cats" by the President at the same time.

According to a report from [Politico](#) which interviewed guests at the high profile event, Hillary Clinton insisted that all Americans were to blame for the country's ever-growing economic failings over the past three decades, not just Wall Street as many from both of America's two establishment parties contend. Bank-bashing, she told them, was counter-productive and needed to stop.

\*\*\*\*\*

After speaking to a number of attendees, the report goes on to quote or paraphrase their reactions to Clinton's speech. One pleasantly surprised Wall Street insider told the publication, "It was like, here's someone who doesn't want to vilify us but wants to get business back in the game. Like, maybe here's someone who can lead us out of the wilderness."

Hillary Clinton is no stranger to Wall Street's richest and most powerful insiders. As first lady, a US Senator, Presidential candidate, and then Secretary of State, she has literally spent decades courting them for political contributions and watching many of them grow up from their first years out of college to their positions at the helms of the wealthiest corporations on Earth. And she's been a vocal and loyal supporter of Wall Street the entire time.

The Bill Clinton administration was so pro-Wall Street that it was accused by many of being responsible for the global economic collapse of 2007-2008. Clinton removed the barrier between investment banks and commercial banks, allowing all of them to take citizen deposits and then gamble them in the casino-like financial markets. He also incentivized them to increase home loans, even to those

who didn't qualify, in an attempt to put as many Americans as possible into their own home.<sup>126</sup>

Clinton's close ties to Wall Street were even pervasive when it comes to her choice of aides and cabinet during her tenure as Secretary of State. In fact, two of these State Department aides – Tom Nides and Robert Hormat – are so scurrilous they were given the honor of an article in the Guardian devoted to them and their revolving door between government and banking (as well as Kissinger Associates).

As Ben Jacobs wrote in his article "[Hillary Clinton Aides' Wall Street Links Raise Economic Policy Doubts](#),"<sup>127</sup>

The Wall Street ties of two top aides to Hillary Clinton at the State Department are raising concerns among progressives about the composition of a future Clinton White House.

The former aides, Tom Nides and Robert Hormats, have shuttled between government and Wall Street for years. Nides, who is frequently described as a Clinton confidant, is a longtime Morgan Stanley executive who served as deputy secretary of state for management and resources

---

<sup>126</sup> Wachtler, Mark. "Hillary Clinton Aligns With Wall Street For 2016." White Out Press. January 2, 2014. <http://www.whiteoutpress.com/articles/2014/q1/hillary-clinton-aligns-with-wall-street-for-2016/> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>127</sup> Jacobs, Ben. "Hillary Clinton Aides' Wall Street Links Raise Economic Policy Doubts." The Guardian. July 26, 2015. <http://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2015/jul/26/wall-street-links-hillary-clinton-aides-economic-policy-doubts> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

from 2011 to 2013 before returning to Morgan Stanley. Nides is also the former chairman of the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association (Sifma), the main lobbying group for Wall Street in Washington DC.

Hormats, a former vice-chairman of Goldman Sachs, served as under secretary of state for economic growth, energy and the environment from 2009 to 2013. He is currently vice-chairman of Kissinger Associates, the consulting firm founded by the former secretary of state Henry Kissinger.

Neil Sroka, a spokesman for the progressive advocacy group Democracy for America, expressed his angst about the influence of the two in Clinton world. “It’s hard to imagine how a presidential candidate is going to seriously confront the powerful, greed-driven interests on Wall Street when they’re taking advice and staffing cabinet posts with people who just clocked out of the same big banks and investment firms that made bundles from wrecking our economy,” Sroka said.

Both Nides and Hormats have a strong history of taking pro-business stances on financial regulation and other issues near and dear to progressives. While at Morgan Stanley, which received a federal bailout, Nides pushed for the Obama administration to “find the right balance” in avoiding criticism of Wall Street in the aftermath of the financial crisis. He also [played](#) an important role in the Bill

Clinton administration lobbying members of Congress to vote for Nafta in 1993.<sup>128</sup>

Hormats, who has [been described](#) as Clinton’s “economic guru”, boasted of the Clinton State Department’s support of the business community in a 2013 interview.<sup>129</sup> He is also on the record [being supportive](#) of partial privatization of social security. Hormats also [touted the benefits](#)<sup>130</sup> of “widescale deregulation” in the 1990s and [strongly supported](#) increased trade with China.<sup>131</sup>

Nides, in particular, has played a major role in Clinton’s current campaign. He has been one of the campaign’s top bundlers of contributions and responsible for raising over \$100,000 for the former secretary of state. He has [been tipped](#) as a future White House chief of staff in a Clinton administration.<sup>132</sup> Further, employees of Morgan Stanley,

---

<sup>128</sup> “The A Team That Saved NAFTA’s Bacon.” Bloomberg Businessweek. December 5, 1993. <http://www.bloomberg.com/bw/stories/1993-12-05/the-a-team-that-saved-naftas-bacon> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>129</sup> Belvedere, Matthew J. “In My Mind, Hillary’s Running, Says Her Econ Guru.” CNBC. August 22, 2013. <http://www.cnbc.com/id/100980699> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>130</sup> “Robert Hormats: Economic Issues And The 2000 Democratic Convention.” CNN. August 16, 2000. <http://www.cnn.com/chat/transcripts/2000/8/16/hormats/index.html> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>131</sup> Glassman, James K. “Jim Glassman Interviews Robert Hormats.” Ideas In Action. July 3, 2000. [http://www.ideasinactiontv.com/tcs\\_daily/2000/07/jim-glassman-interviews-robert-hormats.html](http://www.ideasinactiontv.com/tcs_daily/2000/07/jim-glassman-interviews-robert-hormats.html) Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>132</sup> Haberman, Maggie; Thrush, Glen. “After 2008, Who Would Hillary Clinton Hire?” Politico. May 28, 2013.

where Nides serves as vice-chairman, [have given](#) Clinton more than \$90,000 in the past quarter.<sup>133</sup> This is more than every Republican candidate combined has received from the firm.<sup>134</sup>

Of course, the Wall Street political donations did not begin with Clinton's 2016 run, her failed 2008 bid was also replete with Wall Street dollars. [As Ellen Simon of Investopedia documented,](#)

Workers in the finance, insurance and real estate industries donated [\\$21 million](#) to Hillary's 2008 presidential campaign, according to the Center for Responsive Politics.<sup>135</sup> Securities and investment workers were her [third largest](#) source of campaign donations behind lawyers and retirees.<sup>136</sup> **Citigroup Inc. (C)**

<http://dyn.politico.com/printstory.cfm?uuid=1AAF087C-60C2-489C-ABFF-6BE5F54AF2AC> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>133</sup> Blumenthal, Paul. "Wall Street Is Putting Money Behind These Presidential Candidates." Huffington Post. July 23, 2015.

[http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/wall-street-is-putting-money-behind-these-presidential-candidates\\_55b143e7e4b08f57d5d414ad](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/wall-street-is-putting-money-behind-these-presidential-candidates_55b143e7e4b08f57d5d414ad) Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>134</sup> Jacobs, Ben. "Hillary Clinton Aides' Wall Street Links Raise Economic Policy Doubts." The Guardian. July 26, 2015. <http://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2015/jul/26/wall-street-links-hillary-clinton-aides-economic-policy-doubts> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>135</sup> "Hillary Clinton: Contributions By Industry, 2008 Cycle." Open Secrets. Center For Responsive Politics.

<https://www.opensecrets.org/pres08/indus.php?cycle=2008&cid=N00000019> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>136</sup> "Hillary Clinton: Contributions By Industry, 2008 Cycle." Open Secrets. Center For Responsive Politics.

<https://www.opensecrets.org/pres08/indus.php?cycle=2008&cid=N00000019> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

employees donated [\\$765,192](#), more than employees of any other company.<sup>137</sup> **Goldman Sachs** (GS) employees were next, with donations of [\\$682,990](#).<sup>138</sup> DLA Piper was in fourth place, **Morgan Stanley** (MS) was [fifth](#) and **JPMorgan Chase & Co** (JPM) was [sixth](#).<sup>139</sup> However, a review of filings from Ready for Hillary, a political action committee, shows few banker or investor supporters. Clinton associate Tom Nides, a Morgan Stanley executive, has begun lining up support and donations for Hillary on Wall Street, according to a [November report](#) in *Politico*.<sup>140</sup>

\*\*\*

Wall Street has also played a role in helping the Clintons rebuild their personal finances. Hillary Clinton has said that by the time President Bill Clinton's presidency ended in

---

<sup>137</sup> "Hillary Clinton." Influence Explorer. <http://influenceexplorer.com/politician/hillary-clinton/597e02e7d1b04d83976913da1b8e2998> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>138</sup> "Hillary Clinton." Influence Explorer. <http://influenceexplorer.com/politician/hillary-clinton/597e02e7d1b04d83976913da1b8e2998> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>139</sup> "Hillary Clinton." Influence Explorer. <http://influenceexplorer.com/politician/hillary-clinton/597e02e7d1b04d83976913da1b8e2998> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>140</sup> Cohan, William D. "Why Wall Street Loves Hillary." *Politico*. November 11, 2014. <http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2014/11/why-wall-street-loves-hillary-112782#ixzz3WRSylybp> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

January 2001, the family was “[dead broke](#).”<sup>141</sup> Between 2000 and 2008, however, Bill and Hillary had jointly earned [\\$109 million](#).<sup>142</sup> Some of that fortune came from the speaker circuit, where Wall Street firms have been eager clients.

In 2011 and 2012, Bill Clinton gave [paid speeches](#) at companies including **American Express (AXP)**, **Bank of America Corp. (BAC)**, **Deutsche Bank AG (DB)**, Goldman Sachs, **HSBC Holdings plc (HSBC)**, JPMorgan Chase, Jefferies LLC, the Mortgage Bankers Association, PricewaterhouseCoopers, Pershing LLC, **TD Bank (TD)**., the Vanguard Group, UBS AG and **Wells Fargo & Company (WFC)**.<sup>143</sup> His starting fee, per speech, was [\\$165,000](#).<sup>144</sup> Mrs. Clinton also became a paid speaker after she left the State Department in [2013](#).<sup>145</sup> Since then,

---

<sup>141</sup> “Hillary Clinton Memoir: We ‘Were Broke’ After Presidency.” The Guardian. June 10, 2014. <http://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/jun/10/hillary-clinton-memoir-broke-after-presidency> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>142</sup> Gonyea, Don. “Clintons Earned More Than \$109 Million Since 2000.” NPR. April 4, 2008. <http://www.npr.org/2008/04/04/89388172/clintons-earned-more-than-109-million-since-2000> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>143</sup> “Executive Branch Personnel Public Financial Disclosure Report. OGE Form 278 (Rev. 12/2001) 5 CPR Part 2634.” U.S. Office Of Government Ethics. Hillary Rodham Clinton. [http://pfds.opensecrets.org/N00000019\\_2012\\_term.pdf](http://pfds.opensecrets.org/N00000019_2012_term.pdf) Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>144</sup> “Executive Branch Personnel Public Financial Disclosure Report. OGE Form 278 (Rev. 12/2001) 5 CPR Part 2634.” U.S. Office Of Government Ethics. Hillary Rodham Clinton. [http://pfds.opensecrets.org/N00000019\\_2012\\_term.pdf](http://pfds.opensecrets.org/N00000019_2012_term.pdf) Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>145</sup> “Clinton Farewell Speech To State Department/Hillary Clinton Says Goodbye To State Department.” Youtube. Posted by thebreakingnews0.

she's been paid a reported fee of roughly \$200,000 per speech for clients including Goldman Sachs and JPMorgan.<sup>146 147</sup>

Critics say the speeches are a way for special interest groups to buy access to a presidential candidate. "You and I, most of the people we know, there's no way in hell we can afford to have Clinton come speak and spend time with us," Meredith McGehee, policy director at the Campaign Legal Center, told Mother Jones.<sup>148</sup> "This speaking engagement game is a game that favors the wealthy interests, just like our campaign finance system."<sup>149</sup>

It is also worth noting that Clinton's connection to Wall Street is not just one of ideology or money, there is now a blood connection as well. Chelsea Clinton's recent marriage was to a

---

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xhJy-tELFRI> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>146</sup> Chozick, Amy. "Hillary Clinton Taps Speechmaking Gold Mine." New York Times. July 11, 2013. [http://www.nytimes.com/2013/07/12/us/politics/hillary-clinton-hits-the-lucrative-speechmaking-trail.html?\\_r=0](http://www.nytimes.com/2013/07/12/us/politics/hillary-clinton-hits-the-lucrative-speechmaking-trail.html?_r=0) Accessed on September 1, 2013.

<sup>147</sup> Confessore, Nicholas; Chozick, Amy. "Wall Street Offers Clinton A Thorny Embrace." New York Times. July 7, 2014. [http://www.nytimes.com/2014/07/08/us/08wallst.html?\\_r=0](http://www.nytimes.com/2014/07/08/us/08wallst.html?_r=0) Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>148</sup> Corn, David. "Hillary Clinton's Goldman Sachs Problem." Mother Jones. June 4, 2014. <http://www.motherjones.com/politics/2014/06/hillary-clintons-goldman-sachs-problem> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>149</sup> Simon, Ellen. "Hillary Clinton's Wall Street Ties." Investopedia. <http://www.investopedia.com/articles/investing/030415/hillary-clintons-wall-street-ties.asp> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

Goldman Sachs executive, Marc Mezvinsky, now a founding partner in Eaglevale Partners, LP., a hedge fund worth \$400 million that was launched in 2011.

[Ellen Simon of Investopedia expands](#) upon this connection by writing,

[A New York Times report](#) found that “tens of millions of dollars raised by Eaglevale can be attributed to investors with some relationship or link to the Clintons.”<sup>150</sup>

Among those investors are hedge fund managers [Marc Lasry and James Leitner](#); an overseas money management firm connected to the [Rothschild family](#); and Goldman Sachs CEO [Lloyd C. Blankfein](#). [Rock Creek Group](#), a Washington-based investment advisory firm that briefly sublet office space to Mrs. Clinton after she stepped down as Secretary of State, placed [\\$13 million](#) from the California Public Employees’ Retirement System (CalPERS) and another public pension fund with Eaglevale in late 2011 and early 2012, according to the *Times*. The pension funds have subsequently withdrawn their investments; CalPERS left as it moved money away from hedge funds.<sup>151</sup>

152

---

<sup>150</sup> Goldstein, Matthew; Eder, Steve. “For Clintons, A Hedge Fund In The Family.” *New York Times*. March 22, 2015. <http://www.nytimes.com/2015/03/23/business/dealbook/for-clintons-a-hedge-fund-in-the-family> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>151</sup> Goldstein, Matthew; Eder, Steve. “For Clintons, A Hedge Fund In The Family.” *New York Times*. March 22, 2015.

Clinton's direct donations from Wall Street are not the only source of banker cash, however.

---

<http://www.nytimes.com/2015/03/23/business/dealbook/for-clintons-a-hedge-fund-in-the-family> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>152</sup> Simon, Ellen. "Hillary Clinton's Wall Street Ties." Investopedia.

<http://www.investopedia.com/articles/investing/030415/hillary-clintons-wall-street-ties.asp> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

# The Clinton Foundation

Much has been made of the [questionable actions and connections](#) held by Clinton's foundation enterprise over the last year.<sup>153</sup> Many allegations have been made but what is entirely undebatable is the fact that the Bill, Hillary, and Chelsea Clinton Foundation works closely with Wall Street banks and is heavily funded by them. [As Ellen Simon of Investopedia sums up,](#)

Bill Clinton started a public health non-profit in 2002 that has since grown into The Bill, Hillary & Chelsea Clinton Foundation. The Clinton Global Initiative, which holds forums for international leaders, was separately incorporated from the foundation in 2010 at the [request of the Obama Administration](#) while Hillary Clinton was Secretary of State.<sup>154</sup> After she stepped down as secretary of state, the two funds [were reunited](#).<sup>155</sup>

---

<sup>153</sup> Helderman, Rosalind S.; Hamburger, Tom. "Foreign Governments Gave Millions To Foundation While Clinton Was At State Dept." Washington Post. February 25, 2015. [http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/foreign-governments-gave-millions-to-foundation-while-clinton-was-at-state-dept/2015/02/25/31937c1e-bc3f-11e4-8668-4e7ba8439ca6\\_story.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/foreign-governments-gave-millions-to-foundation-while-clinton-was-at-state-dept/2015/02/25/31937c1e-bc3f-11e4-8668-4e7ba8439ca6_story.html) Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>154</sup> "Annual Reports, Financials, & Tax-Exempt Materials." Clinton Foundation. <https://www.clintonfoundation.org/about/annual-financial-reports> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>155</sup> Helderman, Rosalind S.; Hamburger, Tom; Rich, Steven. "Clinton's Foundation Has Raised Nearly \$2 Billion – And Some Key Questions." Washington Post. February, 18, 2015. <http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/clintons-raised-nearly-2-billion-for->

The Clinton Global Initiative discloses its donors by range, not by specific amount. Barclays Capital, Citi Foundation and Fidelity Charitable Gift Fund have all given the Clinton's foundation between [\\$1 million and \\$5 million](#).<sup>156</sup> Bank of America Foundation, Barclays PLC, Citigroup Inc., McKinsey & Company and UBS Wealth Management USA have given between [\\$500,000 and \\$1 million](#).<sup>157</sup> Meanwhile, Deutsche Bank AG, Deutsche Bank Americas, Goldman Sachs Philanthropy Fund and Morgan Stanley Smith Barney Global Impact Funding Trust Inc. have all given between [\\$251,000 and \\$500,000](#).<sup>158</sup>

The Clinton Foundation(s) are notoriously mercurial. Funding comes in and funding goes out but no one is ever that clear on the details of where it came from, where it is going, and/or what it is being used for. Labeled an “[atypical business model](#)” by “charity watchdog” group Charity Navigator, the Clinton Foundation has earned its position on the list of “suspicious charities.”<sup>159</sup>

---

[foundation-since-2001/2015/02/18/b8425d88-a7cd-11e4-a7c2-03d37af98440\\_story.html?hpid=z1](#) Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>156</sup> “Contributor And Grantor Information.” The Clinton Foundation.

<https://www.clintonfoundation.org/contributors?category=%241%2C000%2C01+to+%245%2C000%2C000> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>157</sup> “Contributor And Grantor Information.” The Clinton Foundation.

<https://www.clintonfoundation.org/contributors?category=%241%2C000%2C01+to+%245%2C000%2C000> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>158</sup> Simon, Ellen. “Hillary Clinton’s Wall Street Ties.” Investopedia.

<http://www.investopedia.com/articles/investing/030415/hillary-clintons-wall-street-ties.asp> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>159</sup> Westwood, Sarah. “Clinton Foundation Battles Charity Watchdog.” Washington Examiner. May 12, 2015.

[As Hotair.com wrote](#), the Clinton Foundation had a “pass-through rate in 2013 [that] was a scandalous 6.4%, and during Hillary Clinton’s tenure at State it averaged somewhere around 15%. At least 60% of the foundation’s income goes to pay administrative costs, and in at least one year they spent as much on private and first-class air travel as they did in programmatic grants.”<sup>160</sup>

Aside from its known donations from Wall Street, however, the Clinton Foundation – during Hillary Clinton’s tenure as Secretary of State – was a documented and undoubtable national security risk. This is because of the money – much it hidden – that was being received by not only industrial, banking, and corporate interests but also by foreign governments at a time when the executor of that foundation had an open door of communication with the US Secretary of State. In this instance, that open door of communication was no less than the husband of the Secretary of State. In other words, the Foundation likely served as a conduit for funds provided by foreign governments that would have seen the Secretary of State directly benefit financially from those donations.

Consider the report provided by [James V. Grimaldi and Rebecca Ballhaus of the Wall Street Journal](#) who reveal the

---

<http://www.washingtonexaminer.com/article/2564332> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>160</sup> Morissey, Ed. “Pelosi: Yeah, Hillary’s Going To Have To Explain The Clinton Foundation Shenanigans.” Hot Air. May 30, 2015.

<http://hotair.com/archives/2015/05/30/pelosi-yeah-hillarys-going-to-have-to-explain-the-clinton-foundation-shenanigans/> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

donations received from six foreign governments by the Clinton Foundation. Grimaldi and Ballhaus write,

The Clinton Foundation has dropped its self-imposed ban on collecting funds from foreign governments and is winning contributions at an accelerating rate, raising ethical questions as Hillary Clinton ramps up her expected bid for the presidency.

Recent donors include the United Arab Emirates, Saudi Arabia, Oman, Australia, Germany and a Canadian government agency promoting the Keystone XL pipeline. . .

United Arab Emirates, a first-time donor, gave between \$1 million and \$5 million in 2014, and the German government—which also hadn't previously given—contributed between \$100,000 and \$250,000.

A previous donor, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, has given between \$10 million and \$25 million since the foundation was created in 1999. Part of that came in 2014, although the database doesn't specify how much.

The Australian government has given between \$5 million and \$10 million, at least part of which came in 2014. It also gave in 2013, when its donations fell in the same range.

Qatar's government committee preparing for the 2022 soccer World Cup gave between \$250,000 and \$500,000 in

2014. Qatar’s government had previously donated between \$1 million and \$5 million.<sup>161</sup>

Oman, which had made a donation previously, gave an undisclosed amount in 2014. Over time, Oman has given the foundation between \$1 million and \$5 million. Prior to last year, its donations fell in the same range.

The Washington Post’s Jennifer Rubin expands on the report in her article entitled “[Foreign Donations To Foundation Raise Major Ethical Questions For Hillary Clinton,](#)” where she states

The foundation of course provides [luxury travel](#) for Hillary Clinton and her spouse, a high-visibility platform and access to mega-donors.<sup>162</sup> She is beholden in a meaningful sense to its donors. No presidential candidate can justify a conflict of interest of this magnitude; it is not merely the *appearance* of conflict but *actual* conflict of interest.

If former Virginia governor Bob McDonnell (R) might go to jail for receiving lavish gifts for a donor for whom he made a few phone calls, what would be the remedy if, once in office, Hillary Clinton extended her office not only to make calls but also to approve policy and financial arrangements

---

<sup>161</sup> Grimaldi, James V.; Ballhaus, Rebecca. “Foreign Government Gifts To Clinton Foundation On The Rise.” *Wasll Street Journal*. February 17, 2015. <http://www.wsj.com/articles/foreign-government-gifts-to-clinton-foundation-on-the-rise-1424223031> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>162</sup> Haberman, Maggie. “Clinton Foundation Reports Spike In Travel Expenses.” *Politico*. November 19, 2014. <http://www.politico.com/story/2014/11/clinton-foundation-travel-expenses-113053> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

worth billions back to these countries? How will the American people ever be satisfied we are getting her undivided loyalty? No matter how much she protests, her judgment would be questioned as influenced by gratitude toward the foundation's wealthy patrons. And, of course, a president cannot recuse himself or herself from dealings, so there is no practical way to avoid the conflict.

It is bad enough when Clinton takes gobs of money in speaking fees [from Goldman Sachs](#), oil and chemical companies, and other titans of industry — although that, too, raises the potential for conflicts of interest.<sup>163</sup> But a foreign government should never have any claim on the loyalty of a U.S. president, which is why foreign donations directly to a campaign are illegal. We cannot give her a pass simply because her entity is a “foundation,” not a PAC or campaign entity.<sup>164</sup>

Yet the initial reports only told part of the story. These six countries were not the only national governments who donated money to the Clinton Foundation. Other donations came rolling in

---

<sup>163</sup> Torres, Alec. “Hillary Clinton’s Lucrative Goldman Sachs Speaking Gigs.” *National Review*. October, 30, 2013.

<http://www.nationalreview.com/corner/362637/hillary-clintons-lucrative-goldman-sachs-speaking-gigs-alec-torres> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>164</sup> Rubin, Jennifer. “Foreign Donations To Foundation Raise Major Ethical Questions For Hillary Clinton.” *Washington Post*. February 18, 2015.

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/right-turn/wp/2015/02/18/foreign-donations-to-hillary-clintons-foundation-raise-major-ethical-questions/>

Accessed on September 1, 2015.

from China and donors who were also closely connected to Chinese intelligence.

[As Julianna Goldman wrote for CBS News](#) on March 16, 2015,

A CBS News investigation has found that at least one foreign company with close ties to its government has been giving generously to the foundation run by Bill, Hillary and Chelsea Clinton.

Since its founding, the [Clinton Foundation](#) has invested millions each year for work in fighting AIDS and empowering women, but its recent uptick in donations from foreign governments has been raising questions about the potential influence on Hillary Clinton, as she gets ready to run for president.

The foundation has raised at least \$42 million from foreign governments - and according to an analysis by CBS News - at least \$170 million from foreign entities and individuals.

One donor - Rilin Enterprises- pledged \$2 million in 2013 to the Clinton Foundation's endowment. The company is a privately-held Chinese construction and trade conglomerate and run by billionaire Wang Wenliang, who is also a delegate to the Chinese parliament. Public records show the firm has spent \$1.4 million since 2012, lobbying Congress and the State Department. The firm owns a strategic port along the border with North Korea and was also one of the contractors that built the Chinese embassy in Washington.

That contract is a direct tie to the Chinese government, according to [Jim Mann](#), who has written several books on China's relationship with the U.S.<sup>165</sup>

The donation is significant not only because of conflict of interest allegations and lobbying efforts but also because foreign governments tend to rely on companies that have [close domestic intelligence connections](#) when deciding who builds embassies overseas.<sup>166</sup>

Of course, no foreign source of donation to an American political or government official would be complete without sizeable donations from the Israelis and the Israel lobby.

Although not an official emissary of the Israeli government, Haim Saban, a billionaire of “dual-citizenship” and open Zionist, donated millions to the Clinton Foundation during Hillary’s tenure as Secretary of State.

As Jillian Kay Melchior summarizes in her article [“Why Is An Israeli American Billionaire Pouring Millions Into the Clinton Foundation?”](#)

---

<sup>165</sup> Goldman, Julianna. “Chinese Company Pledged \$2 Million To Clinton Foundation In 2013.” CBS. March 16, 2015. <http://www.cbsnews.com/news/chinese-company-pledged-2-million-to-clinton-foundation-in-2013/> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>166</sup> Tapscott, Mark. “Clinton Foundation Got \$2 Million From Firm Linked To Chinese Intelligence.” Washington Examiner. March 16, 2015. <http://www.washingtonexaminer.com/clinton-foundation-got-2-million-from-firm-linked-to-chinese-intelligence/article/2561577> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

Weeks after Hillary Clinton became secretary of state, the State Department objected to a proposed consultancy arrangement offered to Bill Clinton by media mogul Haim Saban, citing concerns about conflict of interest. Nevertheless, public records show that Saban's nonprofit gave millions to the Clinton Foundation throughout Hillary Clinton's tenure.

Saban, a billionaire best known for creating Mighty Morphin Power Rangers, has dual U.S.-Israeli citizenship and has spent heavily to support Israel. "His greatest concern, he says, is to protect Israel, by strengthening the United States-Israel relationship," The New Yorker noted in a 2010 profile of Saban. At a conference in Israel, the article said, Saban had outlined three methods for influencing American politics: "make donations to political parties, establish think tanks, and control media outlets."

Again Saban's foreign-policy activism did not escape the attention of the State Department. The agency's designated ethics adviser, James H. Thessin, wrote in a memo that his objection to the proposed consultancy was "based on the fact that Haim Saban, a founder of this entity, is actively involved in foreign affairs issues, particularly with regards to the Middle East, which is a priority area for the Secretary."

Thessin's memo, one of 1,017 pages of records obtained by Judicial Watch, was the only instance in which the Department of State objected to one of Bill Clinton's

proposed speaking engagements or consultancy agreements.

Yet between 2009 and 2013, as Hillary Clinton served as secretary of state, the Saban Family Foundation paid the Clinton Foundation more than \$7 million, and listed \$30.5 million in “grants and contributions approved for future payment,” according to nonprofit records filed with the Internal Revenue Service.

The donations accepted by the Clinton Foundation, while duplicitous on a number of different levels were often done with the approval of the Obama White House. However, even with the pathetic and weak rules imposed on the Foundation by the Obama administration, the organization managed to [run afoul of the agreement](#).<sup>167 168</sup>

Thus, it is reasonable to ask now whether Hillary Clinton is not only beholden to major corporations and banks residing inside the United States, but whether she is beholden to foreign governments as well?

---

<sup>167</sup> Helderman, Rosalind S.; Hamburger, Tom. “Foreign Governments Gave Millions To Foundation While Clinton Was At State Dept.” Washington Post. February 25, 2015. [http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/foreign-governments-gave-millions-to-foundation-while-clinton-was-at-state-dept/2015/02/25/31937c1e-bc3f-11e4-8668-4e7ba8439ca6\\_story.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/foreign-governments-gave-millions-to-foundation-while-clinton-was-at-state-dept/2015/02/25/31937c1e-bc3f-11e4-8668-4e7ba8439ca6_story.html) Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>168</sup> Melchior, Jillian Kay. “Why Is An Israeli American Billionaire Pouring Millions Into The Clinton Foundation?” The National Review. May 11, 2015. <http://www.nationalreview.com/article/418181/why-israeli-american-billionaire-pouring-millions-clinton-foundation-jillian-kay> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

# Hillary Clinton: The Bride Of Frankenfood

Although during her tenure in the White House as first lady during her husband's disastrous presidency Hillary enjoyed the benefit of 8 years of organic non-GMO food, 2016 candidate Clinton has been perhaps the most vocal proponent of GM food to yet enter the race.

[According to Global Research writer Stephen Lendman,](#) nearly all the food produced for the Clinton White House was obtained from local growers and suppliers, GMO-free, pesticide-free, and with a preference for organic.<sup>169</sup> That, preference, however, is not to be afforded the American people and the people of the third world for whom Hillary is pushing every toxic GM variety known to man.

Hillary's Big-Agra ties go back quite a long ways. As far back as the 1980s, Hillary was working at high levels within the Rose Law Firm, a law firm that itself was tied to a number of scandals. Although not a scandal at the time, it is now important

---

<sup>169</sup> Lendman, Stephen. "Hillary Clinton Endorses GMOS. White House Meals Are Organic." Global Research (Centre For Research On Globalization). May 25, 2015. <http://www.globalresearch.ca/hillary-clinton-endorses-gmos-white-house-meals-are-organic/5451481> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

to note that the Rose Law Firm, at which Clinton was a partner, saw [Monsanto and Tyson Foods as clients](#).<sup>170</sup>

Yet a mere association between law firms and such food giants was by no means the depths of Clinton's connection to these institutions and the industry of Genetically Modified Organisms and "biotechnology."

It has been speculated by many that Hillary's ties to Monsanto and Tyson as a result of her career with Rose was yet another link in the chain pulling biotech giants together with the Bill Clinton administration in the 1990s. Indeed, Clinton's disastrous presidency resulted in a number of former-biotech giant employees to the FDA, USDA, and other relevant regulatory posts within the US government. While being careful not to ascribe the blame of Bill Clinton's 8 years of treachery to Hillary, it is nevertheless worthwhile to ask whether or not Hillary served as a middleman of sorts for major government-corporate collusion of this type.

After all, when Clinton became US Secretary of State, she acted as Monsanto's promoter both domestically and across the world, continuing a policy of GMO promotion that preceded and, apparently, continued even after she left the office.

[In December, 2010](#), Wikileaks released sizeable number of cables of which about ten percent revealed that the US State

---

<sup>170</sup> [Gerth, Jeff; Van Natta, Jr., Don](#) (2007). *Her Way: The Hopes and Ambitions of Hillary Rodham Clinton*. New York: [Little, Brown and Company](#). ISBN 0-316-01742-6. p. 60.

Department was essentially acting as the marketing wing for biotech companies and “biotech” products across the world. The thousands of cables that were released spanned over 100 embassies, were unfortunately released just before Christmas and, as a result, the story faded into the holiday madness.<sup>171</sup>

Thankfully, in 2013, the watchdog organization Food and Water Watch delved into the cables and released a report entitled “[Biotech Ambassadors: How The U.S. State Department Promotes The Seed Industry’s Global Agenda.](#)” According to Food and Water Watch, their study ““reveals a concerted strategy to promote agricultural biotechnology overseas, compel countries to import biotech crops and foods they do not want, and lobby foreign governments -- especially in the developing world -- to adopt policies to pave the way to cultivate biotech crops.”<sup>172</sup>

Food and Water Watch wrote,

Food & Water Watch closely examined five years of State Department diplomatic cables from 2005 to 2009 to provide the first comprehensive analysis of the strategy, tactics and U.S. foreign policy objectives to foist pro-

---

<sup>171</sup> Hatfield, Leslie. “New Analysis Of Wikileaks Shows State Department’s Promotion Of Monsanto’s GMOs Abroad.” Huffington Post. July 20, 2013. [http://www.huffingtonpost.com/leslie-hatfield/new-analysis-of-wikileaks\\_b\\_3306842.html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/leslie-hatfield/new-analysis-of-wikileaks_b_3306842.html) Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>172</sup> “Biotech Ambassadors: How The U.S. State Department Promotes The Seed Industry’s Global Agenda.” Food and Water Watch. May, 2013. [http://documents.foodandwaterwatch.org/doc/Biotech\\_Report\\_US.pdf](http://documents.foodandwaterwatch.org/doc/Biotech_Report_US.pdf) Accessed on September 1, 2015.

agricultural biotechnology policies worldwide. Food & Water Watch's illuminating findings include:

The U.S. State Department's multifaceted efforts to promote the biotechnology industry overseas: The State Department targeted foreign reporters, hosted and coordinated pro-biotech conferences and public events and brought foreign opinion-makers to the United States on high-profile junkets to improve the image of agricultural biotechnology overseas and overcome widespread public opposition to GE crops and foods.

The State Department's coordinated campaign to promote biotech business interests: The State Department promoted not only pro-biotechnology policies but also the products of biotech companies. The strategy cables explicitly "protect the interests" of biotech exporters, "facilitate trade in agri-biotech products" and encourage the cultivation of GE crops in more countries, especially in the developing world.<sup>173</sup>

The State Department's determined advocacy to press the developing world to adopt biotech crops: The diplomatic cables document a coordinated effort to lobby countries in the developing world to pass legislation and implement regulations favored by the biotech seed industry. This

---

<sup>173</sup> U.S. Department of State (U.S. DoS). "FY 2008 biotechnology outreach strategy and department resources." Cable No. 07STATE160639. November 27, 2007.

study examines the State Department lobbying campaigns in Kenya, Ghana and Nigeria to pass pro-biotech laws.

The State Department's efforts to force other nations to accept biotech crop and food imports: The State Department works with the U.S. Trade Representative to promote the export of biotech crops and to force nations that do not want these imports to accept U.S. biotech foods and crops.<sup>174</sup>

FWW also provides a few Hillary quotes demonstrating the State Department's push for GM crops worldwide such as her statements linking GMOs to solving "climate change" and world hunger.<sup>175</sup>

"We believe that biotechnology has a critical role to play in increasing agricultural productivity, particularly in light of climate change," Clinton is quoted as stating.<sup>176</sup>

"[W]e want to shift our focus to agricultural sustainability, focusing on the small producers, helping them understand the

---

<sup>174</sup> "Biotech Ambassadors: How The U.S. State Department Promotes The Seed Industry's Global Agenda." Food and Water Watch. May, 2013.

[http://documents.foodandwaterwatch.org/doc/Biotech\\_Report\\_US.pdf](http://documents.foodandwaterwatch.org/doc/Biotech_Report_US.pdf)

Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>175</sup> "Biotech Ambassadors: How The U.S. State Department Promotes The Seed Industry's Global Agenda." Food and Water Watch. May, 2013.

[http://documents.foodandwaterwatch.org/doc/Biotech\\_Report\\_US.pdf](http://documents.foodandwaterwatch.org/doc/Biotech_Report_US.pdf)

Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>176</sup> U.S. Senate Appropriations Committee. Hearing on the President's FY2009 War Supplemental Request. April 30, 2009.

value of GMOs — genetically modified organisms,” she also said while serving as Secretary of State.<sup>177</sup>

Clinton also extolled the virtues of GE technology upon her visit to Kenya when she stated that ““With Kenya’s leadership in biotechnology and biosafety, we cannot only improve agriculture in Kenya, but Kenya can be leader for the rest of Africa.”<sup>178</sup>

While the FWW report can scarcely be dealt with in any reasonable detail within the scope of this article, it is recommended that the reader take advantage of the fact that it is freely available online at this link:

[http://documents.foodandwaterwatch.org/doc/Biotech\\_Report\\_US.pdf](http://documents.foodandwaterwatch.org/doc/Biotech_Report_US.pdf)

It should be mentioned that, as Secretary of State, Hillary helped promote the USAID –funded program “Feed the Future,” an initiative that promotes and introduces Round-up Ready® products all over the world.<sup>179</sup>

Yet even as Hillary was acting as Monsanto and Big-Agra’s PR woman as Secretary of State, the Clinton Global Initiative was receiving sizeable donations from Monsanto and Dow Chemical.

---

<sup>177</sup> Lauritsen, Sharon Bomer, Executive Vice President of Food and Agriculture at BIO. Letter to Professeur De Schutter, the UN Special Rapporteur on the Right to Food. May 29, 2009 at 14.

<sup>178</sup> Clinton, Hillary. Remarks at the Kenya Agricultural Research Institute. August 5, 2009

<sup>179</sup> Biotech Ambassadors: How The U.S. State Department Promotes The Seed Industry’s Global Agenda.” Food and Water Watch. May, 2013.

[http://documents.foodandwaterwatch.org/doc/Biotech\\_Report\\_US.pdf](http://documents.foodandwaterwatch.org/doc/Biotech_Report_US.pdf)

Accessed on September 1, 2015.

As Judy Frankel of the Huffington Post writes in her article "[Hillary vs. Bernie On Frankenfood](#),"

How is Hillary personally involved in supporting big agriculture? The Clinton Global Initiative (CGI), which gathers leaders to solve the world's problems, promotes Monsanto, the maker of RoundUp® and RoundUp Ready® seeds. Hugh Grant, Monsanto's Chairman and CEO spoke at the Clinton Global Initiative conference in September, 2014. Ms. Clinton's top campaign advisor, Jerry Crawford, was a lobbyist for Monsanto for years and is now the [political pro for her Super PAC](#), "Ready for Hillary."<sup>180</sup> Clinton spoke in favor of the government's Feed the Future (FtF) program, a [USAID funded, corporate-partnered program](#) that brings RoundUp Ready® technology to the most vulnerable populations of the world.<sup>181</sup> Monsanto and Dow Chemical support Hillary and Bill's 'Clinton Foundation' with generous donations.

Last year, at a San Diego biotech conference, Hillary coached her audience in messaging. "Genetically modified sounds Frankensteinish. Drought-resistant sounds like

---

<sup>180</sup> Jilani, Zaid. "Hillary's Pick For Her Political Fixer In Iowa Is A Classic Illustration Of America's Political Corporate Insider Problem." Alter Net. March 9, 2015. <http://www.alternet.org/news-amp-politics/hillarys-pick-her-political-fixer-iowa-classic-illustration-americas-political> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>181</sup> Ishii-Eiteman, Marcia. "U.S. Looks To Monsanto To Feed The World." Ground Truth. February 2, 2011. <http://www.panna.org/blog/us-looks-monsanto-feed-world> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

something you'd want. Be more careful so you don't raise that red flag immediately."

It's also highly unlikely for Hillary Clinton to stand up against her benefactors, saying she favors a review of RoundUp, 2,4-D, and the even more toxic poisons used by farmers worldwide when she has friends in the industry telling her that they will "feed the world" someday with their agricultural methods.<sup>182</sup>

[According to Stephen Lendman,](#)

Monsanto gave the Clinton Foundation from half a million to one million dollars – Ag giant Dow Chemical from one to five million dollars, according to Bill, Hillary & Chelsea Clinton Foundation disclosures.

Numerous other corporate giants contributed large sums. Expect them donating handsomely to Hillary's presidential campaign.<sup>183</sup>

[The Washington Times](#) echoes Lendman's claims by stating that "Monsanto gave the foundation between \$501,250 and \$1 million. Dow Chemical Company, which is among the top GMO

---

<sup>182</sup> Frankel, Judy. "Hillary Vs. Bernie On Frankenfood." Huffington Post. June 23, 2015. [http://www.huffingtonpost.com/judy-frankel/hillary-vs-bernie-on-fran\\_b\\_7638846.html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/judy-frankel/hillary-vs-bernie-on-fran_b_7638846.html) Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>183</sup> Lendman, Stephen. "Hillary Clinton Endorses GMOS. White House Meals Are Organic." Global Research (Centre For Research On Globalization). May 25, 2015. <http://www.globalresearch.ca/hillary-clinton-endorses-gmos-white-house-meals-are-organic/5451481> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

players, gave between \$1 million and \$5 million, according to financial disclosures by the Clinton Foundation.”<sup>184</sup>

Candidate Clinton is no better than Secretary, Senator, or First Lady Clinton. In fact, she may even be worse considering that, even when faced with election woes stemming from her support of GM foods, she is still stalwart and vocal in her support of them, going so far as to openly raise funds from Big-Agra donors and attend Big-Agra lobbying initiatives.<sup>185</sup>

Candidate Clinton in 2008 was bad enough. Back then, Clinton was supported by a group called Rural Americans For Hillary, an organization [closely connected to the lobbying firm](#) of Monsanto.<sup>186</sup>

Clinton’s “adviser” for her [campaign for Secretary of State, 2008 Presidency, and both Senate runs](#) was Mark Penn, a close adviser to Clinton as well as [PR rep for Monsanto via his PR firm Burson-Marsteller](#).<sup>187 188 189</sup>

---

<sup>184</sup> “Hillary’s Agribusiness Ties Give Rise To Nickname In Iowa: ‘Bride Of Frankenfood.” Washington Times. May 17, 2015. <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/may/17/hillary-clinton-gmo-support-monsanto-ties-spark-ba/?page=1> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>185</sup> “Hillary’s Agribusiness Ties Give Rise To Nickname In Iowa: ‘Bride Of Frankenfood.” Washington Times. May 17, 2015. <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/may/17/hillary-clinton-gmo-support-monsanto-ties-spark-ba/?page=1> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>186</sup> Parker, Jennifer. “Yee-Haw.” ABC News. December 17, 2007. <http://blogs.abcnews.com/politicalpunch/2007/10/yee-haw.html> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>187</sup> Sarich, Christina. “‘Bride Of Frankenfood’ Hillary Clinton’s GMO Ties Spark Backlash In Iowa.” Natural Society. May 28, 2015.

[Linn Cohen-Cole suggests](#) that it was Hillary Clinton was the brainchild (at Penn’s instruction) to appoint notorious Monsanto henchman Michael Taylor to the position of head of the FDA, a man whom Bill Clinton had once appointed to the FDA and USDA.<sup>190</sup>

In 2015, when Hillary began assembling her 2016 campaign team, she tapped Monsanto lobbyist Jerry Crawford to act as an “adviser” to the Ready For Hillary Super PAC. Crawford [was also co-chair](#) of her 2008 campaign.<sup>191</sup>

[As Zaid Jilani wrote for Alternet,](#)

---

<http://naturalsociety.com/bride-of-frankenfood-hillary-clintons-gmo-ties-spark-backlash-in-iowa/> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>188</sup> Johnson, Luke. “Mark Penn All But Out For Potential Hillary Clinton 2016 Run.” Huffington Post. May 20, 2013.

[http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2013/05/20/mark-penn-hillary-clinton\\_n\\_3305808.html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2013/05/20/mark-penn-hillary-clinton_n_3305808.html) Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>189</sup> Scarehuman. “Mark Penn, Taking A Break From Monsanto To Run Hillary Clinton’s Campaign.” Daily Kos. March 17, 2008.

<http://www.dailykos.com/story/2008/03/17/458386/-Mark-Penn-taking-a-break-from-Monsanto-to-run-Hillary-Clinton-s-campaign> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>190</sup> Cohen-Cole, Linn. “Monsanto And Hillary Clinton’s Redemptive First Act As Secretary Of State.” OpEdNews. February 9, 2009.

<http://www.opednews.com/articles/Monsanto-and-Hillary-Clint-by-Linn-Cohen-Cole-090209-290.html> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>191</sup> Terris, Ben. “Jerry Crawford Has Two Goals: Delivering Iowa For Hillary Clinton And Winning The Kentucky Derby.” Washington Post. March 2, 2015. [https://www.washingtonpost.com/lifestyle/style/hillary-clintons-iowa-horse-whisperer-jerry-crawford-aims-for-caucus-kentucky-derby/2015/03/02/9c93b638-be23-11e4-bdfa-b8e8f594e6ee\\_story.html](https://www.washingtonpost.com/lifestyle/style/hillary-clintons-iowa-horse-whisperer-jerry-crawford-aims-for-caucus-kentucky-derby/2015/03/02/9c93b638-be23-11e4-bdfa-b8e8f594e6ee_story.html)

Accessed on September 2, 2015.

Before joining Clinton's campaign in 2008, Crawford served in a variety of high-profile political roles. In addition to a variety of local positions, he served as the Iowa chair for the presidential campaigns of Mike Dukakis, Bill Clinton, Al Gore, John Kerry—each one the more conservative candidates in their Democratic presidential primaries.

So it was a natural fit for Crawford to sign up for the Hillary campaign. But after Clinton's 2008 loss, Crawford [spent his days](#) at Crawford Muaro, his law and lobbying firm.<sup>192</sup>

While there, he represented a variety of corporate clients, including Kraft and Altria (the parent company of Philip Morris USA). He also served as a lawyer for Jack DeCoster, a factory farm tycoon who infamously supplied eggs that led to a salmonella outbreak. His most prominent client, however, was Monsanto.<sup>193</sup>

[Stephen Lendman also points out](#) that Crawford was involved in fighting small farmers through the court system on behalf of Big-Agra.<sup>194</sup>

---

<sup>192</sup> "Jerry Crawford." Crawford Mauro Law Firm." Crawford bio. <http://www.crawfordlawfirm.com/attorneys/view.cfm?id=20> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>193</sup> Jilani, Zaid. "Hillary's Pick For Her Political Fixer In Iowa Is A Classic Illustration Of America's Political Corporate Insider Problem." Alter Net. March 9, 2015. <http://www.alternet.org/news-amp-politics/hillarys-pick-her-political-fixer-iowa-classic-illustration-americas-political> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>194</sup> Lendman, Stephen. "Hillary Clinton Endorses GMOS. White House Meals Are Organic." Global Research (Centre For Research On Globalization). May 25,

Hillary's long history with Big Agra should have foretold the glowing praise she would leap upon GM crops and big Biotech companies at the [world's largest trade organization of biotechnology firms](#) in San Diego in late June 2014.<sup>195</sup>

"I stand in favor of using seeds and products that have a proven track record," [Hillary said](#). She also added that pro-GMO advocates need to continue to hammer at those more skeptical of Frankenfoods. "There is a big gap between what the facts are, and what the perceptions are," she said, echoing a typical Big Agra talking point designed to be appealing to trendies and hipsters.<sup>196</sup>

Clinton also gave some marketing advice to the participants regarding how they present GM food to the public. "'Genetically modified' sounds Frankensteinish. 'Drought resistance' sounds really – something you want. So how do you create a different vocabulary to talk about what it is you're trying to help people do," she said.<sup>197</sup>

---

2015. <http://www.globalresearch.ca/hillary-clinton-endorses-gmos-white-house-meals-are-organic/5451481> Accessed on September 1, 2015.

<sup>195</sup> Lim, XiaoZhi. "Video: Hillary Clinton Endorses GMOs, Solution-focused Crop Biotechnology." Genetic Literacy Project. July 3, 2014. <http://www.geneticliteracyproject.org/2014/07/03/video-hilary-clinton-endorses-gmos-solution-focused-crop-biotechnology/> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>196</sup> Ocean, Max. "Hillary Clinton Goes To Bat For GMOs At Biotech Conference." Common Dreams. July 3, 2014. <http://www.commondreams.org/news/2014/07/03/hillary-clinton-goes-bat-gmos-biotech-conference> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>197</sup> Ocean, Max. "Hillary Clinton Goes To Bat For GMOs At Biotech Conference." Common Dreams. July 3, 2014.

She also stated

We talk about drought-resistant seeds, and I've promoted them all over Africa. By definition, they have been engineered to be drought-resistant, I mean that's the beauty of them. Maybe somebody can get their harvest done and not starve, and maybe there's some left over to sell. And yet I've been involved in a lot of the political debates in other countries about whether or not to accept certain kinds of seeds.

.....

We created a program called Feed the Future, which is trying to help the farmers be educated enough to know that drought-resistant seeds, for example, are not going to hurt them. And this is painstaking work, doesn't get solved overnight. You have to be working at the top with the departments of agriculture, with finance ministries, with prime ministers and presidents' offices, and you have to be working from the bottom up. I don't see the short cut for it.

.....

I don't want to see biotech companies or pharma companies moving out of our country simply because of

some perceived tax disadvantage and potential tax advantage somewhere else.<sup>198</sup>

See: [https://youtu.be/Hypwb\\_SYaAc](https://youtu.be/Hypwb_SYaAc)<sup>199</sup>

Clinton's 2016 race is, as mentioned, getting off to a great start thanks to donations from Monsanto lobbyists in the form of bundlers – fundraisers who are able to skirt election donation laws by convincing their contacts and associates to donate to a political candidate. Jerry Crawford, the famed Iowa-based Monsanto lobbyist, [has already raised \\$35,000](#) for Clinton.<sup>200</sup>

---

<sup>198</sup> Lim, XiaoZhi. "Video: Hillary Clinton Endorses GMOs, Solution-focused Crop Biotechnology." Genetic Literacy Project. July 3, 2014. <http://www.geneticliteracyproject.org/2014/07/03/video-hilary-clinton-endorses-gmos-solution-focused-crop-biotechnology/> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>199</sup> "Hillary Clinton At BIO Convention 2014." Youtube. Posted by Ken Stone. June 27, 2014. Hillary Rodham Clinton, answering questions as if a presidential contender, speaks to thousands at the BIO International Convention on June 25, 2014, at the San Diego Convention Center. She was interviewed by Jim Greenwood, president and CEO of the Biotechnology Industry Organization. [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Hypwb\\_SYaAc&feature=youtu.be](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Hypwb_SYaAc&feature=youtu.be) Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>200</sup> Brody, Ben. "Lobbyists For Monsanto, ExxonMobil Raise Money For Hillary Clinton." Bloomberg, July 17, 2015. <http://www.bloomberg.com/politics/articles/2015-07-17/lobbyists-for-monsanto-exxon-mobile-raise-money-for-hillary-clinton> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

# Hillary and Bill . . . Gates

If close association with one seedy Bill were not enough, Hillary has locked arms with another. This time, Bill's last name is Gates and his Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. While most uninformed Americans see Gates as a technology genius and successful entrepreneur, Gates' Foundation has been hard at work all across the world promoting dumbed-down education policies, [coercive vaccination](#), [GM food](#), abortion, and [sterilization](#).<sup>201 202 203</sup>

The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation is also one of [the seven largest donors](#) to the Bill, Hillary, and Chelsea Clinton Foundation.<sup>204</sup> The two foundations have also partnered together

---

<sup>201</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. "Bill Gates Says Global Vaccination Is 'God's Work.'" Activist Post. January 26, 2013. <http://www.activistpost.com/2013/01/bill-gates-says-global-vaccination.html> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>202</sup> Hatamoto, Michael. "Bill Gates Plugs GMOs To Reduce Starvation In Africa." Daily Tech. February 24, 2015. <http://www.dailytech.com/Bill+Gates+Plugs+GMOs+to+Reduce+Starvation+in+Africa/article37190.htm> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>203</sup> Callaghan, Heather. "Bill Gates' Temporary Sterilization Microchip In Beta Female Testing By End Of The Year." Activist Post. July 2, 2015. <http://www.activistpost.com/2015/07/bill-gates-temporary-sterilization.html> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>204</sup> Helderman, Rosalind S. "Here Are The Seven Biggest Donors To The Bill, Hillary And Chelsea Clinton Foundation." Washington Post. February 19, 2015. <http://www.washingtonpost.com/news/post-politics/wp/2015/02/19/here-are-the-7-biggest-donors-to-the-bill-hillary-and-chelsea-clinton-foundation/> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

on a number of initiatives such as the [No Ceilings Full Participation Report](#).<sup>205</sup>

Bill Gates pretends to care immensely for the poor, particularly in Third World countries, but the truth is that his Foundation's vaccination and "family planning" (read abortion and sterilization) efforts are not centered around public health, they are based in eugenics and population reduction.

After all, it was [Bill Gates himself](#) who stated as much publicly when he said, "The world today has 6.8 billion people... that's headed up to about 9 billion. Now if we do a really great job on new vaccines, health care, reproductive health services, we could lower that by perhaps 10 or 15 percent."<sup>206</sup>

Added to Gates' statement is the fact that, time and again, international vaccination programs have ended disastrously for third world nations. Case in point: the recent Meningitis vaccine program that resulted [in the paralysis](#) of at least [50 African children](#) and a subsequent cover-up operation by the government of Chad.<sup>207</sup> This large number of adverse events occurred in one

---

<sup>205</sup> "UPDATED: Hillary Rodham Clinton, Melinda Gates, And Chelsea Clinton Join International And Community Leaders For Official Release Of The No Ceilings Full Participation Report On Status Of Women And Girls." Clinton Foundation. March 5, 2015. <https://www.clintonfoundation.org/press-releases/updated-hillary-rodham-clinton-melinda-gates-and-chelsea-clinton-join-international> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>206</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. "Bill Gates Says Global Vaccination Is 'God's Work.'" Activist Post. January 26, 2013. <http://www.activistpost.com/2013/01/bill-gates-says-global-vaccination.html> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>207</sup> England, Christina. "Minimum Of 40 Children Paralyzed After New Meningitis Vaccine." VacTruth. January 6, 2013.

small village alone, leaving many to wonder what the rates of side effects might be on an international scale.

Even more concerning is the fact that paralysis rates have flourished in countries where Gates' polio vaccine, the one he is dedicating his life to, have been administered the most. Indeed, nowhere is this any more apparent than in India. [As Aaron Dykes writes,](#)

But the real story is that while polio has statistically disappeared from India, there has been a huge spike in cases of non-polio acute flaccid paralysis (NPAFP)— the very types of crippling problems it was hoped would disappear with polio but which have instead flourished from a new cause.

**There were 47,500 cases of non-polio paralysis reported in 2011**, the same year India was declared “polio-free,” according to Dr. Vashisht and Dr. Puliyeel. Further, the available data shows that the incidents tracked back to areas where doses of the polio vaccine were frequently administered. The national rate of NPAFP in India is 25-35 times the international average.<sup>208</sup>

---

<http://vactruth.com/2013/01/06/paralyzed-after-meningitis-vaccine/>

Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>208</sup> Dykes, Aaron. “Paralysis Cases Spike In Wake Of Bill Gates’ Polio Vaccination Effort In India.” Infowars.com. April 6, 2012.

<http://www.activistpost.com/2015/09/lebanon-protest-leaders-reveal-connections-to-western-color-revolution-apparatus.html>

Accessed on September 2, 2015.

In addition to this data, it appears that the polio vaccines are themselves the [leading cause of polio paralysis in India](#).<sup>209</sup> In relation to the flawed data reported by the Polio Global Eradication Initiative which attempts to minimize the numbers of both vaccine-induced cases of polio paralysis and polio in general, [Sayer Ji remarks](#),

According to the Polio Global Eradication Initiative's own statistics there were 42 cases of wild-type polio (WPV) reported in India in 2010, indicating that vaccine-induced cases of polio paralysis (100-180 annually) outnumber wild-type cases by a factor of 3-4. Even if we put aside the important question of whether or not the PGEI is accurately differentiating between wild and vaccine-associated polio cases in their statistics, we still must ask ourselves: should not the real-world effects of immunization, both good and bad, be included in PGEI's measurement of success? For the dozens of Indian children who develop vaccine-induced paralysis every year, the PGEI's recent declaration of India as nearing "polio free" status, is not only disingenuous, but could be considered an attempt to minimize their obvious liability in

---

<sup>209</sup> Mudur, G.S. "Flip Side Of India's Polio Success Story – Country Needs To Pencil Strategy To Fight Infection Triggered By Vaccine Itself, Say Experts." The Telegraph (India). January 16, 2012. [http://www.telegraphindia.com/1120116/jsp/frontpage/story\\_15011108.jsp#.UQGYN\\_I5iE9](http://www.telegraphindia.com/1120116/jsp/frontpage/story_15011108.jsp#.UQGYN_I5iE9) Accessed on September 3, 2015.

having transformed polio from a natural disease vector into a man-made (iatrogenic) one.<sup>210</sup>

Gates' polio vaccines have likewise been blamed for [deaths and disabilities](#) in neighboring Pakistan, with offices of the government in that country even recommending that the vaccines be suspended.

In India, doctors heavily criticized the program not only for the heavy cost to human health and quality of life but also the massive financial burden hoisted upon the state. This is because the program was only partially funded by the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunizations, which is itself partnered with the World Health Organization, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, the Rockefeller Foundation, World Bank, and United Nations.<sup>211</sup>

The doctors criticized the GAVI-alliance by stating,

The Indian government finally had to fund this hugely expensive programme, which cost the country 100 times more than the value of the initial grant," their report stated.

---

<sup>210</sup> Ji, Sayer. "Polio Vaccines Now The #1 Cause Of Polio Paralysis." Activist Post. January 18, 2012. <http://www.activistpost.com/2012/01/polio-vaccines-now-1-cause-of-polio.html> Accessed on September 3, 2015.

<sup>211</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. "Bill Gates Says Global Vaccination Is 'God's Work.'" Activist Post. January 26, 2013. <http://www.activistpost.com/2013/01/bill-gates-says-global-vaccination.html> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

From India's perspective the exercise has been an extremely costly both in terms of human suffering and in monetary terms. It is tempting to speculate what could have been achieved if the \$2.5 billion spent on attempting to eradicate polio, were spent on water and sanitation and routine immunization.

. . . . the polio eradication programme epitomizes nearly everything that is wrong with donor funded 'disease specific' vertical projects at the cost of investments in community-oriented primary health care (horizontal programmes) . . . . .

. . . . This is a startling reminder of how initial funding and grants from abroad distort local priorities.<sup>212</sup>

Indeed, as the doctors assert, one cannot vaccinate away disease like polio. Apart from the fact that there has never been a study conducted which proves a vaccine either safe or effective that was not connected to a drug company or a vaccine maker,<sup>213</sup> the so-called cure, if it comes under the guise of a vaccine, may well be as bad if not worse than the disease itself.

Again, Sayer Ji writes,

---

<sup>212</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. "Bill Gates Says Global Vaccination Is 'God's Work.'" Activist Post. January 26, 2013. <http://www.activistpost.com/2013/01/bill-gates-says-global-vaccination.html> Accessed on September 2, 2015.

<sup>213</sup> Flu and Flu Vaccines: What's Coming Through That Needle. Dr. Sherri Tenpenny.

Polio underscores the need for a change in the way we look at so-called "vaccine preventable" diseases as a whole. In most people with a [healthy immune system](#), a poliovirus infection does not even generate symptoms. Only rarely does the infection produce minor symptoms, e.g. sore throat, fever, gastrointestinal disturbances, and influenza-like illness. In only 3% of infections does virus gain entry to the central nervous system, and then, in only 1-5 in 1000 cases does the infection progress to paralytic disease.

Due to the fact that polio spreads through the fecal-oral route (i.e. the virus is transmitted from the stool of an infected person to the mouth of another person through a contaminated object, e.g. utensil) focusing on hygiene, sanitation and proper nutrition (to support innate immunity) is a logical way to prevent transmission in the first place, as well as reducing morbidity associated with an infection when it does occur.

Instead, a large portion of the world's vaccines are given to the Third World as "charity," when the underlying conditions of economic impoverishment, poor nutrition, chemical exposures, and socio-political unrest are never addressed.<sup>214</sup>

---

<sup>214</sup> Ji, Sayer. "Polio Vaccines Now The #1 Cause Of Polio Paralysis." Activist Post. January 18, 2012. <http://www.activistpost.com/2012/01/polio-vaccines-now-1-cause-of-polio.html> Accessed on September 3, 2015.

The fact is that the root cause of diseases like polio are not a lack of vaccination but poor sanitation standards, poverty, lower living standards, chemical pollution, and lack of proper nutrition. If money were spent correcting these ills, as opposed to providing ineffective (in their stated purposes) and dangerous vaccinations, then polio and many other such diseases could indeed be eradicated.

In the end, the answer is about raising living standards, reducing pollution, increasing knowledge and access to proper nutrition and clean drinking water – not chemical and virus-laden needles. Perhaps this method could be more accurately described as "God's work" instead of the vaccine obsessed Foundations and easily convinced trendy followers of those organizations.

The connection between Gates and Clinton in regards to population reduction initiatives is no surprise, however, since it was Secretary of State Clinton who proudly announced that population control policies would become the “centerpiece of U.S. foreign policy” [when she stated](#) the following at the 15th Anniversary of the International Conference on Population and Development:<sup>215</sup>

This year, the United States renewed funding of reproductive healthcare through the United Nations

---

<sup>215</sup> “Remarks On The 15<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Of The International Conference On Population And Development.” Hillary Rodham Clinton. Secretary of State. Washington D.C. January 8, 2010. U.S. Department of State website. <http://www.state.gov/secretary/20092013clinton/rm/2010/01/135001.htm> Accessed on September 3, 2015.

Population Fund, and more funding is on the way. (Applause.) The U.S. Congress recently appropriated more than \$648 million in foreign assistance to family planning and reproductive health programs worldwide. That's the largest allocation in more than a decade – since we last had a Democratic president, I might add.<sup>216</sup>

Gates' insane Common Core program - a monstrous [wealth of stupidity](#) and confounding nonsense - is also a unifying connection between Clinton and Gates.<sup>217</sup> For instance, Clinton, while attempting to dodge some of the criticism and fire surrounding Common Core from parents and teachers who see the program [for what it is](#), has [openly stated that she supports](#) the program.<sup>218</sup>  
219

---

<sup>216</sup> "Remarks On The 15<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Of The International Conference On Population And Development." Hillary Rodham Clinton. Secretary of State. Washington D.C. January 8, 2010. U.S. Department of State website. <http://www.state.gov/secretary/20092013clinton/rm/2010/01/135001.htm> Accessed on September 3, 2015.

<sup>217</sup> Rappoport, Jon. "Common Core Education: The Insane Bottom Line." Activist Post. April 4, 2015. <http://www.activistpost.com/2015/04/common-core-education-insane-bottom-line.html> Accessed on September 3, 2015.

<sup>218</sup> Callaghan, Heather. "3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Government Homework: 'Good Citizens Do Not Argue.'" Activist Post. January 27, 2014. <http://www.activistpost.com/2014/01/3rd-grade-government-homework-good.html> Accessed on September 3, 2015.

<sup>219</sup> Layton, Lindsey. "How Bill Gates Pulled Off The Swift Common Core Revolution." Washington Post. June 7, 2014. [http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/how-bill-gates-pulled-off-the-swift-common-core-revolution/2014/06/07/a830e32e-ec34-11e3-9f5c-9075d5508f0a\\_story.html](http://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/how-bill-gates-pulled-off-the-swift-common-core-revolution/2014/06/07/a830e32e-ec34-11e3-9f5c-9075d5508f0a_story.html) Accessed on September 3, 2015.

Thus, an informed observer would be lead to question whether or not Hillary is as committed to seeing American children fall even further behind in terms of general intelligence, ability, and overall achievement or if she is merely shilling for the Gates Foundation that has provided her and her family's own foundation with so much money. It could be a combination of the two. It also could be that Hillary is just as devoted to the destruction of the family, the "lower" classes, and functional society as a whole as Bill Gates. Considering her history, I would put my money on the latter.

# Hillary Gets Her Marching Orders From The CFR

For those who may be unaware, the Council on Foreign Relations is essentially a sister organization to the Royal Institute of International Affairs, one of the primary Anglo-American policy developers and functions as one of the most effective direct control mechanisms in the world in regards to government-based decisions and governmental policy decisions. It is from the RIIA and the CFR, as well as the other relevant sister organizations set up in nations all across the world, that the direction in which the world will go is decided and implemented through a number of other front organizations, conferences, publications, foundations, and NGOs.

Politicians – Senators, Congressmen, Presidents, Prime Ministers, Parliamentarians – all attend their relevant organization’s functions, participate in “discussions” and hold memberships in their relevant organizations for the purposes of receiving their “marching orders” from their bosses. As one might suspect, this is no different for Hillary Clinton.

While a list of members and participants of the CFR would be far too exhaustive a list to reproduce during the course of this study, it is nevertheless important to point out that not only is

[Dick Cheney a member](#) of the organization, he was actually a director for a period of time.<sup>220</sup>

In fact, David Rockefeller was Chairman of the CFR until [Peter G. Peterson](#), banker, hedge fund hyena, and [Rothschild associate](#), took over the position.<sup>221 222</sup> Robert Rubin later took the post but David Rockefeller has remained [an honorary chairman](#) ever since.<sup>223</sup>

While Clinton herself is not an official member of the CFR, her affiliations with the organization are abundantly clear as she herself admits. On July 15, 2009, Clinton spoke to the Washington DC satellite facility of the [CFR where she stated](#),

“I am delighted to be here in these new headquarters. I have been often to, I guess, the mother ship in New York City, but it’s good to have an outpost of the Council right here down the street from the State Department.”

---

<sup>220</sup> “Cheney On CFR, Council On Foreign Relations.” Youtube. Posted by Nick McNulty. December 6, 2007. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XOAK-7F1EVU> Accessed on September 3, 2015. Dick Cheney speaking at the Council on Foreign Relations regarding his prior directorship.

<sup>221</sup> “Peter G. Peterson.” Public Intelligence. May 26, 2009. <https://publicintelligence.net/peter-g-peterson/> Accessed on September 3, 2015. “

<sup>222</sup> “Board” and “Honorary Directorships.” Peterson Institute For International Economics. <http://www.piie.com/institute/board.cfm#627> Accessed on September 3, 2015.

<sup>223</sup> “David Rockefeller.” Council On Foreign Relations. <http://www.cfr.org/staff/b987> Accessed on September 3, 2015.

“We get a lot of advice from the Council, so this will mean I won’t have as far to go **to be told what we should be doing and how we should think about the future.**”<sup>224</sup>

See this link for the video of Clinton’s speech.<sup>225</sup>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ba9wxl1Dmas>

Clinton’s speech then went on to address the “global agenda,” “global consensus,” and “global architecture” of the coming years.

Despite her own lack of official membership with the CFR, an invited address to the organization often functions as a means of acceptance into the group. In other words, it should be understood as a de facto membership.

Nevertheless, both [Clinton’s husband and daughter](#) are indeed official members of the CFR.<sup>226</sup> When Hillary was appointed as Secretary of State, her own first appointment to the agency was George Mitchell, not only a member of the [CFR but](#)

---

<sup>224</sup> “Foreign Policy Address at the Council on Foreign Relations.” July 15, 2009. U.S. Department of State website. <http://www.state.gov/secretary/20092013clinton/rm/2009a/july/126071.htm> Accessed on September 3, 2015.

<sup>225</sup> “Hillary Clinton Admits The CFR Gives The Orders.” Youtube. Posted by FreeThinker2012. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Ba9wxl1Dmas> Accessed on September 3, 2015.

<sup>226</sup> “Membership Rostere (as of September 3, 2015).” Council On Foreign Relations. <http://www.cfr.org/about/membership/roster.html?letter=C> Accessed on September 3, 2015.

[also a former director](#).<sup>227</sup> Mitchell owed the jumpstart of his [political career to Jimmy Carter](#), another member of the CFR.<sup>228</sup>

Clinton's ties to the Council on Foreign Relations are solid and her foreign policy as well as her domestic policies should serve as ample evidence that the agenda of the CFR and the agenda of Hillary Clinton are one in the same.

---

<sup>227</sup> "Continuing The Inquiry – Historical Roster of Directors and Officers." Council on Foreign Relations.

<http://www.cfr.org/about/history/cfr/appendix.html> Accessed on September 3, 2015.

<sup>228</sup> Ray, Mitchell. "George Mitchell." Encyclopedia Britannica.

<http://www.britannica.com/biography/George-Mitchell> Accessed on September 3, 2015.

# **Hillary Clinton Supported The TARP and The Banker Bailout**

If Clinton's ties to Wall Street were not bad enough, one need only look to her Wall Street voting record to see the dividends such ties have paid to the American people. Clinton is, without a doubt, one of the most heavily-funded candidates by Wall Street in the 2016 elections and the Clinton machine has been so corrupt throughout the years that one would almost be tempted to wonder whether or not the millions of dollars flowing in to Hillary's hands via Wall Street is what prompted her to promote such treachery or whether the money is simply payment for the treachery rendered. It's a chicken or the egg conundrum, really.

Regardless, one need only look to Clinton's record when it came to the TARP program and the infamous Banker Bailout of 2009 to see whose side Clinton comes down upon.

In 2009, as the banking industry had once again revealed itself as a perverted gambling casino of toxic nothingness and an enemy to both working people and first world living standards, the question put before Congress was whether or not to put the US government (meaning the American people) on the hook for Wall Street's corrupt dealings and bad (though mostly coordinated and intentional) decisions.

While the American public has tended to be out to lunch on important issues, the overwhelming majority of Americans were still clearly opposed to the 2009 banker bailout. Of course, that didn't stop the President, the Senate, or the House from supporting it.

While Congressmen [Ron Paul](#) and [Dennis Kucinich](#) were rising to the floor of the House and demanding that Congress vote against bailing out banks and leaving the American people to deal with the consequences on their own, Congressmen and women like [John McCain](#), Barack Obama, and Hillary Clinton were expressing their support for the bill.<sup>229 230 231</sup> While John McCain hilariously rushed back to Washington to discuss the bill with Bush, Clinton [pretended to be a measured skeptic](#) while ultimately doing what any informed observer knew she would do all along – throw the American people to the wolves while rushing to save Wall Street.<sup>232</sup>

---

<sup>229</sup> “Ron Paul Votes NO BAILOUT (Speech On The House Floor).” Youtube. Posted by Jaralero. Accessed on September 3, 2015. September 29, 2008, U.S. House of Representatives. Ron Paul [R-TX] votes NO on the bailout bill.

<sup>230</sup> Hedges, Chris. “Dennis Kucinich On The Democrats’ Bailout Betrayal.” October 5, 2008.

[http://www.truthdig.com/report/item/20081006\\_dennis\\_kucinich\\_on\\_the\\_democrats\\_bailout\\_betrayal](http://www.truthdig.com/report/item/20081006_dennis_kucinich_on_the_democrats_bailout_betrayal) Accessed on September, 3, 2015.

<sup>231</sup> “McCain, Obama Headed To Washington For Bailout Talks.” CNN. September 25, 2008.

<http://www.cnn.com/2008/POLITICS/09/24/campaign.wrap/index.html?eref=onion> Accessed on September 3, 2015.

<sup>232</sup> Smith, Ben. “Clinton’s Bailout Statement.” Politico. September 19, 2008. <http://www.politico.com/blogs/ben-smith/2008/09/clintons-bailout-statement-012058> Accessed on September 3, 2015.

[Clinton voted for the banker bailout.](#)<sup>233</sup>

By putting the American people on the hook for Wall Street's mistakes, offering Wall Street a continual zero interest loan "window," and leaving the beaten down American people to clean up the mess, Clinton and her ilk not only helped ensure that another crisis, even bigger than 2008, would happen in the future. After all, derivatives, the toxic financial instruments that caused the crisis to begin with, were not banned, taxed, or reigned in.

In fact, virtually nothing of any consequence was done to make sure the situation would not happen again and certainly nothing was done to fix what had already transpired. Clinton's vote also ensured that, in the time in between 2008 and the next big crash, wealth inequality would continue to grow and living standards of the United States would continue to fall.

---

<sup>233</sup> "The Senate Bailout Vote." Politico. October 1, 2008.

<http://www.politico.com/story/2008/10/the-senate-bailout-vote-014196>

Accessed on September 3, 2015.

# Hillary Clinton: Changing Positions At Every Election

As the tide of public opinion goes, so goes Hillary Clinton's campaign rhetoric. Note the term "campaign rhetoric" here since it is not Clinton's true positions that change, it is only her words. If America is sick of war, Hillary is sick of war (except for the new one). If America is angry at banks, Hillary is angry at banks (except when she votes to bail them out). If Americans are beginning to question vaccine safety, Hillary questions their safety. If trendies are afraid of getting sick and there is a temporary false consensus that vaccines work, Hillary is pro vaccine. The list goes on and on.

Below are only a few points on which Hillary Clinton has made an about face in regards to her alleged positions on the campaign trail.

**Vaccines** - In stark contrast to candidate Clinton in 2008 when questions over the safety and effectiveness of vaccines were coming to the political consciousness of the American people, Hillary has now become a vocal cheerleader of Big Pharma and vaccinations.

While her opinion is odious enough on its face, it is quite the change from the opinion she held as a [candidate in 2008](#) when she stated that there was the possibility that vaccines were

linked to autism.<sup>234</sup> In fact, she wrote in a [campaign questionnaire](#) that she was committed to finding out the causes of autism, including “possible environmental causes like vaccines.”<sup>235</sup>

In 2015, however, when it became clear that questions surrounding vaccines was not going to remain a part of the “fringe” of society among both the right and the left and the propaganda campaign began full-steam ahead to cut off any mass movement against vaccination, Clinton began showing her true colors, [coming out in favor](#) of the pro-vaccine crowd and suggesting that anyone who question the safety or effectiveness of vaccines was a luddite and an anti-science crackpot.<sup>236</sup> Clinton was one in a long line of presidential hopefuls who made sure to take part in the propaganda campaign against concerned parents, affected individuals, and informed citizens.

[Clinton then took to social media](#) to make [a jab at those](#) who consider toxic chemicals like mercury, aluminum, polysorbate-80, or even live viruses to be cause for concern when

---

<sup>234</sup> Cohen, Rebecca. “Hillary Clinton Says All Kids Should Get Vaccinated – But She Wasn’t Always So Certain.” Mother Jones. February 3, 2015. <http://www.motherjones.com/politics/2015/02/hillary-clinton-vaccine-tweet> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>235</sup> Ross, Chuck. “Hillary Clinton Wanted To Investigate Link Between Autism and Vaccinations.” Daily Caller. February 2, 2015. <http://dailycaller.com/2015/02/02/hillary-clinton-wanted-to-investigate-link-between-autism-and-vaccinations/> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>236</sup> Merica, Dan. “Hillary Clinton Hits GOP With Pro-Vaccine Tweet.” CNN. February 3, 2015. <http://www.cnn.com/2015/02/02/politics/hillary-clinton-vaccines/> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

faced with the question of whether or not to inject them into their children.<sup>237 238</sup>

**Her actual position? In favor of the pharmaceutical companies and banks that make up such a sizeable portion of her campaign donations.**

**Iran Nuclear Deal** – While Hillary has come out publicly and endorsed the Iran nuclear deal clenched by Barack Obama, Republicans were probably too busy calling for nuclear World War Three to have noticed. Likewise, Democrats too were too busy kneeling at the feet of Obama to pay too much attention to Clinton’s statement. However, for a few observers who were of the mistaken belief that Clinton’s rhetoric is to be believed more than her behavior and track record, her statements came as a bit of a shock.

This is because Hillary’s past statements were much more pro-war and hawkish than her tepid endorsement of the Obama deal, itself nothing more than theatre to set the Iranians up for an eventual US invasion once NATO is done with Syria.

[Michael Crowley of TIME writes,](#)

---

<sup>237</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. “Left-Right Paradigm Warps Vaccine Debate: Yes, Parents DO Have The Right To Opt-Out.” Activist Post. February 4, 2015. <http://www.activistpost.com/2015/02/left-right-paradigm-warps-va> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>238</sup> Camia, Catalina. “Hillary Clinton: The Earth Is Round And Vaccines Work.” USA Today. February 3, 2015. <http://www.usatoday.com/story/news/nation-now/2015/02/03/hillary-clinton-vaccines-work-tweet-christie/22783761/> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

Clinton brought a hard-line background to the topic of Iran. In April 2008 she warned that the U.S. could “[totally obliterate](#)” Iran in retaliation for a nuclear attack on Israel—prompting Obama to chastise her for using “language that’s reflective of George Bush.”<sup>239</sup>

In Obama administration debates about Tehran’s nuclear program, Clinton opposed talk of ‘containment,’ a policy option that plans for a world in which Iran possesses a nuclear weapon. Preparing for containment implies a decision not to use military force to prevent an Iranian bomb in the event that diplomacy fails.<sup>240</sup>

Indeed, Clinton’s statements would (and probably did) make war-obsessed psychopaths like Lindsey Graham gleam with pride. In 2008, [she stated to Good Morning America](#),

I want the Iranians to know that if I'm the president, we will attack Iran (if it attacks Israel).

In the next 10 years, during which they might foolishly consider launching an attack on Israel, we would be able to totally obliterate them.

---

<sup>239</sup> Morgan, David. “Clinton Says U.S. Could ‘Totally Obliterate’ Iran.” Reuters. April 22, 2008. <http://www.reuters.com/article/2008/04/22/us-usa-politics-iran-idUSN2224332720080422> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>240</sup> Crowley, Michael. “Hillary Clinton’s Unapologetically Hawkish Record Faces 2016 Test.” TIME. January 14, 2014. <http://swampland.time.com/2014/01/14/hillary-clintons-unapologetically-hawkish-record-faces-2016-test/> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

That's a terrible thing to say but those people who run Iran need to understand that because that perhaps will deter them from doing something that would be reckless, foolish and tragic.<sup>241</sup>

In an interview with Jeffrey Goldberg of The Atlantic, [she stated brashly](#),

I've always been in the camp that held that they did not have a right to enrichment. Contrary to their claim, there is no such thing as a right to enrich. This is absolutely unfounded. There is no such right. I am well aware that I am not at the negotiating table anymore, but I think it's important to send a signal to everybody who is there that there cannot be a deal unless there is a clear set of restrictions on Iran. The preference would be no enrichment. The potential fallback position would be such little enrichment that they could not break out."<sup>242</sup>

Of course, [there is a right to enrich](#).<sup>243</sup> There is a right to enrich up to the levels that would indeed allow for the capability

---

<sup>241</sup> Morgan, David. "Clinton Says U.S. Could 'Totally Obliterate' Iran." Reuters. April 22, 2008. <http://www.reuters.com/article/2008/04/22/us-usa-politics-iran-idUSN2224332720080422> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>242</sup> Goldberg, Jeffrey. "Hillary Clinton: 'Failure' To Help Syrian Rebels Led To The Rise Of ISIS." The Atlantic. August 10, 2014. <http://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2014/08/hillary-clinton-failure-to-help-syrian-rebels-led-to-the-rise-of-isis/375832/> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>243</sup> Sahimi, Muhammad. "Iran Has A Right To Enrich – And America Already Recognized It." The National Interest. November 19, 2013.

to create a nuclear weapon but stopping short of actually doing so. In other words, since Iran is a signatory to the Nuclear Nonproliferation Treaty, it is entitled all avenues of nuclear technology for peaceful purposes, including uranium enrichment.

Obviously, judging by her track record, Clinton is anything but anti-war. Going from “totally obliterate” to “willing to work with” is quite the turnaround. Indeed, only last year, Clinton was boasting that “I voted for every sanction that came down the pike against Iran.”

[As a Senator in 2007](#), she backed a resolution to designate the Iranian Revolutionary Guard Corps as a terrorist organization.<sup>244</sup>

In February, 2007 Clinton had proclaimed, that “You don’t refuse to talk to bad people. I think life is filled with uncomfortable situations where you have to deal with people you might not like. I’m sort of an expert on that. I have consistently urged the president to talk to Iran and talk to Syria. I think it’s a sign of strength, not weakness.”

However, after Obama proclaimed that he would do just that if elected President, Clinton responded “I thought that was irresponsible and frankly naïve.”

---

<http://nationalinterest.org/commentary/iran-has-right-enrich%E2%80%9494-america-already-recognized-it-9425> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>244</sup> Crowley, Michael. “Hillary Endorses Nuclear Deal.” Politico. July 14, 2015. <http://www.politico.com/story/2015/07/hillary-clinton-iran-nuclear-deal-120078> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

[When asked in a later debate](#) if she would do the same, Clinton responded negatively stating that “I don’t want to be used for propaganda purposes.”<sup>245</sup>

Clinton has shifted back and forth on the Iranian issue but only in the directions in which the winds tend to be blowing. Overall, considering her track record with Syria, Iraq, Libya, and any other possible war she can support, it’s doubtful that her endorsement of the recent deal is genuine in any way.

### **Her real position? Undoubtedly Pro war.**

**Gay Marriage** – Hillary Clinton has always supported equal rights for same-sex couples, except when she hasn’t. In 2016, Clinton is known as someone who supports gay marriage on practical and conceptual basis. However, that hasn’t always been the case.

To get a brief overview of Clinton 2016, read the beginning of the piece by [Sam Frizell of TIME magazine on June 27, 2015](#), where he writes,

Hillary Clinton praised the Supreme Court decision to guarantee same-sex marriages on Friday night and forcefully condemned the Republicans’ response to the ruling, warning the GOP presidential field not to turn LGBT issues into a “political football for this 2016 campaign.”

---

<sup>245</sup> “Clinton: Obama Is ‘Naïve’ On Foreign Policy.” NBC. July 24, 2007. [http://www.nbcnews.com/id/19933710/ns/politics-the\\_debates/t/clinton-obama-naive-foreign-policy/#.VeneQBFVikp](http://www.nbcnews.com/id/19933710/ns/politics-the_debates/t/clinton-obama-naive-foreign-policy/#.VeneQBFVikp) Accessed on September 4, 2015.

“It was an emotional roller coaster of a day, Clinton said. “This morning, love triumphed in the highest court in our land. Equality triumphed, and America triumphed.”

“Instead of trying to turn back the clock,” Clinton continued, Republicans “should be joining us in saying no to discrimination once and for all.”

Clinton’s comments on Friday evening were her first public remarks in the wake of Friday’s Supreme Court ruling that the Constitution guarantees the right for same-sex couples to marry. Her campaign issued a statement Friday in support of the decision and touted it on social media.<sup>246</sup>

Clinton’s comments were indeed her first since the Supreme Court decision but they were not her first regarding the issue.

In 1996, when Bill Clinton signed the Defense of Marriage Act, Hillary was right there at his side. Indeed, his position and hers were the same.

In 2000, when she was running for NY Senate, Clinton stated that “Marriage has got historic, religious and moral content that goes back to the beginning of time, and I think a marriage is as a marriage has always been, between a man and a woman.”

[In 2004, after winning her Senate campaign](#), Hillary took to the Senate floor and stated clearly that she believed marriage was

---

<sup>246</sup> Frizell, Sam. “Hillary Clinton Praises Gay Marriage Decision And Hounds GOP.” TIME. June 27, 2015. <http://time.com/3938898/hillary-clinton-gay-marriage/> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

only between a man and a woman.<sup>247</sup> She stated “I believe marriage is not just a bond but a sacred bond between a man and a woman.” She continued by stating “..the fundamental bedrock principle that [marriage] exists between a man and a woman, going back into the midst of history as one of the founding, foundational institutions of history and humanity and civilization, and that its primary, principal role during those millennia has been the raising and socializing of children for the society into which they are to become adults.”

Clinton continued her opposition to gay marriage through her 2008 Presidential campaign and even all the way up until 2013, when the tide of public opinion had shifted enough that such a stance was politically safe to do so.

**Her real position? Most likely that Gay marriage is a political football. It is only as important as the gay population’s value on the political chessboard.**

**Iraq** – Hillary Clinton was one of the most vociferous Democratic supporters of the illegal and immoral invasion of the sovereign nation of Iraq. Hillary Clinton not only supported the push for war in Iraq, she voted for invasion. In fact, she was fervent in her support for the war, delivering impassioned speeches on the Senate floor in order to convince members of Congress who might have been on the fence, as well as the general American population and a handful of Democrats and

---

<sup>247</sup> Biddle, Sam. “Remember When Hillary Clinton Was Against Gay Marriage?” Gawker. June 26, 2015. <http://gawker.com/remember-when-hillary-clinton-was-against-gay-marriage-1714147439> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

liberals who valued her opinion on the topic. Indeed, Hillary's speech promoting war in Iraq rivaled in George W. Bush who was campaigning night and day on American television.

[Hillary stated on the floor of the Senate:](#)

"I believe the facts that have brought us to this fateful vote are not in doubt. Saddam Hussein is a tyrant who has tortured and killed his own people, even his own family members, to maintain his iron grip on power. He used chemical weapons on Iraqi Kurds and on Iranians, killing over 20,000 people."<sup>248</sup>

Clinton then began to detail not only why she believed the United States should begin their invasion, but also insinuated that the operation, if the first Gulf war was anything to go by, would not result in a long drawn out conflict but one more like the first. Although Clinton did not state this directly, the implication was that it was time go in and finish the job but much of the work was already done.

She also rushed to point out that international community ("everyone") knew that Saddam did have weapons of mass destruction. She stated that "The [U.N.] inspectors found and destroyed far more weapons of mass destruction capability than were destroyed in the Gulf War, including thousands of chemical weapons, large volumes of chemical and biological stocks, a

---

<sup>248</sup> Curl, Joseph. "Hillary Clinton Supported Iraq War – Before She Opposed It." Washington Times. May 17, 2015.

<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/may/17/joseph-curl-hillary-clinton-supported-iraq-war-bef/?page=all> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

number of missiles and warheads, a major lab equipped to produce anthrax and other bioweapons, as well as substantial nuclear facilities.”

Although Clinton now attempts to brush off her treasonous assistance to drum up an illegal and immoral war in Iraq as a mistake, the truth is that anyone with any political judgement knew that the war itself was based on lies and would be a tragic adventure. They knew all of this at the time. Although she also attempts to blame the “faulty intelligence” of the Bush administration, it was Hillary Clinton herself who once referred to the intelligence as “undisputed.”

**Her real position? As always, pro-war.**

# Hillary Clinton Praised By Neo-Cons

Proving that there is no discernible difference between Republican and Democratic administrations (as if the Bush-Obama years were not enough proof), Hillary Clinton's dramatically pro-war, Zionist, and police state policies are earning her the support or at least the lack of public opposition from noted Neo-Con figures who typically play the role of the right-wing Republican side of the dialectic.

Consider Jacob Heilbrunn's article in the New York Times entitled "The Next Act of the Neocons," where he argued that many hawkish Neo-Cons are considering the possibility of crossing over from the GOP to the Hillary Clinton camp. While the ideology of the Neo-Cons is scarcely discernible from other factions in the US ruling class, there are minor differences in terms of the presentation of that ideology. This presentation has been carefully crafted by the ruling class for years for the purposes of dividing and ruling the American people. The fact that a seemingly "conservative" movement would thus be so open about supporting a seemingly "liberal" candidate is extremely telling.

Heilbrunn's article tends to focus on the possibility that neo-cons like Robert Kagan are considering open support for Clinton. He writes,

Even as they castigate Mr. Obama, the neocons may be preparing a more brazen feat: aligning themselves with Hillary Rodham Clinton and her nascent presidential campaign, in a bid to return to the driver's seat of American foreign policy.

.....

It's not as outlandish as it may sound. Consider the historian Robert Kagan, the author of a recent, [roundly praised article](#) in The New Republic that amounted to a neo-neocon manifesto.<sup>249</sup> He has not only avoided the vitriolic tone that has afflicted some of his intellectual brethren but also co-founded an influential bipartisan advisory group during Mrs. Clinton's time at the State Department.

Mr. Kagan has also been careful to avoid landing at standard-issue neocon think tanks like the American Enterprise Institute; instead, he's a senior fellow at the Brookings Institution, that citadel of liberalism headed by Strobe Talbott, who was deputy secretary of state under President Bill Clinton and is considered a strong candidate to become secretary of state in a new Democratic administration. (Mr. Talbott called the Kagan article

---

<sup>249</sup> Kagan, Robert. "Superpowers Don't Get To Retire." Foreign Policy. May 26, 2014. <http://www.newrepublic.com/article/117859/allure-normalcy-what-america-still-owes-world> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

“magisterial,” in what amounts to a public baptism into the liberal establishment.)<sup>250</sup>

[Jason Horowitz, also writing for the New York Times](#), quotes Kagan as being comfortable with Clinton in terms of her foreign policy. Horowitz writes,

“I feel comfortable with her on foreign policy,” Mr. Kagan said, adding that the next step after Mr. Obama’s more realist approach “could theoretically be whatever Hillary brings to the table” if elected president. “If she pursues a policy which we think she will pursue,” he added, “it’s something that might have been called neocon, but clearly her supporters are not going to call it that; they are going to call it something else.”<sup>251</sup>

Heilbrunn also points out that Kagan is not the only well-known Neo-Con who is open to public support for the Clinton campaign. [Max Boot has also expressed](#) respect for Clinton when he described her tenure as Secretary of State.<sup>252</sup> He describes Clinton by saying, “it is clear that in administration councils she

---

<sup>250</sup> Heilbrunn, Jacob. “The Next Act Of The Neocons.” New York Times. July 5, 2014. <http://www.nytimes.com/2014/07/06/opinion/sunday/are-neocons-getting-ready-to-ally-with-hillary-clinton.html> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>251</sup> Horowitz, Jason. “Events In Iraq Open Door For Interventionist Revival, Historian Says.” New York Times. June 15, 2014. [http://www.nytimes.com/2014/06/16/us/politics/historians-critique-of-obama-foreign-policy-is-brought-alive-by-events-in-iraq.html?src=twrhp&\\_r=1](http://www.nytimes.com/2014/06/16/us/politics/historians-critique-of-obama-foreign-policy-is-brought-alive-by-events-in-iraq.html?src=twrhp&_r=1) Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>252</sup> Boot, Max. “Why Is Robert Gates Angry?” New Republic. February 26, 2014. <http://www.newrepublic.com/article/116500/duty-memoirs-secretary-war-reviewed-max-boot> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

was a principled voice for a strong stand on controversial issues, whether supporting the Afghan surge or the intervention in Libya. Later she urged arming the moderate opposition during the early days of the Syrian civil war—advice that, if Obama had taken it, might well have short-circuited the violent disintegration of Syria, which is far advanced today.”

Heilbrunn also writes that former Ambassador to Russia, Michael McFaul, a Neo-Con in everything but official title, echoes the sentiments of Boot and Kagan and that measure of support for Clinton might also be expected from his camp.

Journalist Robert Parry summed up Clinton’s history of pro-Neo-Con positions in his article, [“Is Hillary Clinton A NeoCon-Lite?”](#) by writing,

Based on her public record and Gates’s insider account, Clinton could be expected to favor a more neoconservative approach to the Mideast, one more in line with the traditional thinking of Official Washington and the belligerent dictates of Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu.

As a U.S. senator and as Secretary of State, Clinton rarely challenged the conventional wisdom or resisted the use of military force to solve problems. She famously voted for the Iraq War in 2002 – falling for President George W. Bush’s bogus WMD case – and remained a war supporter until her position became politically untenable during Campaign 2008.

Representing New York, Clinton rarely if ever criticized Israeli actions. In summer 2006, as Israeli warplanes pounded southern Lebanon, killing more than 1,000 Lebanese, Sen. Clinton shared a stage with Israel's Ambassador to the United Nations Dan Gillerman who had said, "While it may be true – and probably is – that not all Muslims are terrorists, it also happens to be true that nearly all terrorists are Muslim."

At a pro-Israel rally with Clinton in New York on July 17, 2006, Gillerman proudly defended Israel's massive violence against targets in Lebanon. "Let us finish the job," Gillerman told the crowd. "We will excise the cancer in Lebanon" and "cut off the fingers" of Hezbollah. Responding to international concerns that Israel was using "disproportionate" force in bombing Lebanon and killing hundreds of civilians, Gillerman said, "You're damn right we are." [NYT, July 18, 2006]

Sen. Clinton did not protest Gillerman's remarks, since doing so would presumably have offended an important pro-Israel constituency.<sup>253</sup>

Of course, in this sense the term "pro-Israel constituency" can easily be translated to mean "neo-con constituency" since, in reality, that is exactly what it is. Clearly, Clinton was not going to

---

<sup>253</sup> Parry, Robert. "Is Hillary Clinton A Neo-Con Lite?" Consortium News. April 23, 2015. <https://consortiumnews.com/2015/04/23/is-hillary-clinton-a-neocon-lite-2/> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

offend the Neo-Con leadership not because she is simply courting campaign funds but because Clinton herself is a Neo-Con.

# Hillary Clinton And The Dicks In The White House

Gary Aldrich, a disgruntled former FBI agent who served in the Clinton White House, has dished on many of the depraved dealings of both Bill and Hillary Clinton. Ranging from the raunchy to the criminal, few of Aldrich's accusations have reached the level of bizarre that his claim of Hillary's choice of Christmas tree decorations was able to reach.

Aldrich wrote a book about his experiences with the Clinton White House entitled "[Unlimited Access](#)," in which he detailed a number of concerning experiences. In his book, Aldrich relates how, according to him, Hillary had the White House Christmas tree decked out with penis ornaments, phallic symbols, condoms, cock rings, and drug paraphernalia. In a number of excerpts from pages 101-106, Aldrich recounted what he claims took place during the Clinton holiday.

. . . . Just before Decorating Saturday, I ran into some of my old team members from the previous Christmas...

. . . . .

"You aren't missing anything. You wouldn't believe what they're calling 'Christmas decorations' this year. It's unbelievable. In fact, it's downright disgraceful. There's this one ornament, a clear lucite block, and inside are

some old computer parts, and that's a Christmas ornament, see?"

My other former team member chimed in, "Yeah, it's true, and there's all of this carved dark wood, not resembling much of anything—just sticks and twigs tied together. They look like fertility gods or something. We can't tell."

"Yeah, and there are pots, and carvings, some that look kind of obscene, and boxes, but nowhere can we find anything that resembles Christmas. Nowhere."

"And have you seen Bertha?"

Yes, I had seen Bertha—big, ebony Bertha. Bertha was a statue that Hillary had selected to be placed along the public tour line. About eleven other examples of modern art were in the Jackie Kennedy Garden (the companion garden to the Rose Garden). Bertha was twice life-size and was very naked. In addition, Bertha had enormous buttocks, far out of proportion to the rest of her body.

That is why the permanent White House staff named her Bertha, which was short for "Bertha's Big Butt." This is what the first lady considered appropriate for the eyes of the thousands and thousands of visitors who daily toured the White House—Bertha's Big Butt...

.....

Fast forward to one year later. Again I was asked to help decorate White House. I didn't get it. There wasn't much

to do. The Clintons didn't like tinsel—not one tree had any tinsel—nor was there any snow, nor did there seem to be much for decorators to do...

Perhaps Hillary didn't trust us. She had, in fact, "hired" some volunteers of her own. While in New York, Hillary had seen an office she thought was well-decorated. She ordered the staff to find the decorators and bring them down.

The permanent White House staff wasn't wild about this idea, but, after all, it was the first lady's show, and everyone understood that it would be done the way Hillary Clinton wanted it done...

The GSA, the Park Service, and the Residence maintenance staff had erected all the trees. Some staff were on high ladders, hanging evergreen garlands. We gathered around folding tables to unpack the ornament boxes.

It took about ten seconds to get the first reaction. "What in the world?"

Then another. "What the hell?"

Then another. "Look at this thing! What is it?"

"Hillary's ornaments is what!"

From one end of the hall to the other, about forty people were picking up these "things," staring at them, turning them around, trying to figure them out or stifle their

embarrassed laughter. I turned to one of my team members. "What are these things?"

"I heard the theme is The Twelve Days of Christmas, as interpreted by art students from around the country. Hillary sent a letter out just two months ago, really late actually, asking budding artists to send in an interpretation of The Twelve Days of Christmas, and this is what they came up with."

I couldn't believe what I was looking at. "This stuff is just childish garbage! We can't hang this stuff on any White House Christmas tree! This is a bad joke."

"Gary, the orders from the First Lady's Office are to hang these. It's what she wants, so we have to hang them. Anyway, many of them are from 'blue ribbon' art schools, as designated by the Secretary of Education. The whole administration has a stake in this."

"Well, if this is blue ribbon, then we're in serious trouble, educationally." I pulled out one ornament that was five real onion rings (five golden rings) glued to a white styrofoam tray, with a hook attached to the back so it could be hung. But where? Maybe in Clinton's bedroom so he could rip off a midnight snack?

I was disgusted, but some of it was actually pretty funny.

"Gary, come here, look at this!" It was a mobile of twelve lords a-leaping. They were leaping all right. The ornament

consisted of tiny clay male figurines. Each was naked and had a large erection. My friend said, “Whoops!” and he dropped it on the floor. Then, “Oh, no,” as he stomped on it. He joked, “Man, I hope I don’t get in trouble with Hillary for that!”

Some of the ornaments were silly and some were dangerous, like the crack pipes hung on a string. We couldn’t figure out what crack pipes had to do with Christmas no matter how hard we tried, so threw them back in the box. Some ornaments were constructed of various drug paraphernalia, like syringes, heroin spoons, or roach clips, which are colorful devices sometimes adorned with bird feather and used to hold marijuana joints.

Two turtle doves became two figurines that had the shells of turtles but the heads of birds; there were many of these. Four calling birds were—you guessed it—birds with a telephone, and there were at two miniature phone booths with four birds inside using the telephone. There was a partridge in a pear, without the tree—a clay pear with a partridge head sticking out of it. Three French hens were French kissing in a menage a trois. So many of the ornaments didn’t celebrate Christmas as much as they celebrated sex, drugs, and rock and roll. Several of the birds had dark glasses and were blowing saxophones...

.....

I went over to one of the tables I hadn't looked at yet. What's this? Of course. Two turtle doves, but they didn't have shells this time—they were joined together in an act of bird fornication.

I picked up another ornament that was supposed to illustrate five golden rings. One of the male florist volunteers grabbed my arm and laughed and laughed...

.....

I was holding were sex toys known as "cock rings"—and they had nothing to do with chickens.

Another mystery ornament was the gingerbread man. How did he fit into The Twelve Days of Christmas? Then I got it. There were five small, gold rings I hadn't seen at first: one in his ear, one in his nose, one through his nipple, one through his belly button, and, of course, the ever-popular cock ring.

I couldn't believe the disrespect that these ornaments represented. Many of the artists invited to make and send something to hang on the tree must have had nothing but disgust, hatred, and disrespect for the White House and the citizens of this country, a disgust obviously encouraged by the first lady in the name of artistic freedom...

.....

Here was another five golden rings ornament—five gold-wrapped condoms. I threw it in the trash. There were

other condom ornaments, some still in the wrapper, some not. Two sets had been “blown” into balloons and tied to small trees. I wasn’t sure what the connection was to The Twelve Days of Christmas. Condoms in a pear tree? ...

.....

Hillary’s social secretary, Ann Stock, came down, carefully looked at the tree and its decorations and pronounced it “perfect” and “delightful.” ...

.....<sup>254</sup>

While it is possible that Aldrich’s claims are false, the behavior of Hillary Clinton herself would lend credence to the possibility that the claims are indeed true. If Aldrich’s claims are accurate, it would admittedly not be an act on the level of the most well-known Clinton treachery involving drug running, wars, corruption and the like. However, it would be incredibly revealing in terms of the character of a potential President of the United States and one that should not be ignored when considering

---

<sup>254</sup> Aldrich, Gary. *Unlimited Access: An FBI Agent Inside The Clinton White House*. Regnery Publishing. March, 1998.  
[https://books.google.com/books?id=TB7LLC\\_YwZIC&pg=PA101&lpg=PA101&dq=gary+aldrich+.+.+.+.+Just+before+Decorating+Saturday,+I+ran+into+some+of+my+old+team+members+from+the+previous+Christmas%E2%80%A6&source=bl&ots=dMjQuUchka&sig=doMAoOTAPOMacTvoMTxA7dGrWr0&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0CCMQ6AEwAWoVChMI-cH2m4TexwIVipyACh1MdQWR#v=onepage&q=Lucite&f=false](https://books.google.com/books?id=TB7LLC_YwZIC&pg=PA101&lpg=PA101&dq=gary+aldrich+.+.+.+.+Just+before+Decorating+Saturday,+I+ran+into+some+of+my+old+team+members+from+the+previous+Christmas%E2%80%A6&source=bl&ots=dMjQuUchka&sig=doMAoOTAPOMacTvoMTxA7dGrWr0&hl=en&sa=X&ved=0CCMQ6AEwAWoVChMI-cH2m4TexwIVipyACh1MdQWR#v=onepage&q=Lucite&f=false) Accessed on September 4, 2015. [http://www.amazon.com/Unlimited-Access-Agent-Inside-Clinton/dp/0895264064/ref=sr\\_1\\_1?s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1441392601&sr=1-1&keywords=9780895264060](http://www.amazon.com/Unlimited-Access-Agent-Inside-Clinton/dp/0895264064/ref=sr_1_1?s=books&ie=UTF8&qid=1441392601&sr=1-1&keywords=9780895264060)

whether or not Clinton is worthy, competent, or capable of serving as President.

# Hillary Clinton: Rationing Healthcare Before Rationing Healthcare Was Cool

Americans are only beginning to realize what a colossal mistake allowing the passage of the Affordable Health Care Act actually was. Far from being true universal health care delivery (a system that could easily be created by [taxing Wall Street turnover](#)), Obamacare was nothing more than a combination of forced participation in a private market and the ultimate rationing of that health care once the purchase of insurance was made.<sup>255</sup>

Since the passage of the AHA, Americans have seen the premiums they have been forced to buy from private insurers [sky-rocket in cost](#) while those on government-based healthcare programs like Medicare and Medicaid have seen [cuts and gutting](#).<sup>256</sup> <sup>257</sup> Those large numbers of individuals who are unable

---

<sup>255</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. "The Case For The 1% Wall Street Sales Tax." Activist Post. February 25, 2013. <http://www.activistpost.com/2013/02/the-case-for-1-wall-street-sales-tax.html> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>256</sup> Luhby, Tami. "Obamacare Sticker Shock: Big Rate Hikes Proposed For 2016." CNN. June 2, 2015.

<http://money.cnn.com/2015/06/02/news/economy/obamacare-rates/> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>257</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. "Political Debt Compromise Will Be Austerity Not Real Economic Solutions." Activist Post. October 23, 2013. <http://www.activistpost.com/2013/10/political-debt-compromise-will-be.html> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

to afford coverage, do not have it provided by their employers, or not enrolled in a government program, are forced to either buy extremely expensive plans or go without. For those that go without, a massive tax penalty awaits at the end of the year. This has succeeded in creating an entire class of Americans who pay large sums of money without actually receiving health care.

In addition, those fortunate enough to receive healthcare through their employers are subject to a special tax at the end of the year to ensure that every American loses something in the deal.

Even more concerning is the trend toward rationing, an inevitable aspect of the law that was part of the plan from the very beginning. With cuts to government programs and, at the same time, forcing Americans to buy private insurance plans, dire decisions will be made by insurance boards and oversight committees such as the CCCCER as well as Medicare and Medicaid boards. Health care decisions will be based on “cost-effectiveness” instead of what is best for the patient.<sup>258</sup>

These horrors aside, it is important to note that the ACA was not merely a dream of the Obama administration. Nor was it even the dream of the Democratic party. After all, forcing Americans to buy private insurance [was a Republican plan for years](#). During that time, however, leftist onlookers denounced the plan as a bailout for the insurance industry (which it was).

---

<sup>258</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. “Obamacare Is A Eugenics Program.” Infowars.com September 4, 2009. <http://www.infowars.com/obamacare-is-a-eugenics-program/> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

Conservative heroes like Mitt Romney and Newt Gingrich supported the ACA-style plan, complete with the individual mandate, the most onerous aspect of the plan, with special backing and support providing from institutions like the Heritage Foundation.<sup>259</sup>

Heritage supported the plan and the individual mandate as far back as 1989 when Stuart Butler of Heritage proposed a plan he called "[Assuring Affordable Healthcare To All Americans](#)." Butler wrote,

Many states now require passengers in automobiles to wear seatbelts for their own protection. Many others require anybody driving a car to have liability insurance. But neither the federal government nor any state requires all households to protect themselves from the potentially catastrophic costs of a serious accident or illness. Under the Heritage plan, there would be such a requirement.

This mandate is based on two important principles. First, that health care protection is a responsibility of individuals, not businesses. Thus to the extent that anybody should be required to provide coverage to a family, the household mandate assumes that it is the family that carries the first responsibility. Second, it assumes that there is an implicit contract between

---

<sup>259</sup> Roy, Avik. "The Tortuous History Of Conservatives And The Individual Mandate." *Forbes*. February 7, 2012. [http://www.forbes.com/fdc/welcome\\_mjx.shtml](http://www.forbes.com/fdc/welcome_mjx.shtml) Accessed on September 4, 2015.

households and society, based on the notion that health insurance is not like other forms of insurance protection. If a young man wrecks his Porsche and has not had the foresight to obtain insurance, we may commiserate but society feels no obligation to repair his car. But health care is different. If a man is struck down by a heart attack in the street, Americans will care for him whether or not he has insurance. If we find that he has spent his money on other things rather than insurance, we may be angry but we will not deny him services—even if that means more prudent citizens end up paying the tab.

A mandate on individuals recognizes this implicit contract. Society does feel a moral obligation to insure that its citizens do not suffer from the unavailability of health care. But on the other hand, each household has the obligation, to the extent it is able, to avoid placing demands on society by protecting itself...

A mandate on households certainly would force those with adequate means to obtain insurance protection, which would end the problem of middle-class “free riders” on society’s sense of obligation.<sup>260</sup>

Hillary Clinton and her treacherous husband then took up the mantle of the individual mandate and began championing a plan

---

<sup>260</sup> Butler, Stuart M. “Assuring Affordable HealthCare For All Americans.” Heritage Foundation. The Heritage Lectures. Vol. 218. [http://healthcarereform.procon.org/sourcefiles/1989\\_assuring\\_affordable\\_health\\_care\\_for\\_all\\_americans.pdf](http://healthcarereform.procon.org/sourcefiles/1989_assuring_affordable_health_care_for_all_americans.pdf) Accessed on September 4, 2015.

that was extremely similar to the one proposed and passed by the Obama administration. Even the famous Obama lie, “If you like your plan, you keep your plan” was prepared during the Clinton administration as the recent release of a [Clinton-era memo reveals](#). While not Obama’s exact words, “If you like Blue Cross, you can keep your Blue Cross,” is similar enough to say the least, demonstrating that even the deceitful propaganda campaign was devised years earlier.<sup>261</sup>

### [As Discover the Networks describes the Clinton plan,](#)

In 1993 President Bill Clinton launched an effort to provide universal health care coverage based on the idea of “managed competition,” where private insurers would compete in a tightly regulated market. The plan [called for](#) everyone, whether or not they were employed, to carry health insurance and to contribute to its cost, though government subsidies would be made available for the poor. Moreover, the plan required employers to bankroll 80 percent of all policy premium costs for workers and their families. People who were already covered by existing government programs -- Medicare, Medicaid, Department of Veterans Affairs, Indian Health Service, etc. -- would simply continue to use those programs. The Clinton plan proposed to cover all services related to hospitalization, emergency care, office visits, preventive

---

<sup>261</sup> Ballhaus, Rebecca. “Clinton-Era Memo: ‘If You Like Blue Cross, You Can Keep Your Blue Cross.’” Wall Street Journal. April 10, 2015. <http://blogs.wsj.com/washwire/2015/04/10/clinton-era-memo-if-you-like-your-blue-cross-you-can-keep-your-b> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

care, mental health, substance abuse, abortion, prenatal care, hospice care, home health aides, laboratory and diagnostic tests, prescription drugs, rehabilitation, durable medical equipment, prosthetic devices, vision and hearing care, preventive dental care for children, and health education classes.<sup>262</sup>

DTN rightly points out that the individual mandate was an integral part of Hillary's healthcare plan.

[As the New York Times reported on September 11, 1993](#), the Clinton plan required that "Everyone, working or not, would have to carry health insurance and contribute to its cost. . . ." <sup>263</sup>  
264

DTN continues:

Americans rallied against what was called Hillarycare because of the First Lady's role in drafting the legislation. One of the reasons for their opposition was that Mrs. Clinton, who headed the 500-member Health Care Task Force (HCTF), attempted to conduct all HCTF business in

---

<sup>262</sup> "Government-Run Healthcare In The United States." Discover The Networks. <http://www.discoverthenetworks.org/viewSubCategory.asp?id=615> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>263</sup> "How It Would Work." New York Times. September 11, 1993. [http://www.nytimes.com/packages/flash/health/HEALTHCARE\\_TIMELINE/1993\\_clinton.pdf](http://www.nytimes.com/packages/flash/health/HEALTHCARE_TIMELINE/1993_clinton.pdf) Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>264</sup> "Excerpts From Final Draft Of Health Care Overhaul Proposal." New York Times. September 11, 1993. [http://www.nytimes.com/packages/flash/health/HEALTHCARE\\_TIMELINE/1993\\_clinton.pdf](http://www.nytimes.com/packages/flash/health/HEALTHCARE_TIMELINE/1993_clinton.pdf) Accessed on September 4, 2015.

secret meetings led off from public scrutiny. This modus operandi was in violation of so-called “[sunshine laws](#)” forbidding such secret meetings from taking place when non-government employees are present.<sup>265</sup>

While Hillary was unable to get her way in 1993, Americans were eventually browbeaten by catcalls of racism and a false dialectic of choice between oppressive rationed healthcare at the barrel of a gun versus allowing the current oppressive privatized health insurance industry to continue. The reality was that a 1% Wall Street Sales Tax on all Wall Street turnover would have been more than enough to provide Medicare For All at no cost to the American taxpayer, no rationing, and no force being used to compel citizens to participate.<sup>266</sup>

Every American suffering under rationed or cut health care options, confiscated tax returns, fines/taxes as a result of lack of health insurance or receiving employer insurance, or higher premiums must look at Hillary Clinton as the tip of the spear in producing these obstacles to healthcare and reasonable standards of living.

---

<sup>265</sup> “Government-Run Healthcare In The United States.” Discover The Networks. <http://www.discoverthenetworks.org/viewSubCategory.asp?id=615> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>266</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. “The Case For The 1% Wall Street Sales Tax.” Activist Post. February 25, 2013. <http://www.activistpost.com/2013/02/the-case-for-1-wall-street-sales-tax.html> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

# Hillary's Growing Soros Connections

George Soros is most well-known for playing a major role in the funding and facilitating of the "[Bulldozer Revolution](#)" in Serbia that overthrew Slobodan Milosevic in 2000, Georgia's "Rose Revolution" of 2003, the 2006 push to move Turkey toward a more Islamist governing structure, and even the Occupy movement in the United States among a great many others – none of which brought anything other than greater misery, impoverishment, and police state mechanisms to bear on the general public.<sup>267</sup> The Occupy movement, being the only exception, still brought nothing to its participants except the opportunity to burn off excess anger and energy along with a few cracked protester skulls. It was otherwise an incredible waste of time.

Soros is a well-known patron of the American Left – generally radicalized identity politics and cultural Marxist organizations and causes. His numerous think-tanks, Foundations, and NGO's often make his money difficult to track. The money that is known, however, demonstrates that Soros is clearly a "color revolution" artist and that his efforts inside the United States are as effective as his efforts overseas.

---

<sup>267</sup> Graas, Lisa. "Top 5 Revolutions Backed By George Soros." February 14, 2011. Gulag Bound. <http://gulagbound.com/12652/top-5-revolutions-backed-by-george-soros/> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

Thus, it is noteworthy that the Clinton campaign, via a number of PACs and Super PACs, have been aligning themselves with Soros and his apparatus.

[As Kenneth Vogel writes for Politico,](#)

A trio of pro-Hillary Clinton groups raised more than \$24 million in the first half of the year, including \$2 million each from billionaires George Soros and Haim Saban, POLITICO has learned.

Priorities USA Action, a super PAC dedicated to airing ads supporting Clinton and attacking her opponents, revealed Thursday that it raised \$15.6 million during the first half of the year, including \$2 million from Hollywood mogul Saban and \$1 million from financier Soros.

American Bridge 21st Century, an opposition research super PAC founded by Clinton enforcer David Brock, raised \$7.7 million — including \$1 million from Soros — an official with the group said Wednesday. A linked non-profit group called American Bridge 21st Century Foundation — which is not required to disclose its donors — raised an additional \$1 million, the official said.

The super PAC numbers are an encouraging development for Clinton, whose campaign for the Democratic presidential nomination announced Wednesday that it had [raised \\$45 million](#) during her first three months in the race.

.....

Soros's checks in particular send an important signal. The Hungarian-born investor is one of the few Democratic donors who has shown a willingness to drop eight-figures in an election cycle, having donated more than \$20 million in [2004 to groups](#) that tried to oust then-President George W. Bush. After the failure of that effort, Soros dialed back his big-money political spending, but he is still closely watched by other rich Democrats as a [bellwether donor](#).

An adviser to Soros said his boss also gave \$1 million this year to America Votes, a liberal non-profit group that mobilizes voters around issue and election campaigns. But Soros has not decided how much to donate overall in 2016, or how to divvy up his big political checks among groups, the adviser said.<sup>268</sup>

Remember, it was George Soros who donated over [\\$1 million to Barack Obama's Presidential campaign](#) in 2012, also through Priorities USA.<sup>269</sup> Soros was [a major supporter](#) of Obama in 2008 and [even long before that](#).<sup>270 271</sup> Soros helped fund a number of

---

<sup>268</sup> Vogel, Kenneth P. "Soros Helps Pro-Clinton Super PACs To \$24 Million Haul." Politico. July 1, 2015. <http://www.politico.com/story/2015/07/soros-helps-pro-clinton-super-pacs-to-20-million-haul-119669> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>269</sup> Blumenthal, Paul. "George Soros \$1 Million To Barack Obama Super PAC." Huffington Post. September 27, 2012. [http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/09/27/george-soros-super-pac\\_n\\_1920491.html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/09/27/george-soros-super-pac_n_1920491.html) Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>270</sup> Madsen, Wayne. "Does George Soros Control The Obama White House?" Strategic Culture. May 21, 2015. <http://www.strategic->

institutions and organization with which Obama had very close ties in Chicago and the region.

Soros has also supported Hillary's 2016 campaign [since at least 2013](#) when he donated \$25, 000 to one of her PACs, Read For Hillary.<sup>272</sup>

Indeed, now that Obama's tenure is coming to an end, billionaire hedge fund hyena George Soros is turning on the picket for Clinton. Yet the Clinton-Soros connection goes further than mere ideology and campaign contributions. [As Discover the Networks writes](#),

As the Nineties progressed, it became increasingly evident that Bill and Hillary Clinton embraced virtually all of the values and agendas that George Soros was funding through his Open Society Institute. "I do now have great access in [the Clinton] administration," said Soros in 1995. "There is no question about this. We actually work together as a team."

Soros and Mrs. Clinton in particular held one another in the highest esteem. In November 1997, when Hillary was

---

[culture.org/news/2015/05/21/does-george-soros-control-the-obama-white-house.html](http://culture.org/news/2015/05/21/does-george-soros-control-the-obama-white-house.html) Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>271</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. "Baltimore Riots: Product Of The Soros Machine." Activist Post. April 30, 2015. <http://www.activistpost.com/2015/04/baltimore-riots-product-of-soros-machine.html> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>272</sup> Debenedetti, Gabriel. "Financier George Soros Backs Hillary Clinton For U.S. President." Reuters. October 24, 2013. <http://www.reuters.com/article/2013/10/24/us-usa-clinton-soros-idUSBRE99N0UW20131024> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

in Central Asia for a ribbon-cutting ceremony at the newly built American University of Kyrgyzstan, she delivered a speech in which she lavished praise on Soros's Open Society Institute, which had financed the school's construction.<sup>273</sup> One source close to Mrs. Clinton's inner circle, Center for American Democracy director Rachel Ehrenfeld, reports that Soros visited Hillary at the White House during the Bill Clinton impeachment proceedings of 1998-99, when the First Lady was receiving only her most trusted confidantes.<sup>274</sup> A few years later, at a June 2004 "Take Back America" conference in Washington, Mrs. Clinton introduced Soros as a courageous man who loved his country deeply. "[W]e need people like George Soros," she said, "who is fearless, and willing to step up when it counts." Soros, in turn, indicated that he was "very, very proud to be introduced" by someone for whom he had such "great, great admiration." He described Hillary as someone who had been "more effective than most of our statesmen in propagating democracy, freedom, and open society."<sup>275</sup>

It is interesting then, to note that Hillary Clinton is also [growing closer the #BlackLivesMatter](#) "movement," a notoriously divisive organization – even for its own sphere of influence – that has accomplished nothing but drumming up racial tension,

---

<sup>273</sup> David Horowitz and Richard Poe, *The Shadow Party* (2006), p. 54

<sup>274</sup> David Horowitz and Richard Poe, *The Shadow Party* (2006), pp. 53-54

<sup>275</sup> "Introducing George Soros." Discover the Networks.

<http://www.discoverthenetworks.org/individualProfile.asp?indid=977>

Accessed on September 4, 2015.

instigating violence, and disrupting legitimate activists and organizations.<sup>276</sup> This, of course, is the hallmark of a Soros operation and it is the George Soros machine that is behind much of the #BlackLivesMatter activity.

George Soros has been heavily involved in the social unrest and movement-wrecking activity that has taken place all across the United States in recent months. From Florida to Ferguson and now to Baltimore, George Soros' Foundations have been involved in making sure that not only are American citizens unable to overcome racial divisions with mutual cooperation but that even the racially isolated participants are unable to accomplish anything of substance.<sup>277</sup>

[As Kelly Riddell of the Washington Times](#) reported in January, 2015, regarding Soros' involvement in Ferguson,

There's a solitary man at the financial center of the Ferguson protest movement. No, it's not victim Michael Brown or Officer Darren Wilson. It's not even the Rev. Al Sharpton, despite his ubiquitous campaign on TV and the streets.

---

<sup>276</sup> Chasmar, Jessica. "Hillary Clinton Campaign Starts Black Lives Matter Outreach: report." Washington Times. July 27, 2015. <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/jul/27/hillary-clinton-campaign-starts-black-lives-matter/> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>277</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. "Baltimore Riots: Product Of The Soros Machine." Activist Post. April 30, 2015. <http://www.activistpost.com/2015/04/baltimore-riots-product-of-soros-machine.html> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

Rather, it's liberal billionaire George Soros, who has built a business empire that dominates across the ocean in Europe while forging a political machine powered by nonprofit foundations that impacts American politics and policy, not unlike what he did with MoveOn.org.

Mr. Soros spurred the Ferguson protest movement through years of funding and mobilizing groups across the U.S., according to interviews with key players and financial records reviewed by The Washington Times.

In all, Mr. Soros gave at least \$33 million in one year to support already-established groups that emboldened the grass-roots, on-the-ground activists in Ferguson, according to the most recent tax filings of his nonprofit Open Society Foundations.

The financial tether from Mr. Soros to the activist groups gave rise to a combustible protest movement that transformed a one-day criminal event in Missouri into a 24-hour-a-day national cause celebre.

"Our DNA includes a belief that having people participate in government is indispensable to living in a more just, inclusive, democratic society," said Kenneth Zimmerman, director of Mr. Soros' Open Society Foundations' U.S. programs, in an interview with The Washington Times. "Helping groups combine policy, research [and] data

collection with community organizing feels very much the way our society becomes more accountable.”<sup>278</sup>

Indeed, the Open Society Institute has been promoting “democracy” all over the world if, by democracy, one means the overthrow of governments unfriendly to Anglo-American banking interests and installing new and more corrupt leadership in their place.

Riddell continues by writing,

Soros-sponsored organizations helped mobilize protests in Ferguson, building grass-roots coalitions on the ground backed by a nationwide online and social media campaign.

Other Soros-funded groups made it their job to remotely monitor and exploit anything related to the incident that they could portray as a conservative misstep, and to develop academic research and editorials to disseminate to the news media to keep the story alive.

The plethora of organizations involved not only shared Mr. Soros’ funding, but they also fed off each other, using content and buzzwords developed by one organization on another’s website, referencing each other’s news columns and by creating a social media echo chamber of Facebook

---

<sup>278</sup> Riddell, Kelly. “George Soros Funds Ferguson Protests, Hopes To Spur Civil Action.” Washington Times. January 14, 2015. <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/jan/14/george-soros-funds-ferguson-protests-hopes-to-spur/?page=all> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

“likes” and Twitter hashtags that dominated the mainstream media and personal online newsfeeds.

Buses of activists from the Samuel Dewitt Proctor Conference in Chicago; from the Drug Policy Alliance, Make the Road New York and Equal Justice USA from New York; from Sojourners, the Advancement Project and Center for Community Change in Washington; and networks from the Gamaliel Foundation — all funded in part by Mr. Soros — descended on Ferguson starting in August and later organized protests and gatherings in the city until late last month.

All were aimed at keeping the media’s attention on the city and to widen the scope of the incident to focus on interrelated causes — not just the overpolicing and racial discrimination narratives that were highlighted by the news media in August.

“I went to Ferguson in a quest to be in solidarity and stand with the young organizers and affirm their leadership,” said Cassandra Frederique, policy manager at the Drug Policy Alliance, which was founded by Mr. Soros, and which receives \$4 million annually from his foundation. She traveled to Ferguson in October.

“We recognized this movement is similar to the work we’re doing at DPA,” said Ms. Frederique. “The war on drugs has always been to operationalize, institutionalize and criminalize people of color. Protecting personal

sovereignty is a cornerstone of the work we do and what this movement is all about.”

Ms. Frederique works with Opal Tometi, co-creator of #BlackLivesMatter — a hashtag that was developed after the killing of Trayvon Martin in Florida — and helped promote it on DPA’s news feeds. Ms. Tometi runs the Black Alliance for Just Immigration, a group to which Mr. Soros gave \$100,000 in 2011, according to the most recent of his foundation’s tax filings.

“I think #BlackLivesMatter’s success is because of organizing. This was created after Trayvon Martin, and there has been sustained organizing and conversations about police violence since then,” said Ms. Frederique. “Its explosion into the mainstream recently is because it connects all the dots at a time when everyone was lost for words. ‘Black Lives Matter’ is liberating, unapologetic and leaves no room for confusion.”<sup>279</sup>

It should be noted that The Gamaliel Foundation, which is described as a “net-work of grassroots and interracial organizations,” is not only funded by George Soros, it was [the Foundation where President Barack Obama began his career](#) as a “community organizer” in Chicago. Obama’s former pastor, Rev. Jeremiah Wright who drew Conservative ire for a fiery sermon

---

<sup>279</sup> Riddell, Kelly. “George Soros Funds Ferguson Protests, Hopes To Spur Civil Action.” Washington Times. January 14, 2015. <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/jan/14/george-soros-funds-ferguson-protests-hopes-to-spur/?page=all> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

condemning America's actions (his famous "Goddamn America speech), is a trustee of the Soros-funded Samuel Dewitt Proctor Conference, an organization that sent representatives to Ferguson early on.<sup>280</sup>

In Ferguson, the use of clergy and ministers became a major part of the social movement as funded by Soros as well. Riddell reveals as much when she writes,

Representatives of Sojourners, a national evangelical Christian organization committed "to faith in action for social justice," attended the weekend [Gamaliel's weekend protest event in Ferguson]. The group received \$150,000 from Mr. Soros in 2011.

Clergy representatives from the Samuel Dewitt Proctor Conference, where the Rev. Jeremiah Wright serves as a trustee, also showed up. Mr. Wright was Mr. Obama's pastor in Chicago before some of his racially charged sermons, including the phrase "God damn America," forced Mr. Obama to distance himself. SDPC received \$250,000 from Mr. Soros in 2011.

During Gamaliel's weekend protest event, Sunday was deemed "Hands Up Sabbath," where clergy were asked to speak out about racial issues, using packets and talking

---

<sup>280</sup> "Gamaliel Foundation." Discover The Networks. February, 2011.  
<http://www.discoverthenetworks.org/printgroupProfile.asp?grpid=7004>  
Accessed on September 7, 2015.

points prepared for them by another religion-based community organizing group, PICO.

PICO is also supported by the Open Society Foundations, according to its website.<sup>281</sup>

The Washington Times article also serves to shed light on a number of other more high profile “national” organizations funded by Soros that descended upon Ferguson, explaining some of the reasons that the protest movement on the ground in MO became such a disgraceful failure. Riddell further demonstrates this by writing,

Larry Fellows III, 29, a Missouri native, did find his voice in the chaos of Ferguson with the help of outside assistance backed by Mr. Soros.

Mr. Fellows is co-founder of the Millennial Activists United, a key source of video and stories developed in Ferguson by youth activists used to inspire other groups nationally.

Mr. Fellows explained how he started his organization in an interview with the American Civil Liberties Union (another Soros-backed entity that sent national representatives to Missouri) in November.

---

<sup>281</sup> Riddell, Kelly. “George Soros Funds Ferguson Protests, Hopes To Spur Civil Action.” Washington Times. January 14, 2015. <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/jan/14/george-soros-funds-ferguson-protests-hopes-to-spur/?page=all> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

“Initially, it would just be that we would show up for protests, and the next day we’d clean up the streets. A lot of the same people were out at the protests and going out to lunch and talking about what was happening. That became a cycle until a lot of us figured out we needed to have a strategy,” Mr. Fellows explained to the ACLU, which posted the interview in its blog.

“Then a lot of organizers from across the country started to come in to help us do the planning and do the strategizing. That helped us start doing it on our own and planning out actions and what our narratives were going to be,” he said.

MAU has listed on its website that it has partnered with Gamaliel network churches. They’ve also received training on civil disobedience from the Advancement Project — which was given a \$500,000 grant from Mr. Soros in 2013 “to build a fair and just, multi-racial democracy in America through litigation, community organizing support, public policy reform, and strategic communications,” according to the Foundation’s website.

The Advancement Project, based in Washington, also arranged the meeting between community organizers in Ferguson and Mr. Obama last month to brief him on the situation in Ferguson and to set up a task force that examines trust between police and minority communities.

In addition, the Advancement Project has also dedicated some of its staff to lead organizations in Ferguson, like the Don't Shoot Coalition, another grass-roots group that preaches the same message, links to the same Facebook posts and "likes" the same articles as DPA, ACLU, Hands Up Coalition, OBS, MORE and others.<sup>282</sup>

It should also be noted that the Open Society Institute, one of Soros' main NGOs, [has worked closely with Mayor Rawlings-Blake](#) and Google in making Baltimore one of their "test cities" for smart technology and new fiber cables. Rawlings-Blake has had pleasant things to say about Soros in the past.<sup>283</sup>

While the above information addresses the involvement of #blacklivesmatter groups and similar organizations active on the ground inside Ferguson as a matter of historical precedent, these organizations and similar operations such as the [Black Youth Project \(funded by Soros\)](#) and the Open Society Institute and its subsidiaries have had a sizable presence [inside Baltimore](#) in the time leading up to the Freddie Gray protests as well.<sup>284 285 286</sup>

---

<sup>282</sup> Riddell, Kelly. "George Soros Funds Ferguson Protests, Hopes To Spur Civil Action." Washington Times. January 14, 2015.

<http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/jan/14/george-soros-funds-ferguson-protests-hopes-to-spur/?page=all> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>283</sup> "OSI-Baltimore Founder George Soros Urges Google To Choose Baltimore For High Speed Internet Project." Open Society Foundation website. March 29, 2010. <https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/press-releases/osi-baltimore-founder-george-soros-urges-google-choose-baltimore-high-speed-internet> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>284</sup> Hazzard, Dominique. "I Went To Baltimore To Help. Here's What I Saw, Heard, And Learned." Take Part. April 29, 2015.

For instance, Baltimore United Viewfinders has received a sizeable grant from the OSI for the purpose of working with a coalition of community partners and the Maryland Institute College of Art to use digital media to engage East Baltimore youth in peer-to-peer arts and social justice programming. [Other organizations and individuals](#) have received grants from the OSI to promote “social justice” and “democracy” [through theatre](#) and the arts while others operate on a more charitable basis, providing guidance in healthcare matters and community organizing skills.<sup>287 288</sup> As should understand from any knowledge of the methodology of color revolutions below as well as the discussion of the preparation that goes into these social

---

<http://www.takepart.com/article/2015/04/29/voice-baltimore> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>285</sup> “Black Youth Project.” Open Society Foundation website. Website states: “The Black Youth Project (BYP), based at the University of Chicago, is a nationally recognized research initiative focused on examining and documenting the political and civic engagement habits and attitudes of African American youth ages 15-30. BYP’s mission is to heighten the voices of black youth, in particular black males, in political and civic engagement spaces where they are often absent or marginalized.”

<https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/about/programs/us-programs/grantees/black-youth-project> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>286</sup> “#Blacklivesmatter In Baltimore.” Patheos. April 29, 2015.

<http://www.patheos.com/blogs/daylightatheism/2015/04/blacklivesmatter-in-baltimore/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>287</sup> “Open Society Institute – Baltimore: Grantees.” Open Society Foundation website. <https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/about/offices-foundations/open-society-institute-baltimore/grantees> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>288</sup> “Open Society Institute – Baltimore: Koli Tengella.” Open Society Foundation website. <https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/about/offices-foundations/open-society-institute-baltimore/grantees/koli-tengella> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

phenomena, it is apparent that the structure of “community organizations” and “community influence” is important to the color revolution apparatus. The ability to take advantage of unemployed, under-educated, lonely, and hopeless youth is one of the greatest opportunities that the Soros color revolution organizations understand and firmly grasp.<sup>289</sup>

The relevance of the Soros connection may seem confusing to many. Certainly, however, no one in their right mind will suggest that a man that has made his fortune bankrupting nations and impoverishing their peoples lies awake at night wringing his hands over concerns for black people in America.

Soros is most well-known for playing a major role in the funding and facilitating of the “[Bulldozer Revolution](#)” in Serbia that overthrew Slobodan Milosevic in 2000, Georgia’s “Rose Revolution” of 2003, the 2006 push to move Turkey toward a more Islamist governing structure, and even the Occupy movement in the United States among a great many others – none of which brought anything other than greater misery, impoverishment, and police state mechanisms to bear on the general public. The Occupy movement, being the only exception, still brought nothing to its participants except the opportunity to burn off excess anger and energy along with a few cracked

---

<sup>289</sup> Turbeville, Brandon.. *The Road To Damascus: The Anglo-American Assault On Syria.* Wilshire Press. 2013.

protester skulls. It was otherwise an incredible waste of time.<sup>290</sup>  
291

Regardless, the methods being used by the Soros machine in terms of the #blacklivesmatter and other related campaigns across the country are much the same as those used in Europe to usher in greater austerity, police states, and fascism through government-coup and social protest – i.e. a coordinated media campaign to provide the general public with a false perception of events as well as a false narrative, the use of social media and slogans, and the deployment of “swarming adolescents” in the streets.

When media campaigns alone are not enough, there are other methods that are able to be implemented if need be. For instance, a [Mother Jones report](#) revealed the fact that in some areas where rioting began, there appears to have been a concerted effort on the part of the authorities to create an environment in which riots would be inevitable.<sup>292</sup> For example, in an instance where it was reported that teens in Baltimore attacked police by throwing rocks, it was never mentioned that police had corralled these teens – who should have been on their

---

<sup>290</sup> “Top 5 Revolutions Backed By George Soros.” Gulag Bound.

<sup>291</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. “Occupy Wall Street: Who Wants To Hijack The Movement?” Tarpley.net. October 7, 2011.  
<http://tarpley.net/2011/10/07/occupy-wall-street-who-wants-to-hijack-the-movement/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>292</sup> Brody, Sam; McLaughlin, Jenna. “Eyewitnesses: The Baltimore Riots Didn’t Start The Way You Think.” Mother Jones. April 28, 2015.  
<http://m.motherjones.com/politics/2015/04/how-baltimore-riots-began-mondawmin-purge> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

way home – off the bus and into an area in between the mall and the high school. According to onlookers, it appeared that both the teens and the police were surprised at the situation – the police surprised at the lack of violence and the teens surprised that they were kept from going home. Eventually, rocks and bottles were reportedly thrown at police and the situation deteriorated from there. Of course, the entire story was never fully reported in the mainstream press. Still, while the rocks and bottles may have started from those in the crowd, others may justifiably wonder if there were not provocateurs already placed simply waiting to cause violence as soon as the tension had reached a boiling point. As it is, it is very likely that protesters and police alike were dupes in a devious game.

Consider also the fact that the Baltimore authorities, despite implementing heavy-handed tactics against high schoolers on their way home, allowed criminals, thieves, and violent thugs to prey upon innocent people, private property, and communities for quite some time without a serious effort to stop them. In fact, Baltimore Mayor Stephanie Rawlings-Blake even openly admitted that the looters were allowed to riot [when she stated](#) that “We also gave those who wish to destroy space to do that as well.”<sup>293</sup> The police were prevented from actually stopping the riots and were kept largely unequipped. In other words, the riots were both allowed and encouraged until they had reached a boiling point and the National Guard was called in.

---

<sup>293</sup> Daly, Michael. “Baltimore Mayor Gave Permission To Riot.” Daily Beast. April 28, 2015. <http://www.thedailybeast.com/articles/2015/04/28/baltimore-mayor-s-tone-deaf-handli> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

Of course, the color revolution and destabilization is not merely some communiqué presented to a small group of people that organically takes on a life of its own. There is an entire science behind the application of a movement of destabilization both when it takes place overseas as well as when it takes place domestically. [As Pottenger and Frieson of Color Revolutions and Geopolitics write,](#)

Many are the professions that utilize this type of understanding, including (but not limited to) marketing, advertising, public relations, politics and law-making, radio, television, journalism and news, film, music, general business and salesmanship; each of them selling, branding, promoting, entertaining, sloganeering, framing, explaining, creating friends and enemies, arguing likes and dislikes, setting the boundaries of good and evil: in many cases using their talents to circumvent their audiences' intellect, the real target being emotional, oftentimes even subconscious.

Looking beneath the facade of the color revolutionary movement we also find a desire-based behavioral structure, in particular one that has been built upon historical lessons offered by social movements and periods of political upheaval.

It then makes sense that the personnel of such operations include perception managers, PR firms, pollsters and opinion-makers in the social media. Through the operational infrastructure, these entities work in close

coordination with intelligence agents, local and foreign activists, strategists and tacticians, tax-exempt foundations, governmental agencies, and a host of non-governmental organizations.

Collectively, their job is to make a palace coup (of their sponsorship) seem like a social revolution; to help fill the streets with fearless demonstrators advocating on behalf of a government of their choosing, which then legitimizes the sham governments with the authenticity of popular democracy and revolutionary fervor.

Because the operatives perform much of their craft in the open, their effectiveness is heavily predicated upon their ability to veil the influence backing them, and the long-term intentions guiding their work.

Their effectiveness is predicated on their ability to deceive, targeting both local populations and foreign audiences with highly-misleading interpretations of the underlying causes provoking these events.<sup>294</sup>

With this explanation in mind, consider the description provided by Ian Traynor of the Guardian regarding the “revolutions” and “mass movements” which was taking place in Ukraine, Serbia, Belarus, and Georgia in 2004 and the time of the writing of his article. Indeed, Traynor’s depiction of the

---

<sup>294</sup> Pottenger, Eric; Frieson, Jeff. “Color Revolutions And Geopolitics.” Color Revolutions And Geopolitics. <http://www.colorrevolutionsandgeopolitics.blogspot.com/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

methodology used by the Foundations, NGOs, and government agencies stirring up dissent and popular revolt is equally illuminating. [Traynor writes](#),

In the centre of Belgrade, there is a dingy office staffed by computer-literate youngsters who call themselves the Centre for Non-violent Resistance. If you want to know how to beat a regime that controls the mass media, the judges, the courts, the security apparatus and the voting stations, the young Belgrade activists are for hire.

They emerged from the anti-Milosevic student movement, Otpor, meaning resistance. The catchy, single-word branding is important. In Georgia last year, the parallel student movement was Khmara. In Belarus, it was Zubr. In Ukraine, it is Pora, meaning high time. Otpor also had a potent, simple slogan that appeared everywhere in Serbia in 2000 – the two words “gotov je”, meaning “he’s finished”, a reference to Milosevic. A logo of a black-and-white clenched fist completed the masterful marketing.

In Ukraine, the equivalent is a ticking clock, also signalling that the Kuchma regime’s days are numbered.

Stickers, spray paint and websites are the young activists’ weapons. Irony and street comedy mocking the regime

have been hugely successful in puncturing public fear and enraging the powerful.<sup>295</sup>

These slogans and symbols are the product of mass marketers employed by State Departments and intelligence agencies for the sole purpose of destabilizing and/or overthrowing a democratically elected or unfavorable (to the oligarchy) government.

The details and techniques of the manipulation of mass numbers of people have only continued to become more and more advanced and sophisticated, particularly with the advent of social media.

As Jonathan Mowat wrote,

As in the case of the new communication technologies, the potential effectiveness of angry youth in postmodern coups has long been under study. As far back as 1967, Dr. Fred Emery, then director of the Tavistock Institute, and an expert on the “hypnotic effects” of television, specified that the then new phenomenon of “swarming adolescents” found at rock concerts could be effectively used to bring down the nation-state by the end of the 1990s. This was particularly the case, as Dr. Emery reported in “The next thirty years: concepts, methods and anticipations,” in the group’s “Human Relations,” because

---

<sup>295</sup> Traynor, Ian. “US Campaign Behind The Turmoil In Kiev.” The Guardian. November 25, 2004. <http://www.theguardian.com/world/2004/nov/26/ukraine.usa> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

the phenomena was associated with “rebellious hysteria.” The British military created the Tavistock Institute as its psychological warfare arm following World War I; it has been the forerunner of such strategic planning ever since. Dr. Emery’s concept saw immediate application in NATO’s use of “swarming adolescents” in toppling French President Charles De Gaulle in 1967.<sup>296</sup>

[...]

In November 1989, Case Western Reserve in Cleveland, Ohio, under the aegis of that university’s “Program for Social Innovations in Global Management,” began a series of conferences to review progress towards that strategic objective, which was reported on in “Human Relations” in 1991. There, Dr. Howard Perlmutter, a professor of “Social Architecture” at the Wharton School, and a follower of Dr. Emery, stressed that “rock video in Kathmandu,” was an appropriate image of how states with traditional cultures could be destabilized, thereby creating the possibility of a “global civilization.” There are two requirements for such a transformation, he added, “building internationally committed networks of international and locally committed organizations,” and “creating global events” through “the transformation of a local event into one

---

<sup>296</sup> Tarpley, Webster G. [\*Obama: The Postmodern Coup\*](#). Mowat, Jonathan. “A New Gladio In Action: ‘Swarming Adolescents.’” Progressive Press. 2008. Pp. 247-248.

having virtually instantaneous international implications through mass-media.”<sup>297</sup>

The American people must quickly learn the formula behind color revolutions, destabilizations, and the agendas of the world oligarchy before it becomes too late for us all. They must learn that simply because “leaders” appear to them, attempt to speak the same language and articulate rage does not mean that these leaders are men of the people.

Protests are necessary. Directed rage may also be necessary. But the wanton destruction of communities belonging to you or your neighbors is not only counterproductive, it produces rage that will be aimed back at you, and justifiably so. The entire country is being played like a fiddle. Baltimore is not an isolated collection of dupes, it is a microcosm. It is time the American people wise up and become street smart before it is too late.

---

<sup>297</sup> Tarpley, Webster G. [\*Obama: The Postmodern Coup\*](#). Mowat, Jonathan. “A New Gladio In Action: ‘Swarming Adolescents.’” Progressive Press. 2008. Pp. 247-248.

# Hillary On Iran – Candidate Hillary Supports The Deal. Will President Hillary Invade?

While Hillary has come out publicly and endorsed the Iran nuclear deal clenched by Barack Obama, Republicans were probably too busy calling for nuclear world war three to have noticed. Democrats too were too busy kneeling at the feet of Obama to pay too much attention to Clinton's statement. However, for a few observers who were of the mistaken belief that Clinton's past rhetoric is to be believed more than her behavior and track record, her statements may have come as a bit of a shock.

This is because Hillary's past statements were much more pro-war and hawkish than her tepid endorsement of the Obama deal, itself nothing more than theatre to set the Iranians up for an eventual US invasion once NATO is done with Syria.

[Michael Crowley of TIME writes,](#)

Clinton brought a hard-line background to the topic of Iran. In April 2008 she warned that the U.S. could "[totally obliterate](#)" Iran in retaliation for a nuclear attack on

Israel—prompting Obama to chastise her for using “language that’s reflective of George Bush.”<sup>298</sup>

In Obama administration debates about Tehran’s nuclear program, Clinton opposed talk of ‘containment,’ a policy option that plans for a world in which Iran possesses a nuclear weapon. Preparing for containment implies a decision not to use military force to prevent an Iranian bomb in the event that diplomacy fails.<sup>299</sup>

Indeed, Clinton’s statements would (and probably did) make war-obsessed psychopaths like Lindsey Graham gleam with pride. In 2008, [she stated to Good Morning America](#),

I want the Iranians to know that if I'm the president, we will attack Iran (if it attacks Israel).

In the next 10 years, during which they might foolishly consider launching an attack on Israel, we would be able to totally obliterate them.

That's a terrible thing to say but those people who run Iran need to understand that because that perhaps

---

<sup>298</sup> Morgan, David. “Clinton Says U.S. Could ‘Totally Obliterate’ Iran.” Reuters. April 22, 2008. <http://www.reuters.com/article/2008/04/22/us-usa-politics-iran-idUSN2224332720080422> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>299</sup> Crowley, Michael. “Hillary Clinton’s Unapologetically Hawkish Record Faces 2016 Test.” TIME. January 14, 2014. <http://swampland.time.com/2014/01/14/hillary-clintons-unapologetically-hawkish-record-faces-2016-test/> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

will deter them from doing something that would be reckless, foolish and tragic.<sup>300</sup>

In an interview with Jeffrey Goldberg of The Atlantic, [she stated brashly](#),

I've always been in the camp that held that they did not have a right to enrichment. Contrary to their claim, there is no such thing as a right to enrich. This is absolutely unfounded. There is no such right. I am well aware that I am not at the negotiating table anymore, but I think it's important to send a signal to everybody who is there that there cannot be a deal unless there is a clear set of restrictions on Iran. The preference would be no enrichment. The potential fallback position would be such little enrichment that they could not break out."<sup>301</sup>

Of course, [there is a right to enrich](#). There is a right to enrich up to the levels that would indeed allow for the capability to create a nuclear weapon but stopping short of actually doing so. In other words, since Iran is a signatory to the Nuclear Nonproliferation Treaty, it is entitled all avenues of nuclear

---

<sup>300</sup> Morgan, David. "Clinton Says U.S. Could 'Totally Obliterate' Iran." Reuters. April 22, 2008. <http://www.reuters.com/article/2008/04/22/us-usa-politics-iran-idUSN2224332720080422> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>301</sup> Goldberg, Jeffrey. "Hillary Clinton: 'Failure' To Help Syrian Rebels Led To The Rise Of ISIS." The Atlantic. August 10, 2014. <http://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2014/08/hillary-clinton-failure-to-help-syrian-rebels-led-to-the-rise-of-isis/375832/> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

technology for peaceful purposes, including uranium enrichment.<sup>302</sup>

Obviously, judging by her track record, Clinton is anything but anti-war. Going from totally obliterate to willing to work with is quite the turnaround. Indeed, only last year, Clinton was boasting that “I voted for every sanction that came down the pike against Iran.”

[As a Senator in 2007](#), she backed a resolution to designate the Iranian Revolutionary Guard Corps as a terrorist organization.<sup>303</sup>

In February, 2007 Clinton had proclaimed, that “You don’t refuse to talk to bad people. I think life is filled with uncomfortable situations where you have to deal with people you might not like. I’m sort of an expert on that. I have consistently urged the president to talk to Iran and talk to Syria. I think it’s a sign of strength, not weakness.”

However, after Obama proclaimed that he would do just that if elected President, Clinton responded “I thought that was irresponsible and frankly naïve.”

---

<sup>302</sup> Sahimi, Muhammad. “Iran Has A Right To Enrich – And America Already Recognized It.” The National Interest. November 19, 2013. <http://nationalinterest.org/commentary/iran-has-right-enrich%E2%80%94-america-already-recognized-it-9425> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

<sup>303</sup> Crowley, Michael. “Hillary Endorses Nuclear Deal.” Politico. July 14, 2015. <http://www.politico.com/story/2015/07/hillary-clinton-iran-nuclear-deal-120078> Accessed on September 4, 2015.

When asked in a later debate if she would do the same, Clinton responded negatively stating that “I don’t want to be used for propaganda purposes.”<sup>304</sup>

Clinton has shifted back and forth on the Iranian issue but only in the directions in which the winds tend to be blowing. Overall, considering her track record with Syria, Iraq, Libya, and any other possible war she can support, it’s doubtful that her endorsement of the recent deal is genuine in any way.

Even her endorsement of the deal was made with fingers crossed, intimating that, just because a deal is clinched, does not mean that America’s imperialist and aggressive stance toward Tehran could or should be eased.

As Jon Ward wrote for Yahoo! News in an article entitled “Nuclear Deal Won’t Solve ‘Major Problems From Iran,’ Hillary Warns,”

Hillary Clinton warned voters here ahead of the Fourth of July weekend that even if President Obama reaches a deal with the Iranian government over its nuclear program, the regime in Tehran will still pose a major threat to the United States.

“I so hope that we are able to get a deal in the next week that puts a lid on Iran’s nuclear weapons program, because that is going to be a singular step in the right

---

<sup>304</sup> “Clinton: Obama Is ‘Naïve’ On Foreign Policy.” NBC. July 24, 2007. [http://www.nbcnews.com/id/19933710/ns/politics-the\\_debates/t/clinton-obama-naive-foreign-policy/#.VeneQBFVikp](http://www.nbcnews.com/id/19933710/ns/politics-the_debates/t/clinton-obama-naive-foreign-policy/#.VeneQBFVikp) Accessed on September 4, 2015.

direction,” Clinton, the former secretary of state who is now running for president as a Democrat, told about 850 spectators in an outdoor amphitheater on the Dartmouth College campus.

But Clinton, despite her words of encouragement for Obama’s efforts to reach a deal with Iran, did not wholeheartedly endorse the process.

“We don’t know yet. It’s too soon. These things always go down to the wire,” she said.

And she quickly positioned herself in a more neutral way toward the entire process, saying that even if a deal is reached, it will not reduce the need to be vigilant against Tehran.

“But even if we do get such a deal, we will still have major problems from Iran,” she said. “They are the world’s chief sponsor of terrorism. They use proxies like Hezbollah to sow discord and to create insurgencies, to destabilize governments. They are taking more and more control of a number of nations in the region and they pose an existential threat to Israel.”

“So even if we are successful on the nuclear front, we still are going to have to turn our attention to working with our

partners to try to rein in and prevent this continuing Iranian aggressiveness,” Clinton said.<sup>305</sup>

As is typically the case with Anglo-American pro-war propaganda efforts, it cannot go unnoticed that Clinton couched Iran’s willingness to negotiate and subsequently bend over backwards to ensure peace as “aggressiveness.” We have seen this distortion of facts many times in the past. It would be foolish to allow this altered perception of ongoing events to precipitate yet another foreign adventure.

---

<sup>305</sup> Ward, Jon. “Nuclear Won’t Solve ‘Major Problems From Iran,’ Hillary Warns.” Yahoo. July 3, 2015. <https://www.yahoo.com/politics/hillary-clinton-cautions-that-an-iran-deal-wont-123141563306.html> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

# Hillary Clinton's War On Women

During the height of Bill Clinton's sex scandals, Hillary ran interference that would have made any PR manager or mafia Don proud. Adding to the suspicion that Clinton's marriage was nothing more than a marriage of convenience and political expediency, Clinton searched out almost all of the women accusing Slick Willy of sexual harassment, assault, and rape and launched a "smear campaign against them.

While Clinton campaigns on Democratic party wedge issues like the "war on women" when it comes to issues like abortion, feminism, and the phantom pay gap, her real history with women's issues is much more troubled.

As Brent Scher wrote in his article "[Hillary Clinton's Long History of Targeting Women,](#)" for the Washington Free Beacon,

Hillary Clinton's expected presidential campaign is being built around women's issues, but women who have gotten in the way of her family's political goals in the past have often been subjected to her wrath.

As Clinton has appeared at [multiple events](#) for women over the past several weeks, her record as an

advocate for women has come under [increased scrutiny](#).<sup>306 307 308</sup>

Women who have accused her husband, former President Bill Clinton, of sexual misconduct have received special criticism from the former first lady.

One victim of Clinton's wrath was Monica Lewinsky, whom Clinton called a "[narcissistic loony toon](#)" in private conversations with close friend Diane Blair.<sup>309</sup>

Lewinsky was not the only woman who had a sexual relationship with Bill targeted by Hillary: she called Jennifer Flowers "[trailer trash](#)."<sup>310</sup>

---

<sup>306</sup> "No Ceilings: The Full Participation Project." Clinton Foundation. <https://www.clintonfoundation.org/our-work/no-ceilings-full-participation-project> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>307</sup> "Watch Live: Hillary Clinton Addresses Emily's List Gala." PBS Newshour. March 3, 2015. <http://www.pbs.org/newshour/rundown/watch-live-hillary-clinton-addresses-emilys-list-gala/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>308</sup> Chozick, Amy. "Hillary Clinton Faces Test Of Record As Women's Advocate." New York Times. March 8, 2015. [http://www.nytimes.com/2015/03/09/us/politics/hillary-clinton-faces-test-of-record-aiding-women.html?\\_r=1](http://www.nytimes.com/2015/03/09/us/politics/hillary-clinton-faces-test-of-record-aiding-women.html?_r=1) Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>309</sup> Goodman, Alana. "The Hillary Papers." Washington Free Beacon. February 9, 2014. <http://freebeacon.com/politics/the-hillary-papers/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>310</sup> Henneberger, Melinda; Lithwick, Dahlia. "And Speaking Of Perfect Unions. ." Slate. [http://www.slate.com/articles/news\\_and\\_politics/politics/2008/03/and\\_speaking\\_of\\_perfect\\_unions\\_single.html](http://www.slate.com/articles/news_and_politics/politics/2008/03/and_speaking_of_perfect_unions_single.html) Accessed on September 7, 2015.

Flowers alleged in a 2000 lawsuit that Clinton created and ran a “war room” during the 1992 campaign to “smear, defame, and harm” adversaries such as herself.<sup>311</sup>

Prior to the 1992 election, Clinton worked to get sworn statements from all the women Bill was rumored to have slept with who said the rumors were false.<sup>312</sup> She interviewed some of the women herself, according to Carl Bernstein’s *A Woman in Charge*.

Kathleen Willey, who claimed Bill Clinton sexually harassed her during his first term as president, said Hillary Clinton made it a point to launch a “terror campaign” against her and all other women.

“She is the war on women, as far as I’m concerned, because with every woman that she’s found out about—and she made it a point to find out who every woman had been that’s crossed his path over the years—she’s orchestrated a terror campaign against every one of these women, including me,” said Willey.

---

<sup>311</sup> Macy, Robert. “Gennifer Flowers Says First Lady Ran ‘War Room’ Against Opponents.” Associated Press. *The Tuscaloosa News*. January 22, 2000. <https://news.google.com/newspapers?nid=1817&dat=20000122&id=bzsdAAAIBAJ&sjid=EqYEAAAIBAJ&pg=6656,3574098&hl=en> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>312</sup> Henneberger, Melinda; Lithwick, Dahlia. “And Speaking Of Perfect Unions. . .” *Slate*. [http://www.slate.com/articles/news\\_and\\_politics/politics/2008/03/and\\_speak\\_ing\\_of\\_perfect\\_unions\\_single.html](http://www.slate.com/articles/news_and_politics/politics/2008/03/and_speak_ing_of_perfect_unions_single.html) Accessed on September 7, 2015.

One of those women was Juanita Broadrick, who says Hillary Clinton [threatened her](#) in person two weeks after she claimed Bill Clinton raped her.<sup>313</sup>

Hillary's aggressive attitude was not limited to those who accused her husband of sexual misconduct: other men received the benefit of the doubt from Hillary when she needed their support politically. When former Sen. Bob Packwood was accused of sexual harassment, Clinton [told](#) her friend Blair that she was "tired of all those whiney women," and that she needed Packwood on health care.<sup>314 315</sup>

Clinton later went on to blame her husband's escapades on a troubled and abusive childhood.<sup>316</sup>

---

<sup>313</sup> Elder, Larry. "Hillary Clinton Has A 'Woody Allen' Problem." Real Clear Politics. February 6, 2014.

[http://www.realclearpolitics.com/articles/2014/02/06/hillary\\_has\\_a\\_woody\\_alen\\_problem\\_121479.html](http://www.realclearpolitics.com/articles/2014/02/06/hillary_has_a_woody_alen_problem_121479.html) Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>314</sup> Goodman, Alana. "The Hillary Papers." Washington Free Beacon. February 9, 2014. <http://freebeacon.com/politics/the-hillary-papers/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>315</sup> Scher, Brent. "Hillary Clinton's Long History Of Targeting Women." Washington Free Beacon. March 9, 2015. <http://freebeacon.com/politics/hillary-clintons-long-history-of-targeting-women/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>316</sup> Scher, Brent. "Hillary Clinton's Long History Of Targeting Women." Washington Free Beacon. March 9, 2015. <http://freebeacon.com/politics/hillary-clintons-long-history-of-targeting-women/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

# Hillary Clinton: Fracking America

There are essentially two kinds of environmental initiatives – the kind that are legitimately geared to protect the planet, animals, and humans and the kind that are anti-progress, de-industrializing, and based on political ideologies. Whenever one examines the positions of Hillary Clinton, it is easy to see that the latter occupies the vast majority of her environmental stances while the former rarely enters into the equation.

Such is the case with her support and promotion of fracking. While Clinton constantly promotes her fantasies of a de-industrialized America on the altar of disproven man-made CO2 based Global Warming theories, the destruction of whole drinking water supplies, landscapes, and ecosystems is buoyantly promoted.

The environmental watchdog organization, Food and Water Watch released a short description of the toxic effects the process of fracking – using pressurized water, sand, and toxic chemicals with which to break open shale deposits in the earth.

[Food and Water Watch describes](#) just a few of the dangers of fracking in the following way:

Affordable access to clean water is a public health issue and a human right. Fracking requires large amounts of

fresh water in order to extract natural gas from the ground – about five million gallons of water per well. That water is mixed with sand and toxic chemicals, including over 100 suspected endocrine disruptors and carcinogens.

Wastewater from fracking and water that escapes from fracking wells can pollute our streams, lakes and rivers, and ultimately our drinking water.

Many families living near fracking have found their well water polluted to the point that it is no longer drinkable. And water respects no boundaries: water that's polluted by fracking can potentially affect people living in a wide area. As one of our precious natural resources, we can't afford to irreversibly pollute fresh drinking water.

.....

The sand used in the fracking process includes silica dust, which pours out of fracking sites and into the atmosphere in large clouds. A recent review of the public health impacts of drilling and fracking noted that these silica dust clouds have been associated with tuberculosis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, kidney disease and autoimmune disease.

Fracking also releases carbon dioxide, methane, nitrogen dioxide, carbon monoxide, benzene and other illness-causing pollutants into the air around communities near fracking sites.

.....

Any short-term economic benefits that communities may get from bringing in fracking are outweighed by the social and economic disruptions they experience. In addition to the health risks to people whose water is polluted, communities see increased demand for emergency and other social services, as well as increases in crime and sexually-transmitted diseases. Heavy trucks that transport materials cause noise pollution and damage to public roads. Declines in property values are common to the extent that affected families are unable to sell their homes and move away. Fracking also hurts local tourism and agriculture.<sup>317</sup>

Fracking has also been linked to an increase in earthquakes, a [claim supported](#) by a study conducted by the [US Geological Survey](#) which identified eight states where an increase in earthquake activity was associated with fracking operations.<sup>318 319</sup>

---

<sup>317</sup> “Why Fracking Is Dangerous.” Food And Water Watch.

<http://www.foodandwaterwatch.org/water/fracking/why-fracking-is-dangerous/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>318</sup> Pantsios, Anastasia. “8 States Dealing With Huge Increases In Fracking Earthquakes.” Eco Watch. April 24, 2015.

<http://ecowatch.com/2015/04/24/usgs-fracking-earthquakes/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>319</sup> Petersen, M.D., Mueller, C.S., Moschetti, M.P., Hoover, S.M., Rubinstein, J.L., Llenos, A.L., Michael, A.J., Ellsworth, W.L., McGarr, A.F., Holland, A.A., and Anderson, J.G., 2015, Incorporating induced seismicity in the 2014 United States National Seismic Hazard Model—Results of 2014 workshop and sensitivity studies: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2015–1070, 69 p., <http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/ofr20151070>. ISSN 2331-1258 (online) <http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2015/1070/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

Earthquakes, poisoned water, wasted resources, and ravaged ecosystems will never get in the way of Hillary Clinton and the interests she clearly serves, however.

[As Lisa Hymas wrote in her article for Grist magazine,](#)

Hillary Clinton never actually said the word “fracking” during her keynote address at the [National Clean Energy Summit](#) in Nevada on Thursday, but she still clearly laid out her views on the technique: She’s all for it.<sup>320</sup> She says it needs to be conducted and regulated properly so it doesn’t cause excessive environmental harm, but she believes that can be done. Which puts her totally in line with President Obama, and out of line with most of the environmental community.

During her address, Clinton spoke about the great promise of renewable energy and energy efficiency, for our economy, our national security, and the climate. We need to “build a safe bridge to a clean energy economy,” she said. And when she said the word “[bridge](#),” you knew what was coming next:<sup>321</sup>

Now part of that bridge will certainly come from natural gas. There are challenges here to be sure, but the boom in domestic gas production is an example of American

---

<sup>320</sup> National Clean Energy Summit. Website.

<http://www.cleanenergysummit.org/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>321</sup> Roberts, David. “Natural Gas: It’s A Hedge, Not A Bridge.” Grist. January 7, 2013. <http://grist.org/climate-energy/natural-gas-its-a-hedge-not-a-bridge/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

innovation changing the game, and if we do it right, it can be good for both the environment and our economy. With the right safeguards in place, gas is cleaner than coal. And expanding production is creating tens of thousands of new jobs. And lower costs are helping give the United States a big competitive advantage in energy-intensive energies. ...

But to capitalize on this boom, we have to face head-on the legitimate, pressing environmental concerns about some new extraction practices and their impacts on local water, soil, and air supplies. Methane leaks in the production and transportation of natural gas are particularly troubling. So it's crucial that we put in place smart regulations and enforce them, including deciding not to drill when the risks are too high.

That last line, the one about deciding not to drill, is the only one in the natural-gas section of her speech that elicited any applause from the audience.<sup>322</sup>

[Mariah Blake of Mother Jones goes even further](#) to point out how Clinton not only supported the practice of fracking, but actually lobbied for the industry all across the world. Blake writes,

Under her leadership, the State Department worked closely with energy companies to spread fracking around the globe — part of a broader push to fight climate

---

<sup>322</sup> Hymas, Lisa. "Where Does Hillary Clinton Stand On Fracking?" Grist. September 5, 2014. <http://grist.org/climate-energy/where-does-hillary-clinton-stand-on-fracking/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

change, boost global energy supply, and undercut the power of adversaries such as Russia that use their energy resources as a cudgel. But environmental groups fear that exporting fracking, which has been linked to drinking-water contamination and earthquakes at home, could wreak havoc in countries with scant environmental regulation. And according to interviews, diplomatic cables, and other documents obtained by Mother Jones, American officials — some with deep ties to industry — also helped US firms clinch potentially lucrative shale concessions overseas, raising troubling questions about whose interests the program actually serves. ...

Clinton ... sent a cable to US diplomats asking them to collect information on the potential for fracking in their host countries. These efforts eventually gave rise to the Global Shale Gas Initiative, which aimed to help other nations develop their shale potential. Clinton promised it would do so “in a way that is as environmentally respectful as possible.” But environmental groups were barely consulted, while industry played a crucial role. ...

In late 2011, Clinton ... promised to instruct US embassies around the globe to step up their work on energy issues and “pursue more outreach to private-sector energy” firms, some of which had generously supported both her and President Obama’s political campaigns.<sup>323</sup>

---

<sup>323</sup> Blake, Mariah. “How Hillary Clinton’s State Department Sold Fracking To The World.” Mother Jones. September/October 2014.

It should not go unreported that Clinton [has also supported offshore drilling](#) and sided with Congressional Republicans by voting to help open up Gulf Coast areas to the process.<sup>324</sup>

Ironically, fracking is one issue where Clinton has not flip-flopped either in practice or in campaign speeches. Even on the 2016 campaign trail, Clinton has refused to disavow her previous support of fracking, instead [opting to remain silent](#) about the issue for as long as she possibly can.<sup>325</sup>

---

<http://www.motherjones.com/environment/2014/09/hillary-clinton-fracki>

Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>324</sup> Adler, Ben. "Here's What A Hillary Clinton Presidency Would Mean For Global Warming." Mother Jones. April 13, 2015.

<http://www.motherjones.com/environment/2015/04/hillary-clinton-climate-change-president> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>325</sup> Schor, Elana. "The Holes In Hillary's Climate Plan." Politico. July 27, 2015.

<http://www.politico.com/story/2015/07/the-holes-in-hillary-clintons-climate-plan-120682> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

# Hillary Clinton And Civil Liberties . . . Or The Lack Thereof

By now, civil libertarians should be fully aware that if they are looking for a candidate that reflects their values the best rule of thumb would be to find Hillary Clinton on the map of the political spectrum and move in the opposite direction.

Perhaps the most obvious bell weather of Clinton's respect and support for American civil liberties can be seen in her [support for the PATRIOT Act](#).<sup>326</sup> Clinton wholeheartedly supported the passage of the PATRIOT Act in the dark days after 9/11, voting for the act as a member of the US Senate. Five years later, Clinton once again expressed support for the evisceration of the Bill of Rights and the Constitution by voting for the [2006 reauthorization of the PATRIOT Act](#).<sup>327</sup>

In April 2014, Clinton appeared at the University of Connecticut where she suggested that Snowden was guilty of

---

<sup>326</sup> Kristian, Bonnie. "Where Do The 2016 Candidates Stand On The Patriot Act And Mass Surveillance?" Rare. June 1, 2015. <http://rare.us/story/where-do-the-2016-candidates-stand-on-the-patriot-act-and-mass-surveillance/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>327</sup> "H.R. 3199 (109th): USA PATRIOT Improvement and Reauthorization Act of 2005." <https://www.govtrack.us/congress/votes/109-2006/s29> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

treason and possibly working with the Russians and the Chinese. Although her words were not direct, her position was clear enough. [As Emma Roller wrote for the National Journal](#),

Speaking at the University of Connecticut on Wednesday night, Hillary Clinton made a restrained but nonetheless damning attack against Edward Snowden.

At the event, an interviewer asked Clinton whether she thought the former National Security Agency contractor's disclosures about its domestic spying programs had any positive effects on American security policy or public discourse.

Without ever explicitly mentioning the NSA's spying programs, Clinton justified their utility in protecting the U.S. from another terrorist attack in the wake of 9/11.

"People were desperate to avoid another attack, and I saw enough intelligence as a senator from New York, and then certainly as secretary [of State], that this is a constant—there are people right this minute trying to figure out how to do harm to Americans and to other innocent people," Clinton said. "So it was a debate that needs to happen, so that we make sure that we're not infringing on Americans' privacy, which is a valued, cherished personal belief that we have. But we also had to figure out how to get the right amount of security."

As for Snowden's role in exposing the NSA programs, Clinton insinuated that she found his motives suspicious.

"When he emerged and when he absconded with all that material, I was puzzled because we have all these protections for whistle-blowers. If he were concerned and wanted to be part of the American debate, he could have been," she said. "But it struck me as—I just have to be honest with you—as sort of odd that he would flee to China, because Hong Kong is controlled by China, and that he would then go to Russia—two countries with which we have very difficult cyberrelationships, to put it mildly."

Let's take a moment to parse Clinton's language here. She didn't call Snowden a traitor, or plainly say that his leaving the country made her suspicious. In American politics, it's never good to come right out and accuse someone of wrongdoing—you can just say you're "confused" or "puzzled" by their actions.

.....

Clinton stressed the strangeness of Snowden's decision to flee to countries that have perpetrated cyberattacks against the U.S. She noted that when State Department officials would travel to Russia or China on diplomatic business, they would leave their cell phones aboard the plane with their batteries taken out. "It's not like the only government in the world doing anything is the United States," she said.

"I think turning over a lot of that material—intentionally or unintentionally—drained, gave all kinds of information,

not only to big countries, but to networks and terrorist groups and the like. So I have a hard time thinking that somebody who is a champion of privacy and liberty has taken refuge in Russia, under Putin's authority."

With sarcasm creeping into her voice, Clinton implied that Snowden acted all too friendly toward Vladimir Putin, whose country has been harboring Snowden since last August.

"And then he calls in to a Putin talk show and says, 'President Putin, do you spy on people?' And President Putin says, 'Well, from one intelligence professional to another, of course not.' 'Oh, thank you so much!' I mean really. I don't know, I have a hard time following it."<sup>328</sup>

Clinton has repeatedly refused to clearly state that spying on American citizens without a warrant is a violation of the Fourth Amendment and has dodged any attempt to force her to provide a clear answer as to what her position on NSA overreach might be.

[Steven Wishnia of Defending Dissent writes,](#)

Generally, however, Clinton has responded to questions on the issue with bland statements about "a really difficult balancing act." In February, when tech journalist Kara

---

<sup>328</sup> Roller, Emma. "Hillary Clinton: Edward Snowden's Leaks Helped Terrorists." National Journal. April 25, 2014.

<http://www.govexec.com/defense/2014/04/hillary-clinton-edward-snowdens-leaks-helped-terrorists/83233/> Accessed September 7, 2015.

Swisher asked if she would “throttle back” NSA spying, she answered that “the NSA needs to be more transparent about what it’s doing” and that “people felt betrayed” by the invasion of privacy. But when pressed to give a more specific explanation of what she thought was too much spying power, she said, “I resist saying it has to be this or that.”

.....

That kind of bland expression of concern masking either reluctance to challenge established power or support for destructive policies is a political hallmark of the Clintons.<sup>329</sup>

Clinton is most certainly not opposed to dragnet spying either on American citizens or individuals abroad as her support for the PATRIOT Act should attest to. Yet, it was [revealed by Wikileaks](#) cables released in 2010 that, in 2009, Clinton personally ordered surveillance of a number of UN officials including Ban Ki-Moon during her tenure as Secretary of State. According to the released cables, Clinton wanted everything from the official’s online passwords to their DNA. Another cable released by Wikileaks revealed that the US State Department was aware and supportive of pressuring Spain to stop an independent Spanish

---

<sup>329</sup> Wishnia, Steve. “Hillary Clinton’s Weak Civil Liberties Record.” Dissent News Wire. Defending Dissent Foundation. April 12, 2015. <http://www.defendingdissent.org/now/news/hillary-clintons-weak-civil-liberties-record/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

judge from indicting six members of the Bush administration for torturing Spanish citizens at Guantanamo Bay.<sup>330</sup>

After those cables were released online and made public by Wikileaks, Clinton's State Department ordered employees not to view or read the documents despite the fact that the documents were public access, declassified, and freely available. The State Department even threatened graduate students who were possibly considering applying for a job with the department that, if they accessed the documents, it "would call into question your ability to deal with confidential information."<sup>331</sup>

[Even on the issue of flag burning](#) – an issue that is clearly protected under the U.S. Constitution and the First Amendment right to freedom of speech and expression – Clinton has come down on the side of totalitarianism. Even though the Supreme Court ruled in 1989 that flag burning laws violated free speech, Clinton not only supported but introduced legislation to Congress in 2005 that would have made flag burning a federal crime.<sup>332</sup>

That same year, Clinton also [launched an attack](#) on video games, attempting to pass the [Family Entertainment Protection](#)

---

<sup>330</sup> Wishnia, Steve. "Hillary Clinton's Weak Civil Liberties Record." Dissent News Wire. Defending Dissent Foundation. April 12, 2015.

<http://www.defendingdissent.org/now/news/hillary-clintons-weak-civil-liberties-record/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>331</sup> Wishnia, Steve. "Hillary Clinton's Weak Civil Liberties Record." Dissent News Wire. Defending Dissent Foundation. April 12, 2015.

<http://www.defendingdissent.org/now/news/hillary-clintons-weak-civil-liberties-record/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>332</sup> <http://www.defendingdissent.org/now/news/hillary-clintons-weak-civil-liberties-record/>

[Act](#), which would have criminalized the sale of video games rated “M” or “Mature” to minors.<sup>333 334</sup>

"We need to treat violent video games the way we treat tobacco, alcohol, and pornography," Clinton said. "If you put it just really simply, these violent video games are stealing the innocence of our children -- and it is certainly making the job of being a parent even more difficult."<sup>335</sup>

Also in 2005, [Clinton called on the Federal Trade Commission](#) to investigate “Grand Theft Auto: San Andreas” as a result of the “hot coffee mod,” a mini-game with sexually explicit content contained within the game. Clinton’s whining and grandstanding resulted in the rating being changed to “Adults only” and ultimately the game was removed from store shelves.<sup>336</sup>

---

<sup>333</sup> “Hillary Clinton Promotes Law To Ban Violent Video Games.” CBC. December 1, 2005. <http://www.cbc.ca/news/arts/hillary-clinton-promotes-law-to-ban-violent-video-games-1.550126> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>334</sup> Peterson, Andrea. “Hillary Clinton’s History With Video Games And The Rise Of Political Geek Cred.” Washington Post. April 21, 2015. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-switch/wp/2015/04/21/hillary-clintons-history-with-video-games-and-the-rise-of-political-geek-cred/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>335</sup> “Hillary Clinton Promotes Law To Ban Violent Video Games.” CBC. December 1, 2005. <http://www.cbc.ca/news/arts/hillary-clinton-promotes-law-to-ban-violent-video-games-1.550126> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>336</sup> Mulkerin, Joseph. “Five Reasons No Progressive Should Support Hillary Clinton.” Truthout. February 13, 2015. <http://www.truth-out.org/opinion/item/29052-five-reasons-no-progressive-should-support-hillary-clinton> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

In 2007, Clinton introduced similar legislation while gearing up her Presidential campaign.<sup>337</sup>

While violence in media – particularly in television, movies, and video games – is undoubtedly a sign of the degradation of American culture and plays a major role in the further degradation of the culture, cutting the throat of free speech is in no way a legitimate answer to the problem. Coming from a woman who has supported every war and exercise of killing, murder, assassination, and destruction of individuals, nations, and culture she has been faced with in her entire career, her concern over video game violence should fall on deaf ears.

---

<sup>337</sup> “Hillary Clinton Tells Common Sense Media She Would Support Video Game Legislation.” Game Politics. December 21, 2007. <http://gamepolitics.com/2007/12/21/hillary-clinton-tells-common-sense-media-she-would-support-video-game-legislation/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

# The Fellowship Of The Political Ring

In the beginning of their article “Hillary’s Prayer: Hillary Clinton’s Religion and Politics,” Kathryn Joyce and Jeff Sharlet ask the pertinent question “For 15 years, Hillary Clinton has been part of a secretive religious group that seeks to bring Jesus back to Capitol Hill. Is she triangulating—or living her faith?”<sup>338</sup>

The answer is simple: Hillary Clinton is triangulating.

However, there is much more to The Fellowship than mere political posturing or the courting of conservative Christians.

The Fellowship, also known as The Family or International Foundation, is a religious and political organization created in 1935 by Abraham Vereide. The organization claims its mission is to provide a “fellowship forum” for “decision makers” to get together and take part in prayer meetings, Bible studies, worship, and otherwise fellowship with one another.<sup>339</sup>

However, the organization – made up of mostly of politicians, corporate executives and CEOs, directors of

---

<sup>338</sup> Sharlet, Jeff; Joyce, Kathryn. “Hillary’s Prayer: Hillary Clinton’s Religion and Politics.” Mother Jones. September 1, 2007. <http://www.motherjones.com/politics/2007/09/hillarys-prayer-hillary-clintons-religion-and-politics> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>339</sup> Sharlet, Jeff. The Family: The Secret Fundamentalism At The Heart Of American Power. Harper – Perennial. 2008

“humanitarian aid” organizations, religious leaders, both foreign and domestic– is incredibly secret.<sup>340</sup> In fact, the Family members [adhere to a code of silence](#) regarding the organization towards outsiders.<sup>341</sup>

The stated purpose of the Fellowship is to provide a fellowship forum for decision makers to share in Bible studies, prayer meetings, worship experiences, and to experience spiritual affirmation and support. Yet there is ample evidence that the organization is not focused on Christian spirituality but in influencing foreign, domestic, and economic policy as well as facilitating cooperation and coordination between the movers and shakers of the political world along with the movers and shakers of the religious industry.<sup>342</sup>

As Jeff Sharlet, author of *The Family: The Secret Fundamentalism At The Heart Of American Power*, [describes The Fellowship](#),

The Family is the oldest and arguably most influential religious conservative organization in Washington, a “brotherhood” comprised mostly of politicians such as Senator Jim Inhofe, Senator Tom Coburn, Senator Sam

---

<sup>340</sup> Sharlet, Jeff. *The Family: The Secret Fundamentalism At The Heart Of American Power*. Harper – Perennial. 2008

<sup>341</sup> Roig-Franzia, Manuel. “Politician’s Scandals Elevate The Profile Of A Spiritual Haven On C Street SE.” *Washington Post*. June 26, 2009.

<http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2009/06/25/AR2009062504480.html> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>342</sup> Sharlet, Jeff. *The Family: The Secret Fundamentalism At The Heart Of American Power*. Harper-Perennial. 2008.

Brownback, Senator Jim DeMint, and, now infamously, Senator John Ensign, Governor Mark Sanford, and former congressman Chip Pickering, all of whom turned to The Family to help cover up sex scandals this past summer. The reason you may not have heard about the group is that it doesn't want you to hear about it—"the more invisible you can make your organization," preaches leader Doug Coe, "the more influence it will have." They're not the only group in Washington that keeps a low profile, but it's the nature of their influence that's really noteworthy: some congressmen call it simply personal and thus private, but nearly 600 boxes of documents stored at the Billy Graham Center Archive reveals decades of intense political work around foreign and economic affairs.

One need only read Sharlet's book and his subsequent interviews to uncover the names of many of the members of The Fellowship. Needless to say, the organization is made up of notorious Wall Street puppets and warmongers in American politics.

Enter Hillary Clinton.

[Joyce and Sharlet wrote for Mother Jones](#) that Clinton "has been an active participant in conservative Bible study and prayer circles that are part of a secretive Capitol Hill group known as the Fellowship. Her collaborations with right-wingers such as Senator

Sam Brownback (R-Kan.) and former Senator Rick Santorum (R-Pa.) grow in part from that connection.”<sup>343</sup>

Sharlet summarizes much of Hillary’s connection to The Family in his book. He writes,

In her memoir *Living History*, Hillary describes her first encounter with the Family. It was at a lunch organized on her behalf in February 1993 at the Cedars, “an estate on the Potomac that serves as the headquarters for the National Prayer Breakfast and the prayer groups it has spawned around the world. Doug Coe, the longtime National Prayer Breakfast organizer, is a unique presence in Washington: a genuinely loving spiritual mentor and guide to anyone, regardless of party or faith, who wants to deepen his or her relationship with God.” Or with the kind of politically useful friends one might not make otherwise. For the eight years she lived at the White House, Clinton met regularly with a gathering of political ladies who lunch: wives of powerful men from both parties, women who put aside political differences to seek – for themselves, for their husbands’ careers – an even greater power. Among Clinton’s prayer partners were Susan Baker, the wife of Bush consigliere James and a board member of James Dobson’s Focus on the Family; Joanne Kemp, the wife of conservative icon Jack, responsible for

---

<sup>343</sup> Sharlet, Jeff; Joyce, Kathryn. “Hillary’s Prayer: Hillary Clinton’s Religion and Politics.” *Mother Jones*. September 1, 2007. <http://www.motherjones.com/politics/2007/09/hillarys-prayer-hillary-clintons-religion-and-politics> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

introducing the political theology of fundamentalist guru Francis Schaeffer to Washington, Eileen Bakke, an activist for charter schools based on “character” and the wife of Dennis Bakke, then CEO of AES, one of the world’s largest power companies; and Grace Nelson, the wife of Senator Bill Nelson, a conservative Florida Democrat. The women sent her daily scripture verses to study, and Baker, the wife of one of the Republican Party’s most cutthroat strategists, provided Hillary with spiritual counsel during “political storms.”

. . . . .

Liberals, says Clinton’s prayer partner Grace Nelson, are welcome in the Family so long as they submit to “the person of Jesus.” Jesus, not ideology, “is what gives us power.” But the Jesus preached by the Family is ideology personified. For all of the Family’s talk of Jesus as a person, he remains oddly abstract in the teachings they derive from him, a mix of “free market” economics, aggressive American internationalism, and “leadership” as a fetishized term for power, a good in itself regardless of its ends. By eschewing the politics of the moment – party loyalties and culture wars – Family cells cultivate an ethos of elite unity that allows long-term political transformation, whereby political rivals aren’t flipped but won over gradually through Fellowship with former enemies, as in the case of former Representative Tony Hall.

Hall, one of the few Democrats appointed by Bush in his first term (he was made ambassador to the UN for hunger issues, a position he used to push the Monsanto corporation's genetically modified crops onto African nations) was brought into the Family in the 1980s by Jerry Regier, an ultra-right Reagan administration official in the Department of Health and Human services who went on to work with James Dobson. Upon his conversion, Hall abandoned his liberal social views and became a vocal opponent of abortion and, eventually, same-sex marriage. He also championed a bill establishing a National Day of Prayer with an event at the White House organized by Dobson's wife, Shirley. But he didn't switch parties, and the Family would never ask him to. Hall isn't a Republican; he's a Democrat who called on his fellow party members to follow President Bush's example by injecting more religion into their rhetoric. Hillary did just that in 2007, boasting of the "prayer warriors" who carried her through Bill's infidelities, a bit of spiritual warfare jargon instantly recognizable to evangelicals who worried about her feminism.

The Family wants to "transcend" left and right with a faith that consumes politics, replacing fundamental differences with the unity to be found in submission to religious authority. Conservatives sit pretty in prayer and wait for liberals looking for "common ground" to come looking for them in search of compromise. Hillary, Rob Schenck noted, became a regular visitor to the Family's C Street House in

2005. “She needs that nucleus of energy that the Coe camp produces.” That summer, she appeared as part of a threesome that shocked old school fundamentalists: Bill, Hillary, and Billy, live in New York for Graham’s last crusade. Before tens of thousands, the patriarch of Christian conservatism said Bill “ought to let his wife run the country.” Bonhomie and cheap blessing, maybe, but it was the kind of endorsement that Bill never won, despite Graham’s custom of speaking sweet nothings to power.<sup>344</sup>

Sharlet’s excellent research and his personal experiences with the Family are indispensable but they rest upon an important assumption – that the participants and members of the Family are true adherents to the Christian faith they profess. In truth, the Family is a secretive meeting group that acts as a mimicking class and religious cover for individuals who wish to use Christianity and Christian fundamentalism for political purposes or to cover up and absolve their own public misdeeds.

Sharlet quotes Rob Schenck founder of Faith and Action in the Nation’s Capitol, a branch-off of the Family, in a more revealing description of the organization. Schenck states that “you don’t want to alienate them, you don’t want to antagonize them. You need them as your friends. Even Hillary will need them. They keep a sort of cultural homeostasis in Washington. Washington

---

<sup>344</sup> Sharlet, Jeff. *The Family: The Secret Fundamentalism At The Heart Of American Power*. Harper – Perennial. 2008 Pp. 272 – 277.

right now is a town where if you're going to be powerful, you need religion. That's just the way it's done."<sup>345</sup>

While The Family is by no means the top of the pyramid when it comes to the guiding forces behind world or even American national politics, it does function as a regular meeting house and secret gathering hall for the worker bees of the national oligarchy to discover policy and ways to move agendas forward.

In truth, it is by no means the most powerful or the most shadowy organization that Hillary has aligned herself with or been an open participant in. After all, Hillary has attended the Bilderberg meeting and is a regular participant with the Council on Foreign Relations.

Thus, The Family can simply be listed as yet another secretive "govern under the cover of darkness" organizations of which Hillary Clinton is a part.

---

<sup>345</sup> Sharlet, Jeff. *The Family: The Secret Fundamentalism At The Heart Of American Power*. Harper – Perennial. 2008 P. 260.

# NAFTA And The TPP – Hillary Clinton’s Free Trade History

Most even semi-informed Americans may recognize the day that NAFTA was signed into law as the day that the U.S. economy was diagnosed with rapid onset terminal cancer. A massive plan to bust unions, lower wages, remove trade protections, and generally lower living standards across the board, NAFTA was following in the footsteps of GATT and the WTO before it. While NAFTA was promoted by American oligarchs, parasitical politicians, business interests, banks, and the mainstream media, it was clear to anyone with a basic understanding of economics and trade or even a modicum of common sense that it was nothing more than a giant vacuum whose suction tube was aimed at the American economy.

In order to promote the agreement (negotiated by George H.W. Bush and signed into law by Clinton), a stupendous amount of lies and deceit were presented to the American public.

While Hillary Clinton was merely the first lady and, admittedly, not officially in charge of making public policy, she [nevertheless supported NAFTA](#) and assisted in its promotion from the position she held. In 1996, she visited a gathering of unionized

garment workers and stated “I think everybody is in favor of free and fair trade. I think NAFTA is proving its worth.”<sup>346</sup>

During both her presidential campaigns, Clinton has attempted to scale back her past support of an agreement that outsourced American jobs so fast it created a metaphorical traffic jam of companies exiting the country. However, as recently as 2003, Clinton voiced clear support of the agreement, a striking act of defiance of the existence of reality. In her book, *Living History*, she wrote,

Creating a free trade zone in North America — the largest free trade zone in the world — would expand U.S. exports, create jobs and ensure that our economy was reaping the benefits, not the burdens, of globalization. Although unpopular with labor unions, expanding trade opportunities was an important administration goal.<sup>347</sup>

Clearly, Clinton’s doubts about the effectiveness of the NAFTA agreement are nothing more than political posturing. Clinton is banking on the short attention span and memory of the American public, an aspect of the voting population that has served her well enough over the last two decades.

---

<sup>346</sup> Holan, Angie Drobnic. “Clinton Has Changed On NAFTA.” PolitiFact. February 25, 2008. <http://www.politifact.com/truth-o-meter/statements/2008/feb/25/barack-obama/clinton-has-changed-on-nafta/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>347</sup> Holan, Angie Drobnic. “Clinton Has Changed On NAFTA.” PolitiFact. February 25, 2008. <http://www.politifact.com/truth-o-meter/statements/2008/feb/25/barack-obama/clinton-has-changed-on-nafta/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

Clinton's support of the concept of Free Trade, however, continued during her tenure as NY Senator where she voted in favor of just about every single piece of legislation promoting Free Trade and every Free Trade agreement. Clinton even stated on the floor of the Senate in 2005, "During my tenure as senator, I have voted for every trade agreement that has come before the Senate, and I believe that properly negotiated trade agreements can increase living standards and foster openness and economic development for all parties."<sup>348</sup>

Strangely, Clinton voted against the CAFTA deal proposed by Bush but, ultimately, her vote was shown to be meaningless political posturing against an agreement that was going to pass anyway. She was able to remain untarnished regarding her CAFTA vote with an election fast approaching for the candidates eyeing the 2008 open presidential seat. Unfortunately, American voters are generally unable to see past the "what have you done to me lately?" style of measuring their politicians.

Despite her vote on CAFTA, [Clinton voted in support](#) of a bi-lateral agreement with Chile, a Free Trade agreement between the two countries that removed tariffs and other "trade barriers" on agricultural and textile products.<sup>349</sup>

---

<sup>348</sup> Holan, Angie Drobnic. "Clinton Has Changed On NAFTA." PolitiFact. February 25, 2008. <http://www.politifact.com/truth-o-meter/statements/2008/feb/25/barack-obama/clinton-has-changed-on-nafta/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>349</sup> "Vote number [2003-319](#) establishing free trade between the US and Chile on Jul 31, 2003 regarding bill [S.1416/HR 2738](#) US-Chile Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act Results: Bill Passed 66-31: R 43-7; D 23-23." On The Issues.

Clinton also voted in favor of a bilateral [Free Trade agreement between the U.S. and Singapore](#) and a [Free Trade Agreement with Oman](#).<sup>350</sup> <sup>351</sup>[She also vocalized support](#) for Free Trade Agreements with Peru, Jordan, Morocco, and Australia.<sup>352</sup>

In 2005, [Clinton traveled to India](#) and defended the outsourcing of US jobs where she stated “Outsourcing will continue. There is no way to legislate against reality... We are not in favor of putting up fences.”<sup>353</sup>

As Domenico Montanaro wrote for NPR in his article “[A Timeline Of Hillary Clinton’s Evolution On Trade](#),”

In 2007, for example, Clinton called the South Korea deal "inherently unfair." Yet, four years later in Seoul, South

[http://www.ontheissues.org/SenateVote/Party\\_2003-319.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/SenateVote/Party_2003-319.htm) Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>350</sup> “Vote number [2003-318](#) establishing free trade between US & Singapore on Jul 31, 2003 regarding bill [S.1417/HR 2739](#) US-Singapore Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act Results: Bill Passed 66-32; R 44-7; D 22-24.” [http://www.ontheissues.org/SenateVote/Party\\_2003-318.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/SenateVote/Party_2003-318.htm) Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>351</sup> “Vote number [2006-190](#) free trade agreement with Oman on Jun 29, 2006 regarding bill [S. 3569](#) United States-Oman Free Trade Agreement Results: Bill passed, 60-34.” [http://www.ontheissues.org/SenateVote/Party\\_2006-190.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/SenateVote/Party_2006-190.htm) Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>352</sup> Montanaro, Domenico. “A Timeline Of Hillary Clinton’s Evolution On Trade.” NPR. April 21, 2015. <http://www.npr.org/sections/itsallpolitics/2015/04/21/401123124/a-timeline-of-hillary-clintons-evolution-on-trade> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>353</sup> “Hillary Clinton On Free Trade.” On The Issues. [http://www.ontheissues.org/2016/Hillary\\_Clinton\\_Free\\_Trade.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/2016/Hillary_Clinton_Free_Trade.htm) Accessed on September 7, 2015.

Korea, as secretary of state, she said getting a South Korea deal done was a "priority for me, for President Obama and for the entire administration. We are determined to get it done, and I believe we will."

In April 2008, before the Pennsylvania primary, where she was trying to woo white working-class men, she said of a Colombia deal that she "will do everything I can to urge the Congress to reject the Colombia Free Trade Agreement."

But again, as secretary of state, she changed her tune.

"We think it's strongly in the interests of both Colombia and the United States," Clinton said two years later. "And I return very invigorated ... to begin a very intensive effort to try to obtain the votes to get the free trade agreement finally ratified."<sup>354</sup>

In her book, *Hard Choices*, Clinton stealthily defended the practice of Free Trade while, at the same time, acknowledging that powers within the U.S. helped create the "global economy." She also argued that the global economy was hindered by barriers placed in front of "emerging and developing economies (read: "low wage economies) from being able to enter the "current global trading system." She wrote,

---

<sup>354</sup> Montanaro, Domenico. "A Timeline Of Hillary Clinton's Evolution On Trade." NPR. April 21, 2015.

<http://www.npr.org/sections/itsallpolitics/2015/04/21/401123124/a-timeline-of-hillary-clintons-evolution-on-trade> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

America worked to create a global economy. The current global trading system is distorted not only by barriers to entry in developing and emerging economies, but by the power of special interests in developed countries, including the US. To make trade fairer as well as freer, developing countries have to do a better job of improving productivity, raising labor conditions, and protecting the environment. In the US, we have to do a better job of providing good jobs to those displaced by trade.<sup>355</sup>

Not surprisingly, Clinton is a vocal advocate of the Trans-Pacific Partnership.

In fact, as Secretary of State, [Clinton was instrumental](#) in helping craft, negotiate, and push through the final draft of the agreement of which we know very little due to the fact that it was negotiated in secret and its terms have largely been kept secret as well.<sup>356</sup> Clinton was involved so heavily in the negotiation process of the TPP that former Obama top [adviser David Axelrod told MSNBC](#) that Clinton “owned” the process.<sup>357</sup>

---

<sup>355</sup> Clinton, Hillary Rodham. *Hard Choices*. Simon and Schuster.

[http://www.amazon.com/Hard-Choices-Hillary-Rodham-Clinton/dp/1476751471/ref=sr\\_1\\_1?ie=UTF8&qid=1441672890&sr=8-1&keywords=hillary+clinton+hard+choice](http://www.amazon.com/Hard-Choices-Hillary-Rodham-Clinton/dp/1476751471/ref=sr_1_1?ie=UTF8&qid=1441672890&sr=8-1&keywords=hillary+clinton+hard+choice)

<sup>356</sup> Mindock, Clark. “Hillary Clinton And Trans-Pacific Partnership: Obama Aide Calls Her Out On TPP Past.” *International Business Times*. June 19, 2015. <http://www.ibtimes.com/hillary-clinton-trans-pacific-partnership-obama-aide-calls-her-out-tpp-past-1975980> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>357</sup> “Axelrod: Hillary Clinton Said TPP Would Be ‘Gold Standard’ For Trade Agreements, She ‘Owned’ It.” *Real Clear Politics*. June 15, 2015. [http://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2015/06/15/axelrod\\_people\\_will\\_hav](http://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2015/06/15/axelrod_people_will_hav)

[In an interview on Bloomberg TV](#), National Security Adviser Susan Rice stated that negotiating the TPP was one of Clinton's biggest achievements at the State Department.<sup>358</sup>

While attempting to present herself as more tepid on the TPP and Free Trade in general, Clinton has spoken highly of the TPP, which she has labeled the "[Gold Standard](#)," [in at least 45 different speeches](#).<sup>359 360</sup>

Consider what Webster G. Tarpley wrote in his article "[Hillary Clinton: The International Neo-Con Warmonger](#)," as the debate surrounding the TPP was picking up even more steam.<sup>361</sup>

"There is no success story for workers to be found in North America 20 years after NAFTA," states AFL-CIO president

[e a fling with bernie sanders but hillary will be nominee at end of the day.html](#) Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>358</sup> "Susan Rice Lists Iraq War Victory, Asia Pivot, Trans-Pacific Trade Deal As Hillary's Top Achievements." Real Clear Politics. June 19, 2015. [http://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2015/06/19/susan\\_rice\\_lists\\_iraq\\_war\\_withdrawl\\_trans-pacific\\_partnership\\_as\\_hillarys\\_biggest\\_accomplishments.html](http://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2015/06/19/susan_rice_lists_iraq_war_withdrawl_trans-pacific_partnership_as_hillarys_biggest_accomplishments.html) Accessed on

September 7, 2015.

<sup>359</sup> Phillips, Amber. "Hillary Clinton's Position On Free Trade? It's (Very) Complicated." The Washington Post. June 17, 2015.

<http://www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-fix/wp/2015/06/17/tracking-the-many-hillary-clinton-positions-on-trade/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>360</sup> Tapper, Jake. "45 Times Secretary Clinton Pushed The Trade Bill She Now Opposes." CNN. June 15, 2015. <http://www.cnn.com/2015/06/15/politics/45-times-secretary-clinton-pushed-the-trade-bill-she-now-opposes/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>361</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. "Hillary Clinton: The International Neo-Con Warmonger." Voltaire.net. April 13, 2015.

<http://www.voltairenet.org/article187315.html> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

Richard Trumka. Unlike other failures of his Presidency, Bill Clinton can not run from NAFTA. It was Vice President Al Gore, not a veto-proof Republican congress, who lobbied to remove trade barriers with low-wage Mexico.

The record of free trade is clear. Multinational corporations and Wall Street speculators realize incredible profits, wages remain stagnant in the US, poverty persists in the developing world, and the remaining industrial corporations in America and Canada are increasingly owned by Chinese, Indian and other foreign interests.

America's free trade policy is upside down. Besides Canada, Australia and Korea, most of our "free" trade partners are low-wage sweatshop paradises like Mexico, Chile, Panama, Guatemala, Bahrain and Oman. The US does in fact apply tariffs on most goods and on most nations of origin – rates are set by the US International Trade Commission (USTIC), a quasi-public federal agency.

Since a German- or Japanese-made automobile would under USITC's schedule be taxed 10% upon importation, Volkswagen and Toyota can circumvent taxation by simply building their auto assembly plants for the US market in Mexico. In Detroit, an auto assembly worker is paid between \$14 and \$28/hour, (\$29,120-\$58,240/yr); hard work for modest pay. In Mexico, the rate varies from \$2-5/hour.

In China, all automobile imports regardless of origin are tariffed as high as 25%. This allows the Chinese to attract joint ventures with Volkswagen and Toyota, and to paraphrase Abraham Lincoln, “keep the jobs, the cars and the money.”

NAFTA-related job loss is not a question of productivity, currency manipulation, “fair trade,” environmental standards, etc. While these issues are not trivial, free trade – as Lincoln’s advisor Henry C. Carey proved – is a matter of simple accounting. Can an American family survive on \$4,160/year (\$2/hr)? If not, cars and their components will be built in Mexico. If we want cars built in the United States, the only solution is a general tariff (import tax) reflecting the difference between those wage standards, like the very tariffs repealed by Bill Clinton.

In the United States the “runaway shop” under NAFTA and CAFTA has sent trade deficits and unemployment soaring while wages drop relative to the cost of living. Yet Mexico and other “partners” receive no benefit either. Many manufacturing sectors in Mexico pay wages lower than the equivalent sector in China. Mexico is now the world leader in illegal narcotics exportation and weapons importation. The poverty level between 1994 and 2009 remained virtually identical. (52.4% – 52.3%). The shipping of raw materials to Mexico comprise the majority of so called American “exports”. The finished products from these

exports are assembled and sold back to the United States at slave labor prices.

Don't expect Hillary to behave differently with the coming "Trans-Pacific Partnership," which seeks to replace an ascendant China with less-developed Vietnam and Malaysia. Vietnam would overtake India-allied Bangladesh in the global apparel trade, and Malaysia has a high-tech manufacturing sector poised to rival China's. With America's manufacturing economy in shambles, the Clinton machine can now be redirected to geopolitical maneuvers. Continue reading *Not the Lesser of Two Evils: Why Hillary Clinton Is Unfit for the Presidency*.<sup>362</sup>

Clearly, Clinton's grandstanding over concerns for labor protections, fair trade, and the environment are as fake as every other aspect of her political career and every statement she has ever publicly uttered. Any individual who supports Free Trade agreements such as the ones listed above should never be allowed to assume a position of power over the U.S. economy.

---

<sup>362</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. "Hillary Clinton: The International Neo-Con Warmonger." *Voltaire.net*. April 13, 2015. <http://www.voltairenet.org/article187315.html> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

# Emailgate: The Hillary Emails

In what has developed from a cursory spin-off issue from the Benghazi catastrophe in which US Ambassador to Libya and terrorist liaison Chis Stephens, Hillary Clinton's use of her private internet server and the server of the Clinton Foundation for emails that contained sensitive and confidential material has now ballooned into a major controversy in true Clinton fashion.

Judge Andrew Napolitano summed up the gist of the controversy in a few short paragraphs in his article "[Hillary Lies Again](#)," where he wrote,

It now appears that [Mrs. Clinton](#) was managing her war using emails that she diverted through a computer server owned by her husband's charitable foundation, even though some of her emails contained sensitive and classified materials. This was in direct violation of federal law, which requires all in government who possess classified or sensitive materials to secure them in a government-approved venue.

The inspector general of the intelligence community and the inspector general of the [State Department](#) each have reviewed a limited sampling of her emails that were sent or received via the Clinton Foundation server, and both have concluded that materials contained in some of them were of such gravity that they were obliged under federal

law to refer their findings to the FBI for further investigation.

The FBI does not investigate for civil wrongdoing or ethical lapses. It investigates behavior that may be criminal or that may expose the nation's security to jeopardy. It then recommends either that indictments be sought or the matter be addressed through non-prosecutorial means. Given [Mrs. Clinton](#)'s unique present position — as the president's first secretary of state and one who seeks to succeed him, as well as being the wife of one of his predecessors — it is inconceivable that she could be prosecuted as Gen. David Petraeus was (for the crime of failing to secure classified materials) without the personal approval of the president himself.<sup>363</sup>

Napolitano himself has analyzed a number of the emails that are now in the public domain as a result of the Freedom of Information Act. He states,

I have not seen the emails the inspectors general sent to the FBI, but I have seen the Clinton emails, which are now in the public domain. They show Mrs. Clinton sending or receiving emails to and from her confidante Sid Blumenthal and one of her State Department colleagues using her husband's foundation's server, and not a secure government server. These emails address the location of

---

<sup>363</sup> Napolitano, Andrew. "Hillary Lies Again." Washington Times. July 29, 2015. <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/jul/29/andrew-napolitano-hillary-clinton-lies-about-email/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

French jets approaching Libya, the location of no-fly zones over Libya and the location of Stevens in Libya. It is inconceivable that an American secretary of state failed to protect and secure this information.<sup>364</sup>

In an interview with Republican blowhard Sean Hannity, Napolitano reiterated his disgust with Clinton's email scandal and stated that, if she truly believed the statements she has made in her defense or that the material contained in the emails was not sensitive enough to be damaging to US national security, she was unfit for office.

[Napolitano stated,](#)

I saw emails, not the ones that the inspectors general saw, I saw emails that have been revealed under the Freedom of Information Act. And in them, she is discussing the location of French fighter jets during the NATO bombardment of Libya, how big the no fly zone is, where the no fly zones are, and are you ready for this? - the location of Ambassador Stevens, who of course was murdered, in Libya.

If that is not classified, if she didn't think that was classified, she has no business being in public office.<sup>365</sup>

---

<sup>364</sup> Napolitano, Andrew. "Hillary Lies Again." Washington Times. July 29, 2015. <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/jul/29/andrew-napolitano-hillary-clinton-lies-about-email/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>365</sup> Tyler, Taylor. "Hillary Clinton Sent Unsecured Emails Revealing Location Of Ambassador Chris Stevens And NATO Fighter Jets, Reveals Judge Napolitano."

Yet, not long after Napolitano made his statements, the number of emails being considered jumped [from a few dozen to 60](#).<sup>366</sup>

As mentioned earlier, the Clinton “emailgate” scandal erupted as a result of the Congressional investigations into the Benghazi incident. As investigations, already built upon the shaky premise that the killing of Stevens was a tragic accident, began to take place, Clinton stalled Congressional investigators, provided obstinate statements, and did everything possible to avoid providing documents and, obviously, emails that may have been pertinent to the investigation.

After refusing to turn her email over to an independent third party, Clinton then announced that she had gone through her email and determined which emails were private and which were public. She then deleted the emails which she claims were private – all 30,000 of them.<sup>367</sup>

[As Stephen Dinan of the Washington Times wrote in March, 2015](#)

HNGN. August 6, 2015.

<http://www.hngn.com/articles/116807/20150806/judge-napolitano-clinton-sent-emails-revealing-location-of-ambassador-chris-stevens-nato-fighter-jets.htm> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>366</sup> Solomon, Jon. “Number Of Hillary Clinton’s Emails Flagged For Classified Data Grows To 60 As Review Continues.” The Washington Times. August 16, 2015. <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/aug/16/number-of-hillary-clintons-emails-flagged-for-clas/> Accessed September 7, 2015.

<sup>367</sup> Dinan, Stephen. “Hillary Clinton Wiped Email Server Clean, Refuses To Turn It Over.” Washington Times. March 27, 2015. <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/mar/27/hillary-clinton-wiped-email-server-clean-refuses-t/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

Former Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton has refused to turn her email server over to an independent third party and claims she has wiped the server clean, dealing a setback to the special investigative committee looking into the 2012 Benghazi terrorist attack, the probe said late Friday.

.....

“Not only was the secretary the sole arbiter of what was a public record, she also summarily decided to delete all emails from her server ensuring no one could check behind her analysis in the public interest,” Mr. Gowdy said in a statement excoriating Mrs. Clinton’s actions.

Mr. Gowdy said Mrs. Clinton’s response to his subpoena was to re-transmit several hundred pages of emails that the State Department has already turned over.

.....

Mrs. Clinton said at a press conference earlier this month that she culled through more than 60,000 emails from her time as secretary and decided about 30,000 of them were public records that should have been maintained. She said the rest were private messages relating to her daughter’s wedding or her yoga class schedule, and she didn’t keep those.

But Mr. Gowdy said Mrs. Clinton's lawyers informed him Friday that she "unilaterally decided to wipe her server clean and permanently delete all emails" from it.

He said it wasn't clear when Mrs. Clinton made the final decision, but he said it appeared to have happened after the State Department asked her to turn over her government business messages in late October.

Mrs. Clinton rejected use of a government-issued email account during her four years as secretary, first relying on an account she used while a senator and then later setting up an email server at her home in New York and using an account on that to conduct all of her business, both public and private.

She insists she followed the law, which at the time didn't require officials to use government-issued accounts but did require them to turn over all official records to be stored. Mrs. Clinton didn't turn over those records until last December, after the Benghazi probe noticed she had used a private email and requested those records from the State Department, which then asked Mrs. Clinton for them. The law doesn't set a date for turning over records.

Open-records experts, however, question Mrs. Clinton's designation of her server as private, saying it was set up in order to do government business, and so it and the emails on it arguably belong to the government.

In August, 2015, Hillary finally handed over the keys to her server as well as three thumb drives. Unfortunately, all of the material had apparently been [wiped clean](#) in a professional data elimination job.<sup>368</sup> The thumb drives contain only what Clinton [had poured through](#) and approved to be left on the drives.<sup>369</sup> The rest of the data was unusable.<sup>370</sup>

There is much more to the story of Benghazi than mere incompetence or lack of consideration for lives Clinton may have put at risk by using a personal internet server. Without attempting to detail the history of the US/NATO destabilization and destruction of Libya and Syria, the fact is that Ambassador Chris Stevens was acting as a coordinator, facilitator, and arms dealer for terrorists in Libya who were tasked with mopping up the rest of Ghaddafi's forces as well as shipping those weapons to terrorists operating in Syria.

---

<sup>368</sup> Schmidt, Michael S. "Hillary Clinton Directs Aides To Give Email Server And Thumb Drive To The Justice Department." New York Times. August 11, 2015. [http://www.nytimes.com/2015/08/12/us/politics/hillary-clinton-directs-aides-to-give-email-server-and-thumb-drive-to-the-justice-department.html?\\_r=0](http://www.nytimes.com/2015/08/12/us/politics/hillary-clinton-directs-aides-to-give-email-server-and-thumb-drive-to-the-justice-department.html?_r=0) Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>369</sup> Powell, Sidney. "The Countless Crimes Of Hillary Clinton: Special Prosecutor Needed Now." Observer. August 13, 2015. <http://observer.com/2015/08/the-countless-crimes-of-hillary-clinton-special-prosecutor-needed-now/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

<sup>370</sup> Dinan, Stephen. "Hillary Clinton Wiped Email Server Clean, Refuses To Turn It Over." Washington Times. March 27, 2015. <http://www.washingtontimes.com/news/2015/mar/27/hillary-clinton-wiped-email-server-clean-refuses-t/> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

With that in mind, one must wonder whether or not the whole email affair is itself – while a real enough issue- a red herring designed to cover up the fact that the Ambassador was tasked with acting as an agent of terrorism and a Sherpa of weapons and funds to terrorists. After all, the entire investigation is premised on the idea that what happened at the US embassy in Benghazi was either a random act of terrorist violence or a random act of terrorist violence made possible and more potent by incompetency in Washington.

Webster Tarpley disagrees with the premise of the investigation, arguing that the killing of Stevens was actually a bonapartist coup designed to produce an October surprise in September. Tarpley writes in his article, "[Benghazi Attacks Linked To CIA Mormon Mafia](#),"

As the London Daily Mail reported on September 19, 2012, all signs suggest that the attack on the US Consulate in Benghazi and the murder of Ambassador Stevens were carried out by forces under the command of Sufyan Ben Qumu (or Kumu), a notorious terrorist leader of the Libyan Islamic Fighting Group, an affiliate of al-Qaeda. Qumu, who once worked as Bin Laden's chauffeur, is a native of Derna, Libya, the city which US Army files suggest has produced more violent terrorists per capita than any other in the world. The US government knows everything about Qumu, who spent about five years as a prisoner in detention at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. Qumu was then sent back to Libya in September 2007, where he was set free by

Gaddafi in an amnesty in 2010. Qumu currently heads the Ansar al-Sharia brigade, also an al-Qaeda affiliate.

Clearly, the likely way somebody like Qumu could be released from Guantanamo would be if he had become a double agent working for the CIA in the overthrow of Qaddafi. We therefore have a situation in which a reputed CIA asset has carried out the assassination of the US ambassador.<sup>371</sup>

Nevertheless, Clinton's role must be investigated and punished to the fullest extent. Emails, while an important issue in regards to national security and the rule of law, are only the tip of the iceberg when it comes to this aspect of the story. The entire incident must be investigated fully, encompassing all aspects and following all leads.

---

<sup>371</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. "Benghazi Attacks Linked To CIA Mormon Mafia." Press TV. <http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2012/10/14/266560/benghazi-attack-cia-mafia-in-action> (link broken) Article reproduced here <http://www.boxingasylum.com/showthread.php?t=47451&s=de5eb660458f23d197f8431939586521#.Ve44IRFVikp> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

# The Clinton Body Count

Like many American Presidents, scandals have followed the Clinton's from their days in the Governor's mansion to their days in the White House and even after leaving office. While many American presidents have also been dogged by scandals that involve sexual crimes, pedophilia, and murder, none have had carried as many rumors (some well-founded, others not so much) of murders connected to them and their operations as have the Clintons.

Indeed, the list of the "Clinton Body Count" is so numerous that even a brief attempt to detail and explain the number of murders connected to the Clintons – either by clear and convincing evidence or by rumor – would be impossible. Indeed, such a discussion would comprise the topic of a book in and of itself.

However, below is a list of names compiled by the Ether Zone website, entitled "[The Clinton Body Count](#)," republished by permission. The reader may judge for himself whether or not every single person mentioned in this list is connected to the Clintons.

## THE CLINTON BODY COUNT

Here is the latest body count that we have. All of these people have been connected with the Clintons in some form or another. We have not included any deaths that

could not be verified or connected to the Clinton scandals. All deaths are listed chronologically by date. This list is current and accurate to the best of our knowledge as of January 13, 1999 August 1, 2000.

Susan Coleman: Rumors were circulating in Arkansas of an affair with Bill Clinton. She was found dead with a gunshot wound to the head at 7 1/2 months pregnant. Death was an apparent suicide.

Larry Guerrin: Was killed in February 1987 while investigating the INSLAW case.

Kevin Ives & Don Henry: Initial cause of death was reported to be the result of falling asleep on a railroad track in Arkansas on August 23, 1987. This ruling was reported by the State medical examiner Fahmy Malak. Later it was determined that Kevin died from a crushed skull prior to being placed on the tracks. Don had been stabbed in the back. Rumors indicate that they might have stumbled upon a Mena drug operation.

Keith Coney: Keith had information on the Ives/Henry deaths. Died in a motorcycle accident in July 1988 with unconfirmed reports of a high speed car chase.

Keith McKaskle: McKaskle has information on the Ives/Henry deaths. He was stabbed to death in November 1988.

Gregory Collins: Greg had information on the Ives/Henry deaths. He died from a gunshot wound to the face in January 1989.

Jeff Rhodes: He had information on the deaths of Ives, Henry & McKaskle. His burned body was found in a trash dump in April 1989. He died of a gunshot wound to the head and there was some body mutilation, leading to the probably speculation that he was tortured prior to being killed.

James Milam: Milam had information on the Ives & Henry deaths. He was decapitated. The state Medical examiner, Fahmy Malak, initially ruled death due to natural causes.

Richard Winters: Winters was a suspect in the deaths of Ives & Henry. He was killed in a "robbery" in July 1989 which was subsequently proven to be a setup.

Jordan Kettleison: Kettleison had information on the Ives & Henry deaths. He was found shot to death in the front seat of his pickup in June 1990.

Alan Standorf: An employee of the National Security Agency in electronic intelligence. Standorf was a source of information for Danny Casalaro who was investigating INSLAW, BCCI, etc. Standorf's body was found in the backseat of a car at Washington National Airport on Jan

31, 1991.

Dennis Eisman: An attorney with information on INSLAW. Eisman was found shot to death on April 5, 1991.

Danny Casalaro: Danny was a free-lance reporter and writer who was investigating the "October Surprise", INSLAW and BCCI. Danny was found dead in a bathtub in a Sheraton Hotel room in Martinsburg, West Virginia. Danny was staying at the hotel while keeping appointments in the DC area pertinent to his investigation. He was found with his wrists slashed. At least one, and possibly both of his wrists were cut 10 times. All of his research materials were missing and have never been recovered.

Victor Raiser: The National Finance Co-Chair for "Clinton for President." He died in a airplane crash on July 30, 1992.

R. Montgomery Raiser: Also involved in the Clinton presidential campaign. He died in the same plane crash as Victor.

Paul Tully: Tully was on the Democratic National Committee. He was found dead of unknown causes in his hotel room on September 24, 1992. No autopsy was ever allowed.

Ian Spiro: Spiro had supporting documentation for grand jury proceedings on the INSLAW case. His wife and 3

children were found murdered on November 1, 1992 in their home. They all died of gunshot wounds to the head. Ian's body was found several days later in a parked car in the Borego Desert. Cause of death? The ingestion of cyanide. FBI report indicated that Ian had murdered his family and then committed suicide.

Paula Gober: A Clinton speech writer. She died in a car accident on December 9, 1992 with no known witnesses.

Jim Wilhite: Wilhite was an associate of Mack McClarty's former firm. Wilhite died in a skiing accident on December 21, 1992. He also had extensive ties to Clinton with whom he visited by telephone just hours before his death.

Steve Willis, Robert Williams, Todd McKeahan & Conway LeBleu: Died February 28, 1993 by gunfire at Waco. All four were examined by a pathologist and died from identical wounds to the left temple. All four had been body guards for Bill Clinton, three while campaigning for President and when he was Governor of Arkansas. They also were the ONLY 4 BATF agents killed at Waco.

Sgt. Brian Haney, Sgt. Tim Sabel, Maj. William Barkley, Capt. Scott Reynolds: Died: May 19, 1993 - All four men died when their helicopter crashed in the woods near Quantico, Va. - Reporters were barred from the site, and the head of the fire department responding to the crash described it by saying, "Security was tight," with "lots of

Marines with guns." A videotape made by a firefighter was seized by the Marines. All four men had escorted Clinton on his flight to the carrier Roosevelt shortly before their deaths.

John Crawford: An attorney with information on INSLAW. He died from a heart attack in Tacoma in April of 1993.

John Wilson: Found dead from an apparent hanging suicide on May 18, 1993. He was a former Washington DC council member and claimed to have info on Whitewater.

Paul Wilcher: A lawyer who was investigating drug running out of Mena, Arkansas and who also sought to expose the "October Surprise", BCCI and INSLAW. He was found in his Washington DC apartment dead of unknown causes on June 22, 1993.

Vincent Foster: A White House deputy counsel and long-time personal friend of Bill and Hillary's. Found on July 20, 1993, dead of a gunshot wound to the mouth -- a death ruled suicide. Many different theories on this case! Readers are encouraged to read our report in Strange Deaths.

Jon Parnell Walker: An investigator for the RTC who was looking into the linkage between the Whitewater and Madison S&L bankruptcy. Walker "fell" from the top of the Lincoln Towers Building.

Stanley Heard & Steven Dickson: They were members of the Clinton health care advisory committee. They died in a plane crash on September 10, 1993.

Jerry Luther Parks: Parks was the Chief of Security for Clinton's national campaign headquarters in Little Rock. Gunned down in his car on September 26, 1993 near the intersection of Chenal Parkway and Highway 10 west of Little Rock. Parks was shot through the rear window of his car. The assailant then pulled around to the driver's side of Park's car and shot him three more times with a 9mm pistol. His family reported that shortly before his death, they were being followed by unknown persons, and their home had been broken into (despite a top quality alarm system). Parks had been compiling a dossier on Clinton's illicit activities. The dossier was stolen.

Ed Willey: A Clinton fundraiser. He died of a self-inflicted gunshot wound on November 30, 1993. His death came the same day his wife, Kathleen, was sexually assaulted in the White House by Bill Clinton.

Gandy Baugh: Baugh was Lasater's attorney and committed suicide on January 8, 1994. Baugh's partner committed suicide exactly one month later on February 8, 1994.

Herschell Friday: A member of the presidential campaign

finance committee. He died in an airplane explosion on March 1, 1994.

Ronald Rogers: Rogers died on March 3, 1994 just prior to releasing sensitive information to a London newspaper. Cause of death? Undetermined.

Kathy Ferguson: A 38 year old hospital worker whose ex-husband is a co- defendant in the Paula Jones sexual harassment law suit. She had information supporting Paula Jones's allegations. She died of an apparent suicide on May 11, 1994 from a gunshot wound to the head.

Bill Shelton: Shelton was an Arkansas police officer and was found dead as an apparent suicide on Kathy Ferguson's grave (Kathy was his girl friend), on June 12, 1994. This "suicide" was the result of a gunshot wound to the back of the head.

Stanley Huggins: Huggins, 46, was a principal in a Memphis law firm which headed a 1987 investigation into the loan practices of Madison Guaranty S&L. Stanley died in Delaware in July 1994 -- reported cause of death was viral pneumonia.

Paul Olson: A Federal witness in investigations to drug money corruption in Chicago politics, Paul had just finished 2 days of FBI interviews when his plane ride home crashed, killing Paul and 130 others on Sept 8 1994. The

Sept. 15, 1994 Tempe Tribune newspaper reported that the FBI suspected that a bomb had brought down the airplane.

Calvin Walraven: 24 year on Walraven was a key witness against Jocelyn Elder's son's drug case. Walraven was found dead in his apartment with a gunshot wound to the head. Tim Hover, a Little Rock police spokesman says no foul play is suspected.

Alan G. Whicher: Oversaw Clinton's Secret Service detail. In October 1994 Whicher was transferred to the Secret Service field office in the Murrah Building in Oklahoma City. Whatever warning was given to the BATF agents in that building did not reach Alan Whicher, who died in the bomb blast of April 19th 1995.

Duane Garrett: Died July 26, 1995-A lawyer and a talk show host for KGO-AM in San Fransisco, Duane was the campaign finance chairman for Diane Fienstien's run for the senate, and was a friend and fundraiser for Al Gore. Garrett was under investigation for defrauding investors in Garrett's failed sports memorabilia venture. There was talk of a deal to evade prosecution. On July 26th, Garrett canceled an afternoon meeting with his lawyer because he had to meet some people at the San Fransisco airport. Three hours later he was found floating in the bay under the Golden Gate Bridge.

Ron Brown: The Commerce Secretary died on April 3, 1996, in an Air Force jet carrying Brown and 34 others, including 14 business executives on a trade mission to Croatia, crashed into a mountainside. The Air Force, in a 22-volume report issued in June of 1996, confirmed its initial judgment that the crash resulted from pilot errors and faulty navigation equipment. At the time of Brown's death, Independent Counsel Daniel Pearson was seeking to determine whether Brown had engaged in several sham financial transactions with longtime business partner Nolanda Hill shortly before he became secretary of commerce.

Charles Meissner: died: UNK - Following Ron Brown's death, John Huang was placed on a Commerce Department contract that allowed him to retain his security clearance by Charles Meissner. Shortly thereafter, Meissner died in the crash of a small plane. He was an Assistant Secretary of Commerce for International Economic Policy.

William Colby: Retired CIA director was found dead on May 6, 1996 after his wife reported him missing on April 27, 1996. Apparently, Colby decided to go on an impromptu canoeing excursion and never returned. Colby who had just started writing for Strategic Investment newsletter, worried many in the intelligent community. Colby's past history of divulging CIA secrets in the past were well known. Strategic Investor had covered the Vince Foster

suicide and had hired handwriting experts to review Foster's suicide note.

Admiral Jeremy Boorda: Died on May 16, 1996 after he went home for lunch and decided to shoot himself in the chest (by one report, twice) rather than be interviewed by Newsweek magazine that afternoon. Explanations for Boorda's suicide focused on a claim that he was embarrassed over two "Valor" pins he was not authorized to wear.

Lance Herndon: Herndon a 41 year old computer specialist and a prominent entrepreneur who received a presidential appointment in 1995 died August 10, 1996 under suspicious circumstances. He appeared to have died from a blow to the head. Police said no weapons were found at his mansion, adding that Mr. Herndon had not been shot or stabbed and there was no evidence of forced entry or theft.

Neil Moody: Died -August 25, 1996 Following Vincent Foster's murder, Lisa Foster married James Moody, a judge in Arkansas, on Jan 1, 1996. Near the time Susan McDougal first went to jail for contempt, Judge Moor's son, Neil died in a car crash. There were other reports that Neil Moody had discovered something very unsettling among his stepmother's private papers and was threatening to go public with it just prior to the beginning of the Democratic National Convention. He was alleged to

have been talking to Bob Woodward of the Washington Post about a blockbuster story. Witnesses said they saw Neil Moody sitting in his car arguing with another person just prior to His car suddenly speeding off out of control and hitting a brick wall.

Barbara Wise: Wise a 14-year Commerce Department employee found dead and partially naked in her office following a long weekend. She worked in the same section as John Huang. Officially, she is said to have died of natural causes.

Doug Adams: Died January 7, 1997- A lawyer in Arkansas who got involved trying to help the people who were being swindled out of their life savings. Adams was found in his vehicle with a gunshot wound to his head in a Springfield Mo. hospital parking lot.

Mary C. Mahoney: 25, murdered at the Georgetown Starbuck's coffee bar over the 4th of July '97 weekend. She was a former White House intern who worked with John Huang. Apparently she knew Monica Lewinsky and her sexual encounters with Bill Clinton. Although not verified, it has been said that Lewinsky told Linda Tripp that she did not want to end up like Mahoney.

Ronald Miller: Suddenly took ill on October 3rd,1997 and steadily worsened until his death 9 days later. (This pattern fits Ricin poisoning.) Owing to the strangeness of

the illness, doctors at the Integris Baptist Medical Center referred the matter to the Oklahoma State Medical Examiner's Office. The Oklahoma State Medical Examiner's Office promptly ran tests on samples of Ron Miller's blood, but has refused to release the results or even to confirm that the tests were ever completed.

Had been investigated by authorities over the sale of his company, Gage Corp. to Dynamic Energy Resources, Inc. was the man who tape recorded Gene and Nora Lum and turned those tapes (and other records) over to congressional oversight investigators. The Lums were sentenced to prison for campaign finance violations, using "straw donors" to conceal the size of their contributions to various candidates. Indeed, Dynamic Energy Resources, Inc. had hired Ron Brown's son Michael solely for the purpose of funneling \$60,000 through him to the Commerce Secretary, according to Nolanda Hill's testimony.

Sandy Hume: On Sunday, February 22nd, 1998, Sandy Hume, the 28 year old son of journalist Britt Hume, was reportedly found dead in his Arlington, Virginia home. Aside from the statement that this was an "apparent" suicide, there remains in place a total media blackout on this story, possibly out of concern that the actual facts will not withstand public scrutiny. Worked for Hill magazine, about Congress for Congress.

Jim McDougal: Bill and Hillary Clinton friend, banker, and political ally, sent to prison for eighteen felony convictions. A key whitewater witness, dies of a heart attack on March, 8 1998. As of this writing allegations that he was given an injection of the diuretic lasix has not been denied or confirmed.

Died on March 8, 1998

Johnny Lawhon: 29, died March 29, 1998- The Arkansas transmission specialist who discovered a pile of Whitewater documents in the trunk of an abandoned car on his property and turned them over to Starr, was killed in a car wreck two weeks after the McDougal death.. Details of the "accident" have been sketchy -- even from the local Little Rock newspaper.

Charles Wilbourne Miller: 63, was found dead of a gunshot wound to the head on November 17, 1998 in a shallow pit about 300 yards from his ranch house near Little Rock. Police found a .410 gauge shotgun near Miller's body and a Ruger .357-caliber revolver submerged in water. Investigators concluded the Ruger was the weapon used by Miller to kill himself. Yet, two rounds in the handgun's cylinder had been spent.

He had long served as executive vice president and member of the board of directors for a company called Alltel and was deeply involved in his own software engineering company until the day he died. Alltel is the

successor to Jackson Stephens' Systematics, the company that provided the software for the White House's "Big Brother" [data base system](#) and that was behind the administration's plan to develop the secret computer "Clipper" chip to bug every phone, fax and email transmission in America.

Carlos Ghigliotti: 42, was found dead in his home just outside of Washington D.C. on April 28, 2000. There was no sign of a break-in or struggle at the firm of Infrared Technology where the badly decomposed body of Ghigliotti was found. Ghigliotti had not been seen for several weeks, [commercial cleaning companies](#) may have been contacted in order for the offices to be cleaned.

Ghigliotti, a thermal imaging analyst hired by the House Government Reform Committee to review tape of the siege, said he determined the FBI fired shots on April 19, 1993. The FBI has explained the light bursts on infrared footage as reflections of sun rays on shards of glass or other debris that littered the scene.

"I conclude this based on the groundview videotapes taken from several different angles simultaneously and based on the overhead thermal tape," Ghigliotti told The Washington Post last October. "The gunfire from the ground is there, without a doubt."

Ghigliotti said the tapes also confirm the Davidians fired repeatedly at FBI agents during the assault, which ended

when flames raced through the compound. About 80 Branch Davidians perished that day, some from the fire, others from gunshot wounds.

Mark Corallo, a spokesman for the congressional committee chaired by Rep. Dan Burton, R-Ind., said that police found the business cards of a committee investigator in Ghigliotti's office. Corallo said Ghigliotti's work for the committee ended some time ago.

Tony Moser: 41, was killed as he crossed a street in Pine Bluff, Ark on June 10, 2000. Killed 10 days after being named a columnist for the Democrat-Gazette newspaper and two days after penning a stinging indictment of political corruption in Little Rock.

Police have concluded that no charges will be filed against the unnamed driver of a 1995 Chevrolet pickup, which hit Moser as he was walking alone in the middle of unlit Rhinehart Road about 10:10 p.m

Police say they have ruled out foul play and will file no charges against the driver because he was not intoxicated and there was no sign of excessive speed.<sup>372</sup>

["Published originally at EtherZone.com"](http://www.etherzone.com/body.html)

---

<sup>372</sup> "The Clinton Body Count." Ether Zone.  
<http://www.etherzone.com/body.html> Accessed on September 7, 2015.

# Hillary and Israel

While those on the Republican side of the aisle are able to parade their support for the brutal, racist, and horrific Zionist settler state of Israel as a positive aspect of their campaigns, Democrats generally need to be a little more couched in terms of their position on Israel. This is not because the Democratic Party is any less dedicated to the facilitation of the Israeli campaign of extermination of the Palestinians, promotion of Israeli aggression in the Middle East, or any less beholden to AIPAC or the Israel lobby than the Republican Party. It is merely because a sizeable portion of the base of the Democratic party are either legitimately anti-war, opposed to genocide, or simply consumed with the desire to take up human rights causes (real or imagined).

Hillary Clinton, however, is open in her unwavering support for the Zionist settler state on numerous occasions.

[For instance, in 2000](#), when Clinton was running for New York Senate, she became embroiled in a Senate debate that essentially turned into a contest of who could placate and pledge to allegiance to Israel the most. Clinton seems to have won that debate.<sup>373</sup> Consider [the brief exchange](#):

---

<sup>373</sup> "Hillary Clinton On War And Peace." On The Issues.

[http://www.ontheissues.org/Celeb/Hillary\\_Clinton\\_War\\_+Peace.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/Celeb/Hillary_Clinton_War_+Peace.htm)

Accessed on September 8, 2015.

Q: In recent weeks, scores of people have been killed in the Middle East. In view of what's happened, do you think there should be a Palestinian state now?

CLINTON: Only as part of a comprehensive peace agreement. That's always been my position, that [it should] guarantee Israel's safety and security and the parties should agree at the negotiating table. A unilateral declaration is absolutely unacceptable and it would mean the end of any US aid.

LAZIO: That's a change of heart for Mrs. Clinton, because back in 1998 you called for a Palestinian state. You undercut the Israeli negotiating position. The people of New York want to have somebody who has a consistent record. For eight years I have been consistent and strong in my support for the security of the state of Israel. Without equivocation. Without a question mark next to my name.

CLINTON: There is no question mark next to me. There's an exclamation point. I am an emphatic, unwavering supporter of Israel's safety and security.<sup>374</sup>

Clinton has also supported the "West Bank Barrier," a construction that is not so much a border fence as it is a Ghetto divider. "This is not against the Palestinian people. This is against

---

<sup>374</sup> "New York Senatorial Campaign Debate." September 13, 2000. C-SPAN. <http://www.c-span.org/video/?159214-1/new-york-senatorial-campaign-debate> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

the terrorists. The Palestinian people have to help to prevent terrorism. They have to change the attitudes about terrorism," she stated.<sup>375</sup>

In 2006, [Clinton attended a pro-Israel rally](#) being held outside the United Nations headquarters in New York where she expressed support for Israel in the 2006 Lebanese-Israeli conflict. Clinton lumped Hamas and Hezbollah into the same category, condemning both, and expressing her undying love for the Israelis. "We are here to show solidarity and support for Israel. We will stand with Israel, because Israel is standing for American values as well as Israeli ones," she said.<sup>376</sup>

Clinton has long opposed steps by the Palestinian Authority to attempt to declare its own state and receive recognition of Palestinian statehood. While the standard American line on the conflict is that a two-state solution is the only solution, Clinton has consistently opposed Palestinian efforts to seek full membership with the UN. Instead, Clinton suggested that the Palestinians should negotiate one-on-one with the Israelis, a method of negotiation that has brought the Palestinians nothing over the last 80 years. Obviously, Clinton's line is one that would have the Palestinians weighed down in attempt to reason

---

<sup>375</sup> Benhorin, Yitzhak. "Settlements, Iran, and Hamas: Hillary Clinton's Israel Policy." YNet News. April 12, 2015.  
<http://www.ynetnews.com/articles/0,7340,L-4646394,00.html> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>376</sup> Benhorin, Yitzhak. "Settlements, Iran, and Hamas: Hillary Clinton's Israel Policy." YNet News. April 12, 2015.  
<http://www.ynetnews.com/articles/0,7340,L-4646394,00.html> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

with the unreasonable until the Israeli war of attrition and genocide is completed. Eventually, when there are no Palestinians left, there will be no more Palestinian conflict. The logic is there but the morals are not.<sup>377</sup>

Clinton [has also stated her support](#) for “A strong Israeli military,” saying that it “is always essential, but no defense is perfect. And over the long run, nothing would do more to secure Israel’s future as a Jewish, democratic state than a comprehensive peace.” In addition to supporting a strong Israeli military – courtesy of the American taxpayer – it is worthy of note that Clinton would likely find it difficult to recognize another country as a “Muslim” or “Christian” state.

In 2012, [Clinton invoked the personal](#). She stated “protecting Israel's future is not simply a matter of policy for me, it's personal. I know with all my heart how important it is that our relation goes from strength to strength. I am looking forward to returning to Israel as a private citizen on a commercial plane.”

In 2014, [Hillary offered strong support](#) for the Israeli government, the state of Israel, and Benjamin Netanyahu when she stated in an interview with the Atlantic that “I think Israel did what it had to do to respond to Hamas rockets. Israel has a right to defend itself. The steps Hamas has taken to embed rockets and command and control facilities and tunnel entrances in civilian

---

<sup>377</sup> Benhorin, Yitzhak. “Settlements, Iran, and Hamas: Hillary Clinton’s Israel Policy.” YNet News. April 12, 2015.  
<http://www.ynetnews.com/articles/0,7340,L-4646394,00.html> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

areas, this makes a response by Israel difficult." Clinton was referring to the Israeli bombing of Gaza which resulted in large numbers of dead civilians and the destruction of civilian infrastructure, all of which Clinton simply chalked up to the "mistakes" of war.

[As Shadi Ashtari of the Huffington Post wrote](#) regarding Clinton's defense of Israel's war operation,

The fog of war may be more of a Rorschach test, it turns out.

[Here's](#) Hillary Clinton, on the downing of a Malaysia Airlines plane in Ukraine: "I think if there were any doubt it should be gone by now, that Vladimir Putin, certainly indirectly ... bears responsibility for what happened."

And [here's](#) Clinton, on the bombing of a United Nations facility in Gaza: "I'm not sure it's possible to parcel out blame because it's impossible to know what happens in the fog of war."

The two remarks were made less than three weeks apart, and offer a window into how one's view of how the world should be can color how it's seen -- or at least how it's relayed to the public.

In her July [interview](#) with CNN's Fareed Zakaria, Clinton forcefully implicated the Russian leader in a strike that claimed the lives of 298 passengers after

overwhelming [evidence](#) indicated that Russian-supplied rebels shot down passenger liner MH17.

A few weeks later, on July 30, five Israeli shells rained down on a U.N. school at the Jabalia refugee camp, killing more than 15 people, mostly women and children. The attack, which also wounded more than 100 civilians, marked the second time in a week that a U.N. school housing hundreds of homeless Palestinians had been targeted.<sup>378</sup>

Indeed, Clinton has been vocal enough in support of Israel and its brutal treatment of Palestinians that Peter Beinart, an academic who regularly comments on the Israeli-Palestinian issue, [once labeled her](#) as “the Israeli government’s best spokesperson.”<sup>379</sup>

Gary Luepp of Counterpunch describes Hillary’s position on Israel as by writing that,

She has been an unremitting supporter of Israeli aggression, whenever it occurs. The Israeli newspaper Haaretz described her last year as “Israel’s new lawyer” given her sympathetic view of Binyamin Netanyahu’s 2014

---

<sup>378</sup> Ashtari, Shadi. “Hillary Clinton Twists Herself In Knots To Avoid Blaming Israel For UN Bombing.” Huffington Post. August 13, 2014. [http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2014/08/13/hillary-clinton-israel-gaza-school-bombing\\_n\\_5672881.html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2014/08/13/hillary-clinton-israel-gaza-school-bombing_n_5672881.html) Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>379</sup> Merica, Dan. “With Vocal Support Of Israel, Clinton Rankles Pro-Palestinian Americans.” CNN. August 11, 2014. <http://politicalticker.blogs.cnn.com/2014/08/11/with-vocal-support-of-israel-clinton-rankles-pro-palestinian-americans/> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

bombardment of Gaza and even his desire to maintain “security” throughout the occupied West Bank. She postured as an opponent of Israel’s unrelenting, illegal settlements of Palestinian territory in 2009, but backed down when Netanyahu simply refused to heed U.S. calls for a freeze. In her memoir she notes “our early, hard line on settlements didn’t work” —as though she’s apologizing for it.

In 1999 as First Lady, Hillary Clinton hugged and kissed Yassir Arafat’s wife Suha during a trip to the West Bank. She advocated the establishment of a Palestinian state. She changed her tune when she ran for the New York Senate seat. When it comes to the Middle East, she is a total, unprincipled opportunist.<sup>380</sup>

It is this “opportunism” that Hillary is putting to good use when attempting to suck up money from wealthy Jewish donors. As Kenneth P. Vogel and Tarini Parti write for Politico in their article “[Hillary Clinton Signals To Jewish Donors: I’ll Be Better For Israel](#),”

Hillary Clinton is privately signaling to wealthy Jewish donors that — no matter the result of the [Iranian nuclear](#)

---

<sup>380</sup> Luepp, Gary. “The Warmongering Record Of Hillary Clinton.” Counterpunch. February 11, 2015. <http://www.counterpunch.org/2015/02/11/the-warmongering-record-of-hillary-clinton/> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

[negotiations](#) — she will be a better friend to Israel than President Barack Obama.<sup>381</sup>

But, even as donors increasingly push Clinton on the subject in private, they have emerged with sometimes widely varying interpretations about whether she would support a prospective deal, according to interviews with more than 10 influential donors and fundraising operatives.

.....

Publicly, she's [expressed support](#) for the negotiating process, which she [secretly initiated](#) during her time as secretary of state, but has also said "[no deal is better than a bad deal](#)."<sup>382 383 384</sup>

.....

---

<sup>381</sup> Crowley, Michael. "Iran Talks: 5 Key Things To Watch." Politico. June 27, 2015. <http://www.politico.com/story/2015/06/iran-nuclear-talks-geneva-john-kerry-5-things-to-watch-119482> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>382</sup> Karni, Annie. "Clinton On Iran: 'Diplomacy Deserves A Chance To Succeed.'" Politico. April 2, 2015. <http://www.politico.com/story/2015/04/clinton-on-iran-deal-diplomacy-deserves-a-chance-to-succeed-116646> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>383</sup> Crowley, Michael. "Hillary Clinton's Secret Iran Man." Politico. April 3, 2015. <http://www.politico.com/story/2015/04/hillary-clintons-secret-iran-man-116647> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>384</sup> "Hillary Clinton: No Deal Better Than 'Bad Deal' With Iran." Associated Press. May 14, 2014. [http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2014/05/14/hillary-clinton-iran\\_n\\_5323991.html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2014/05/14/hillary-clinton-iran_n_5323991.html) Accessed on September 8, 2015.

At a fundraiser last month at the Long Island home of Democratic donor Jay Jacobs, Clinton was asked by an Orthodox rabbi about threats to Israel's security. "She did stress in no uncertain terms her full and fervent support of the state of Israel and the defense of the state of Israel," recalled Jacobs. "And the people in the audience who heard it seemed to be comfortable with her answer."

.....

Clinton's allies are carefully monitoring the sensitivities of a handful of hawkish Democratic mega-donors for signs that the Iran talks may be influencing their willingness to write million-dollar super PAC checks. Chief among that group is billionaire Hollywood entrepreneur Haim Saban, who sources say has spoken multiple times with Clinton and her top aides about the deal.

In April, he [strongly suggested](#) that Clinton opposed the deal. "I know where she stands, but I can't talk about it," Saban told an Israeli television news channel, adding under questioning, "She has an opinion, a very well-defined opinion. And in any case, everything that she thinks and everything she has done and will do will always

be for the good of Israel. We don't need to worry about this."<sup>385</sup>

He soon backtracked, saying "[I have no idea](#) what Hillary thinks about the Iran deal."<sup>386 387</sup>

If one simply goes by Clinton's campaign rhetoric and public speeches, then it is entirely justified to be unaware of Clinton's position on the Iran deal, the State of Israel, or a possible plan for peace. If one follows the money and observes her past history, however, Hillary's position on Israel is abundantly clear.

---

<sup>385</sup> Friedman, Naomi. "Saban Hints: Clinton Opposes Iran Deal." The Hill. April 17, 2015. <http://thehill.com/blogs/congress-blog/foreign-policy/239091-saban-hints-clinton-opposes-the-iran-deal> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>386</sup> Goodman, Alana. "Top Pro-Israel Donor Unsure Of Clinton's Position On Iran Deal." April 21, 2015. <http://freebeacon.com/politics/top-pro-israel-donor-unsure-of-clintons-position-on-iran-deal/> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>387</sup> Vogel, Kenneth P.; Parti, Tarini. "Hillary Clinton Signals To Jewish Donors: I'll Be Better For Israel." Politico. July 3, 2015. <http://www.politico.com/story/2015/07/hillary-clinton-jewish-donors-israel-119705> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

# Hillary Clinton: Supported By Major Gas And Oil Giants

Right in line with Hillary Clinton's support for the Fracking industry comes the funding and support of Hillary Clinton's presidential campaign from a number of major oil and gas giants. At least in this instance, there are no surprises.

Many of these corporations are supporting the Clinton campaign through a semi-complicated method known as "bundling," where agents of the corporation collect money from "private donors" and bundle them into a sizeable donation. It is, in a sense, an effort to skirt laws related to corporate donations to candidates and the limits set on private donations.

[As Brandon Jordan writes for Mint Press News,](#)

Lobbyists connected to fossil fuel firms are acting as bundlers for Hillary Clinton's presidential campaign [based on documents](#) uploaded by journalist Lee Fang.<sup>388</sup>

[In a post](#) last month for The Intercept, Fang highlighted how private prison lobbyists were helping raise money for Clinton's campaign. Interestingly, the documents also highlight lobbyists—who also have gone through the

---

<sup>388</sup> "FEC Form 3L: Report Of Contributions Bundled By Lobbyists/Registrants And Lobbyist/Registrant PACs – Hillary For America. July 1, 2015." <https://www.documentcloud.org/documents/2178532-clintonbundlers.html> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

revolving door—working on behalf of oil and gas companies.<sup>389</sup>

.....

Tony Podesta, who [lobbied](#) the White House in 2013 to push for liquefied natural gas exports, is one bundler for the campaign. Moreover, he is the brother of John Podesta, a former White House official who recently worked with President Barack Obama on environmental issues. Currently, Podesta chairs Clinton’s presidential campaign.<sup>390</sup>

Ankit Desai, vice president of government relations at Cheniere Energy, also is a registered bundler. Desai is a former government official who worked for then-Senator Joseph Biden and worked on John Kerry’s 2004 presidential campaign.

Cheniere Energy, as noted by Fang and journalist Steve Horn in [a report last year](#), received the first permit by the

---

<sup>389</sup> Fang, Lee. “Private Prison Lobbyists Are Raising Cash For Hillary Clinton.” The Intercept. July 23, 2015. <https://theintercept.com/2015/07/23/private-prison-lobbyists-raising-cash-hillary-clinton/> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>390</sup> Horn, Steve. “Brother Of Hillary Clinton’s Top Campaign Aide Lobbied For Fracked Gas Export Terminal Co-Owned By Qatar.” Desmog. April 30, 2015. <http://www.desmogblog.com/2015/04/30/hillary-clinton-john-podesta-tony-podesta-lng-export-terminal-qatar> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

Obama administration in 2012 to export liquefied natural gas after lobbying White House officials.<sup>391</sup>

Hillary Clinton is no stranger to natural gas as, while Secretary of State under the Obama administration, she pushed for shale gas development overseas. Journalist Mariah Blake highlighted such efforts by the State Department in foreign countries [for Mother Jones in September](#):

As part of its expanded energy mandate, the State Department hosted conferences on fracking from Thailand to Botswana. It sent US experts to work alongside foreign officials as they developed shale gas programs. And it arranged for dozens of foreign delegations to visit the United States to attend workshops and meet with industry consultants—as well as with environmental groups, in some cases.

<sup>392</sup> <sup>393</sup>

---

<sup>391</sup> Fang, Lee; Horn, Steve. “Natural Gas Exports: Washington’s Revolving Door Fuels Climate Threat.” Desmog.  
<http://www.desmogblog.com/sites/beta.desmogblog.com/files/Natural%20Gas%20Exports-%20Washington%27s%20Revolving%20Door%20Fuels%20Climate%20Threat.pdf> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>392</sup> Blake, Mariah. “How Hillary Clinton’s State Department Sold Fracking To The World.” Mother Jones. September/October 2014.  
<http://www.motherjones.com/environment/2014/09/hillary-clinton-fracking-shale-state-department-chevron?page=1> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>393</sup> Jordan, Brandon. “Oil And Gas Lobbyists Support Hillary Clinton’s Campaign.” Mint Press News. August 10, 2015.

Indeed, we now are able to see many of the surface reasons why Clinton is so amenable to fracking and “gas development” programs domestically and overseas.

Brandon Jordan continues by writing,

Steve Elmendorf is another supporter of Clinton’s campaign. He [worked](#) for former House Minority Leader Dick Gephardt and Kerry’s 2004 presidential campaign as well.<sup>394</sup>

Currently, Elmendorf works for Bryan Cave Strategies, who have [lobbied for](#) Shell Oil many times.<sup>395</sup> In 2007, Barack Obama [criticized](#) the Clinton campaign for relying on Elmendorf to push for a gas tax holiday. He called their efforts a “shell game, literally.”<sup>396</sup>

Theresa Maria Fariello, vice president of government relations at ExxonMobil, is another bundler and also no stranger to the Democratic Party. From 1999 to 2001, she [worked as](#) the Deputy Assistant Secretary of International

---

<http://www.mintpressnews.com/MyMPN/oil-and-gas-lobbyists-support-hillary-clintons-campaign/> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>394</sup> “Elmendorf, Steven.” Open Secrets.

[https://www.opensecrets.org/revolving/rev\\_summary.php?id=26177](https://www.opensecrets.org/revolving/rev_summary.php?id=26177)

Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>395</sup> “Bryan Cave Strategies.” Open Secrets.

<http://www.opensecrets.org/lobby/firmsum.php?id=D000023036&year=2008>

Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>396</sup> Gavrilovic, Maria. “Obama Calls Gas Tax Holiday A ‘Shell Game.’” CBS News. May 3, 2008.

Affairs at the Department of Energy under then-President Bill Clinton's administration.<sup>397</sup>

While working for ExxonMobil, she [lobbied](#) Democratic officials to obtain "political intelligence" and is cited as the "chief-in-house Democrat" of the firm.<sup>398</sup> The Hill, in 2011, named her as one of the top lobbyists in Washington D.C. where she is "fully engaged in the battles over regulations, oil subsidies and deepwater drilling."<sup>399</sup>

Oil and gas support of Clinton's campaign should by no means be a surprise to anyone familiar with the Clinton legacy. As mentioned earlier, it was Clinton who took it upon herself to crusade across the world in favor of fracking and "gas development," meaning privatization, land acquisition, and environmental degradation for shale gas that is clearly not worth the destructive measures needed to extract it.

Like all the administrations before her, Clinton will be the "oil and gas president." But not from the point of view of the American public who will be suffering under much higher prices of

---

<sup>397</sup> "Fariello, Theresa M." Open Secrets.

[http://www.opensecrets.org/revolving/rev\\_summary.php?id=70971](http://www.opensecrets.org/revolving/rev_summary.php?id=70971) Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>398</sup> Dlouhy, Jennifer A. "New Oil Industry Lobbyist Stands Apart From Pack." Fuel Fix. July 11, 2014. <http://fuelfix.com/blog/2014/07/11/new-oil-industry-lobbyist-stands-apart-from-pack/> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>399</sup> Jordan, Brandon. "Oil And Gas Lobbyists Support Hillary Clinton's Campaign." Mint Press News. August 10, 2015. <http://www.mintpressnews.com/MyMPN/oil-and-gas-lobbyists-support-hillary-clintons-campaign/> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

energy due to the obsession with disproven man-made CO<sub>2</sub>-based Climate Change theories.

# The Clinton Economy Is The Wal-Mart Economy

If any corporate donation can accurately predict the direction in which a Hillary Clinton presidency would take the American economy, it would be the sizeable donations made by Wal-Mart executives.

[As early as 2013](#), Alice Walton, heiress to the Wal-Mart fortune, donated the maximum allowed amount (\$25,000) to the Ready For Hillary PAC.<sup>400</sup>

The [Clinton Foundation has also received](#) a sizeable amount of direct donations from Wal-Mart. Indeed, the Wal-Mart Foundation has even donated anywhere from \$1 to \$5 million to the Clinton Foundation in 2013 alone. The Wal-Mart Foundation has also funded and hosted a number of “energy and climate change” awards for the Clinton Global Initiative.<sup>401</sup>

The assistance and promotion has been reciprocal, of course, with the Clinton Global Initiative posting a [rave review](#) of

---

<sup>400</sup> Caldwell, Patrick. “Retail Politics: Hillary Clinton Heads To Costco, Skips Walmart On Latest Book Tour.” Mother Jones. June 14, 2014. <http://www.motherjones.com/politics/2014/06/hillary-clinton-costco-walmart> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>401</sup> Caldwell, Patrick. “Retail Politics: Hillary Clinton Heads To Costco, Skips Walmart On Latest Book Tour.” Mother Jones. June 14, 2014. <http://www.motherjones.com/politics/2014/06/hillary-clinton-costco-walmart> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

the Wal-Mart corporation, naming it a company that makes great “efforts to empower girls and women.” It was unclear as to whether it was the slave labor wages, the humiliating work environment, or the abusive labor policies of Wal-mart that were the “empowerment of girls and women.” Such questions generally do go unanswered.<sup>402</sup>

The Clinton’s [have also assisted Wal-Mart](#) in the past regarding its effort to appear as more environmentally-friendly. For this reason, Bill Clinton met with past Wal-Mart CEO Lee Scott in order to help boost the company’s relationship with environmentalists.<sup>403</sup>

Yet the Clinton connection to Wal-Mart goes much further back than 2013. As Kevin Young and Diana C. Sierra Becerra of Solidarity wrote in their article “[Something That Might Be Called A Neo-Con: Hillary Clinton And Corporate Feminism,](#)”

Hillary Clinton’s record on such issues is hardly encouraging. Her decades of service on corporate boards and in major policy roles as First Lady, U.S. Senator, and Secretary of State give a clear indication of where she stands. One of Clinton’s first high-profile public positions

---

<sup>402</sup> Abeywardena, Penny. “How Walmart Is Reimagining Its Investments To Empower Girls And Women.” Clinton Foundation website. April 29, 2014. <https://www.clintonfoundation.org/blog/2014/04/29/how-walmart-reimagining-its-investments-empower-girls-and-women> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>403</sup> Eidelson, Josh. “Wal-mart’s Big Green Con: Environmentalists Blast Megastore, As Worker’s Strike.” Salon. November 13, 2013. [http://www.salon.com/2013/11/13/wal\\_marts\\_big\\_green\\_con\\_environmentalists\\_blast\\_megastore\\_as\\_workers\\_strike/](http://www.salon.com/2013/11/13/wal_marts_big_green_con_environmentalists_blast_megastore_as_workers_strike/) Accessed on September 8, 2015.

was at Walmart, where she [served on the board](#) from 1986 to 1992.<sup>404</sup> She “[remained silent](#)” in board meetings as her company “waged a major campaign against labor unions seeking to represent store workers,” as an ABC review of video recordings later noted.<sup>405</sup>

Clinton recounted in her 2003 book that Walmart CEO Sam Walton “taught me a great deal about corporate integrity and success.” Though she later began trying to shed her public identification with the company in order to attract labor support for her Senate and presidential candidacies, [Walmart executives](#) have continued to look favorably on her, with Alice Walton donating the maximum amount to the “Ready for Hillary” Super PAC in 2013.<sup>406</sup> Walton’s \$25,000 donation was considerably higher than the average [annual salary](#) for Walmart’s hourly employees, [two-thirds](#) of whom are women.<sup>407 408 409</sup>

---

<sup>404</sup> Harkavy, Ward. “Wal-Mart’s First Lady.” *Village Voice*. May 23, 2000. <http://www.villagevoice.com/news/wal-marts-first-lady-6418414> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>405</sup> Ross, Brian; Schwartz, Rhonda. “Clinton Remained Silent As Walmart Fought Unions.” *ABC News*. January 31, 2008. <http://abcnews.go.com/Blotter/story?id=4218509> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>406</sup> Caldwell, Patrick. “Retail Politics: Hillary Clinton Heads To Costco, Skips Walmart On Latest Book Tour.” *Mother Jones*. June 14, 2014. <http://www.motherjones.com/politics/2014/06/hillary-clinton-costco-walmart> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>407</sup> “Fact Sheet – Wages.” *Making A Change At Walmart* website. <http://makingchangeatwalmart.org/factsheet/walmart-watch-fact-sheets/fact-sheet-wages/> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

In regards to her “remaining silent” on Wal-Mart’s war on labor and labor unions while she served on the Wal-Mart corporate board, it appears that Clinton did much more than merely remain silent. [Patrick Caldwell of Mother Jones reports](#) that she was actually involved in these decisions. Caldwell writes,

Clinton was the first woman to serve on the board of the company. As the *Village Voice* noted in a 2000 [article](#) denouncing Clinton Walmart past, Clinton's spot on the board was designed just for her: she wasn't filling any open vacancies, and the company didn't replace her seat when she resigned in 1992.<sup>410</sup> Her ties to Walmart weren't confined to her role on the board, either. Walmart was one of Rose's clients and, according to a 1994 *New York Times* [article](#), Hillary served as "director" for the firm's representation of Walmart.<sup>411 412</sup>

---

<sup>408</sup> “Walmart’s Women Workers Take Case To Supreme Court.” Altnet. [http://www.altnet.org/rss/breaking\\_news/542766/walmart%27s\\_women\\_workers\\_take\\_case\\_to\\_supreme\\_court](http://www.altnet.org/rss/breaking_news/542766/walmart%27s_women_workers_take_case_to_supreme_court) Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>409</sup> Young, Kevin; Becerra, Diana C. Sierra. “‘Something That Might Be Called A Neocon:’ Hillary Clinton And Corporate Feminism.” *Solidarity*. March 3, 2015. <https://solidarity-us.org/node/4389> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>410</sup> Harkavy, Ward. “Wal-Mart’s First Lady.” *Village Voice*. May 23, 2000. <http://www.villagevoice.com/news/wal-marts-first-lady-6418414> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>411</sup> Labaton, Stephen. “Rose Law Firm, Arkansas Power, Slips As It Steps Onto A Bigger Stage.” *New York Times*. February 26, 1994.

<sup>412</sup> Caldwell, Patrick. “Retail Politics: Hillary Clinton Heads To Costco, Skips Walmart On Her Latest Book Tour.” *Mother Jones*. June 14, 2014. <http://www.motherjones.com/politics/2014/06/hillary-clinton-costco-walmart> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

In 2007 the *New York Times* [reported that](#) during her time on the board, Clinton pushed for greater representation for women in the company's management and led an advisory group that focused on ways Walmart could improve its environmental practices.<sup>413</sup> During a shareholder meeting the year after she joined the company, Walton said they'd added a "strong-willed young lady on the board now who has already told the board it should do more to ensure the advancement of women."

But on unionization—the primary liberal complaint against Walmart—Clinton had little to say. A 2008 ABC [review of videotapes](#) from Walmart meetings found that "Clinton remained silent as the world's largest retailer waged a major campaign against labor unions seeking to represent store workers."<sup>414</sup>

---

<sup>413</sup> Barbaro, Michael. "As A Director, Clinton Moved Wal-Mart Board, but Only So Far." *New York Times*. May 20, 2007. [http://www.nytimes.com/2007/05/20/us/politics/20walmart.html?pagewanted=all&\\_r=0](http://www.nytimes.com/2007/05/20/us/politics/20walmart.html?pagewanted=all&_r=0) Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>414</sup> Ross, Brian; Schwartz, Rhonda. "Clinton Remained Silent As Wal-Mart Fought Unions." *ABC News*. January 31, 2008. <http://abcnews.go.com/Blotter/story?id=4218509> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

"She was not a dissenter," one of her fellow board members [told](#) the *Los Angeles Times* in 2007. "She was a part of those decisions."<sup>415</sup>

Even while Bill Clinton served as Governor of Arkansas, the Clintons, due to Hillary's position on the board, traveled for free on the Wal-Mart corporate jet 14 times in the time span between 1990 and 1991. Bill was a widespread defender of Wal-Mart during his tenure as Governor. This is, of course, not surprising, since [Bill depended on Wal-Mart](#) for funding his campaigns both on the State and Federal level.<sup>416</sup>

It is thus a true pity [that union members](#) – we can assume the big union bosses are not unaware of Clinton's Wal-Mart, anti-union history – find themselves at Clinton rallies, with their hard-earned dues being donated to the Clinton campaign merely to hear empty slogans of how Clinton supports labor, unions, and the right to collectively bargain.<sup>417</sup> All the evidence shows that, when Clinton finally seizes the reins of power, she does her part in crushing union formation, worker's rights, and reasonable working conditions. In the meantime, however, the empty slogans come flowing out like rushing water.

---

<sup>415</sup> Braun, Stephen. "At Wal-Mart, Clinton Didn't Upset Any Carts." *Los Angeles Times*. May 19, 2007. <http://www.latimes.com/la-na-clintonwalmart19-2007may19-story.html#page=1> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>416</sup> Harkavy, Ward. "Wal-Mart's First Lady." *Village Voice*. May 23, 2000. <http://www.villagevoice.com/news/wal-marts-first-lady-6418414> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>417</sup> Harkavy, Ward. "Wal-Mart's First Lady." *Village Voice*. May 23, 2000. <http://www.villagevoice.com/news/wal-marts-first-lady-6418414> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

# Hillary Clinton: Anti-Labor, Anti-Working Class

In general, Clinton's "silence" as a lawyer and corporate board member, backstage coaching as First Lady, votes as Senator, policies as Secretary of State, and positions as candidate for President in 2016, all lead to one conclusion – that Hillary Clinton is a member of the aristocratic class and is an enemy of the poor and working class of the United States. Clinton is firmly in the grips of Wall Street and, as such, is firmly anti-labor, at least she is "anti-labor" any and everywhere except for the campaign trail.

Hillary's close connections with Wall Street banks and major corporations notwithstanding, it is important to point out her role as Wal-Mart corporate board member where she "remained silent" about the attempt by the corporation to fight unions and remove the possibility of collective bargaining from ranks of Wal-Mart employees.

As Kevin Young and Diana C. Sierra Becerra of Solidarity wrote in their article "[Something That Might Be Called A Neo-Con: Hillary Clinton And Corporate Feminism,](#)"

Hillary Clinton's record on such issues is hardly encouraging. Her decades of service on corporate boards and in major policy roles as First Lady, U.S. Senator, and Secretary of State give a clear indication of where she

stands. One of Clinton's first high-profile public positions was at Walmart, where she [served on the board](#) from 1986 to 1992.<sup>418</sup> She "[remained silent](#)" in board meetings as her company "waged a major campaign against labor unions seeking to represent store workers," as an ABC review of video recordings later noted.<sup>419</sup>

Clinton recounted in her 2003 book that Walmart CEO Sam Walton "taught me a great deal about corporate integrity and success." Though she later began trying to shed her public identification with the company in order to attract labor support for her Senate and presidential candidacies, [Walmart executives](#) have continued to look favorably on her, with Alice Walton donating the maximum amount to the "Ready for Hillary" Super PAC in 2013.<sup>420</sup> Walton's \$25,000 donation was considerably higher than the

---

<sup>418</sup> Harkavy, Ward. "Wal-Mart's First Lady." Village Voice. May 23, 2000. <http://www.villagevoice.com/news/wal-marts-first-lady-6418414> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>419</sup> Ross, Brian; Schwartz, Rhonda. "Clinton Remained Silent As Walmart Fought Unions." ABC News. January 31, 2008. <http://abcnews.go.com/Blotter/story?id=4218509> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>420</sup> Caldwell, Patrick. "Retail Politics: Hillary Clinton Heads To Costco, Skips Walmart On Latest Book Tour." Mother Jones. June 14, 2014. <http://www.motherjones.com/politics/2014/06/hillary-clinton-costco-walmart> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

average [annual salary](#) for Walmart's hourly employees, [two-thirds](#) of whom are women.<sup>421 422 423</sup>

In regards to her "remaining silent" on Wal-Mart's war on labor and labor unions while she served on the Wal-Mart corporate board, it appears that Clinton did much more than merely remain silent. [Patrick Caldwell of Mother Jones reports](#) that she was actually involved in these decisions. Caldwell writes,

Clinton was the first woman to serve on the board of the company. As the *Village Voice* noted in a 2000 [article](#) denouncing Clinton Walmart past, Clinton's spot on the board was designed just for her: she wasn't filling any open vacancies, and the company didn't replace her seat when she resigned in 1992.<sup>424</sup> Her ties to Walmart weren't confined to her role on the board, either. Walmart was one of Rose's clients and, according to a 1994 *New York*

---

<sup>421</sup> "Fact Sheet – Wages." Making A Change At Walmart website.

<http://makingchangeatwalmart.org/factsheet/walmart-watch-fact-sheets/fact-sheet-wages/> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>422</sup> "Walmart's Women Workers Take Case To Supreme Court." Alternet.

[http://www.alternet.org/rss/breaking\\_news/542766/walmart%27s\\_women\\_workers\\_take\\_case\\_to\\_supreme\\_court](http://www.alternet.org/rss/breaking_news/542766/walmart%27s_women_workers_take_case_to_supreme_court) Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>423</sup> Young, Kevin; Becerra, Diana C. Sierra. "'Something That Might Be Called A Neocon:' Hillary Clinton And Corporate Feminism." Solidarity. March 3, 2015.

<https://solidarity-us.org/node/4389> Accessed on September 8, 2015.

<sup>424</sup> Harkavy, Ward. "Wal-Mart's First Lady." Village Voice. May 23, 2000.

<http://www.villagevoice.com/news/wal-marts-first-lady-6418414> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

*Times* [article](#), Hillary served as "director" for the firm's representation of Walmart.<sup>425</sup>

In 2007 the *New York Times* [reported that](#) during her time on the board, Clinton pushed for greater representation for women in the company's management and led an advisory group that focused on ways Walmart could improve its environmental practices.<sup>426</sup> During a shareholder meeting the year after she joined the company, Walton said they'd added a "strong-willed young lady on the board now who has already told the board it should do more to ensure the advancement of women."

But on unionization—the primary liberal complaint against Walmart—Clinton had little to say. A 2008 ABC [review of videotapes](#) from Walmart meetings found that "Clinton remained silent as the world's largest retailer waged a major campaign against labor unions seeking to represent store workers."<sup>427</sup>

---

<sup>425</sup> Labaton, Stephen. "Rose Law Firm, Arkansas Power, Slips As It Steps Onto A Bigger Stage." *New York Times*. February 26, 1994.

<sup>426</sup> Barbaro, Michael. "As A Director, Clinton Moved Wal-Mart Board, but Only So Far." *New York Times*. May 20, 2007.  
<http://www.nytimes.com/2007/05/20/us/politics/20walmart.html?pagewanted=all&r=0> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>427</sup> Ross, Brian; Schwartz, Rhonda. "Clinton Remained Silent As Wal-Mart Fought Unions." *ABC News*. January 31, 2008.  
<http://abcnews.go.com/Blotter/story?id=4218509> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

"She was not a dissenter," one of her fellow board members [told](#) the *Los Angeles Times* in 2007. "She was a part of those decisions."<sup>428 429</sup>

Even while Bill Clinton served as Governor of Arkansas, the Clintons, due to Hillary's position on the board, traveled for free on the Wal-Mart corporate jet 14 times in the time span between 1990 and 1991. Bill was a widespread defender of Wal-Mart during his tenure as Governor. This is, of course, not surprising, since [Bill depended on Wal-Mart](#) for funding his campaigns both on the State and Federal levels.<sup>430</sup>

Hillary's connections to anti-union sentiment and anti-union practices extend beyond her connections to Wal-Mart, however. During the course of her failed 2008 run for President, Hillary Clinton employed a man by the name of Mark Penn as one of her top political strategists. Penn had been close to the Clintons since 1995, working with them in a number of different capacities ranging from "pollster" to "counselor."<sup>431</sup>

---

<sup>428</sup> Braun, Stephen. "At Wal-Mart, Clinton Didn't Upset Any Carts." *Los Angeles Times*. May 19, 2007. <http://www.latimes.com/la-na-clintonwalmart19-2007may19-story.html#page=1> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>429</sup> Caldwell, Patrick. "Retail Politics: Hillary Clinton Heads To Costco, Skips Walmart On Her Latest Book Tour." *Mother Jones*. June 14, 2014. <http://www.motherjones.com/politics/2014/06/hillary-clinton-costco-walmart> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>430</sup> Harkavy, Ward. "Wal-Mart's First Lady." *Village Voice*. May 23, 2000. <http://www.villagevoice.com/news/wal-marts-first-lady-6418414> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>431</sup> Harkavy, Ward. "Wal-Mart's First Lady." *Village Voice*. May 23, 2000. <http://www.villagevoice.com/news/wal-marts-first-lady-6418414> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

Penn was also a powerful figure in the public relations and research world. Penn became the CEO of Burson-Marsteller Inc. where he worked with major corporations like Microsoft, Pfizer, and Shell Oil. As [Joe Conason wrote in 2008 for SALON](#),

Among the most controversial aspects of Penn's firm's business, from the liberal perspective at least, come under the category of "labor relations," a traditional euphemism for suppressing workers and thwarting their right to organize. Before Penn scrubbed his firm's Web site, it advertised this specialty and noted the firm's capacity to confront "Organized Labor's coordinated campaigns whether they are in conjunction with organizing or contract negotiating." Not the most graceful wording, but the idea is clear enough.<sup>432</sup>

Mark Schmitt, [writing for The American Prospect](#), expounded further upon the statements found on Penn's firms' website. He writes,

One that might be of interest to liberals thinking about whether to support Clinton is "[Labor Relations](#)." In this section, Senator Clinton's top advisor's company says, "Companies cannot be caught unprepared by Organized Labor's coordinated campaigns whether they are in conjunction with organizing or contract negotiating ... That is why we have developed a comprehensive

---

<sup>432</sup> Conason, Joe. "Hillary Clinton's Labor Problem." Salon. June 16, 2007. [http://www.salon.com/2007/06/16/hillary\\_and\\_mark\\_penn/](http://www.salon.com/2007/06/16/hillary_and_mark_penn/) Accessed on September 9, 2015.

communications approach for clients when they face any type of labor situation."<sup>433</sup>

Even on the question of the minimum wage Clinton has skirted “progressive” economics. Indeed, from any sensible economics program such as the American system, Clinton has ran away as if her life depended upon it.

Still, while Clinton has come out in support of raising the minimum wage for fast food workers (in New York City), she has yet to endorse the “Fight for 15” cause arguing for raising the federal minimum wage so that all workers are able to earn a living wage.

To be fair, Clinton has seemingly endorsed raising the federal minimum wage. When an answer is finally able to be pulled from her, it appears that she is open to raising the federal minimum wage to \$12 per hour. While raising the minimum wage to \$12 is a step in the right direction, the truth is that, if the minimum wage had kept pace with inflation, it would currently stand at \$21.72. \$12 simply is not enough in the long run.

Undoubtedly, the federal minimum wage must be raised. However, the minimum wage is not the only issue facing a country suffering under economic stagnation, the national economic depression, and income inequality. In fact, one of the most important issues relating to economics and the current economic depression is the question of the lack of jobs in and of

---

<sup>433</sup> Schmitt, Mark. “Penn Inc.” *The American Prospect*. March 22, 2007. <http://prospect.org/article/penn-inc> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

itself. Decades of Free Trade and globalism – a truly global race to the bottom – have gutted the American economy. Unfortunately, Hillary Clinton has come down precisely on the side of Free Marketeers, Wall Street, Corporations, and the world oligarchy time and time again.

While Hillary Clinton was merely the first lady and, admittedly, not officially in charge of making public policy, she [nevertheless supported NAFTA](#) and assisted in its promotion from the position she held. In 1996, she visited a gathering of unionized garment workers and stated “I think everybody is in favor of free and fair trade. I think NAFTA is proving its worth.”<sup>434</sup>

During both her presidential campaigns, Clinton has attempted to scale back her past support of an agreement that outsourced American jobs so fast it created a metaphorical traffic jam of companies exiting the country. However, as recently as 2003, Clinton voiced clear support of the agreement, a striking act of defiance of the existence of reality. In her book, *Living History*, she wrote,

Creating a free trade zone in North America — the largest free trade zone in the world — would expand U.S. exports, create jobs and ensure that our economy was reaping the benefits, not the burdens, of globalization. Although

---

<sup>434</sup> Holan, Angie Drobnic. “Clinton Has Changed On NAFTA.” Tampa Bay Times. Politifact. February 25, 2008. <http://www.politifact.com/truth-o-meter/statements/2008/feb/25/barack-obama/clinton-has-changed-on-nafta/> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

unpopular with labor unions, expanding trade opportunities was an important administration goal.

Clearly, any doubts Clinton has expressed about the effectiveness of the NAFTA agreement are nothing more than political posturing. Clinton is banking on the short attention span and memory of the American public, an aspect of the voting population that has served her well enough over the last two decades.

Clinton's support of the concept of Free Trade, however, continued during her tenure as NY Senator where she voted in favor of just about every single piece of legislation promoting Free Trade and nearly every Free Trade agreement that came her way. Clinton even stated on the floor of the Senate in 2005, "During my tenure as senator, I have voted for every trade agreement that has come before the Senate, and I believe that properly negotiated trade agreements can increase living standards and foster openness and economic development for all parties."

Strangely, Clinton voted against the CAFTA deal proposed by Bush but, ultimately, her vote was shown to be meaningless political posturing against an agreement that was going to pass anyway. She was able to remain untarnished regarding her CAFTA vote with an election fast approaching for the candidates eyeing the 2008 open presidential seat.

Despite her vote on CAFTA, [Clinton voted in support](#) of a bi-lateral agreement with Chile, a Free Trade agreement between

the two countries that removed tariffs and other “trade barriers” on agricultural and textile products.<sup>435</sup>

Clinton also voted in favor of a bilateral [Free Trade agreement between the U.S. and Singapore](#) and a [Free Trade Agreement with Oman](#).<sup>436 437</sup> [She also vocalized support](#) for Free Trade Agreements with Peru, Jordan, Morocco, and Australia.<sup>438</sup>

In 2005, [Clinton traveled to India](#) and defended the outsourcing of US jobs where she stated “Outsourcing will continue. There is no way to legislate against reality... We are not in favor of putting up fences.”

As Domenico Montanaro wrote for NPR in his article “[A Timeline Of Hillary Clinton’s Evolution On Trade](#),”

---

<sup>435</sup> “Vote number [2003-319](#) establishing free trade between the US and Chile on Jul 31, 2003 regarding bill [S.1416/HR 2738](#) US-Chile Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act Results: Bill Passed 66-31: R 43-7; D 23-23.” On The Issues. [http://www.ontheissues.org/SenateVote/Party\\_2003-319.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/SenateVote/Party_2003-319.htm) Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>436</sup> “Vote number [2003-318](#) establishing free trade between US & Singapore on Jul 31, 2003 regarding bill [S.1417/HR 2739](#) US-Singapore Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act .Results: Bill Passed 66-32: R 44-7; D 22-24.” On The Issues. [http://www.ontheissues.org/SenateVote/Party\\_2003-318.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/SenateVote/Party_2003-318.htm) Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>437</sup> “Vote number [2006-190](#) free trade agreement with Oman on Jun 29, 2006 regarding bill [S. 3569](#) United States-Oman Free Trade Agreement Results: Bill passed, 60-34.” [http://www.ontheissues.org/SenateVote/Party\\_2006-190.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/SenateVote/Party_2006-190.htm) Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>438</sup> Montanaro, Domenico. “A Timeline Of Hillary Clinton’s Evolution On Trade.” NPR. April 21, 2015. <http://www.npr.org/sections/itsallpolitics/2015/04/21/401123124/a-timeline-of-hillary-clintons-evolution-on-trade> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

In 2007, for example, Clinton called the South Korea deal "inherently unfair." Yet, four years later in Seoul, South Korea, as secretary of state, she said getting a South Korea deal done was a "priority for me, for President Obama and for the entire administration. We are determined to get it done, and I believe we will."

In April 2008, before the Pennsylvania primary, where she was trying to woo white working-class men, she said of a Colombia deal that she "will do everything I can to urge the Congress to reject the Colombia Free Trade Agreement."

But again, as secretary of state, she changed her tune.

"We think it's strongly in the interests of both Colombia and the United States," Clinton said two years later. "And I return very invigorated ... to begin a very intensive effort to try to obtain the votes to get the free trade agreement finally ratified."<sup>439</sup>

In her book, *Hard Choices*, Clinton stealthily defended the practice of Free Trade while, at the same time, acknowledging that powers within the U.S. helped create the "global economy." She also argued that the global economy was hindered by barriers placed in front of "emerging and developing economies (read:

---

<sup>439</sup> Montanaro, Domenico. "A Timeline Of Hillary Clinton's Evolution On Trade." NPR. April 21, 2015.

<http://www.npr.org/sections/itsallpolitics/2015/04/21/401123124/a-timeline-of-hillary-clintons-evolution-on-trade> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

“low wage economies) from being able to enter the “current global trading system.” She wrote,

America worked to create a global economy. The current global trading system is distorted not only by barriers to entry in developing and emerging economies, but by the power of special interests in developed countries, including the US. To make trade fairer as well as freer, developing countries have to do a better job of improving productivity, raising labor conditions, and protecting the environment. In the US, we have to do a better job of providing good jobs to those displaced by trade.<sup>440</sup>

Not surprisingly, Clinton is a vocal advocate of the Trans-Pacific Partnership.

In fact, as Secretary of State, [Clinton was instrumental](#) in helping craft, negotiate, and push through the final draft of the agreement of which we know very little due to the fact that it was negotiated in secret and its terms have largely been kept secret as well.<sup>441</sup> Clinton was involved so heavily in the negotiation process

---

<sup>440</sup> Clinton, Hillary Rodham. *Hard Choices*. Simon and Schuster.

[http://www.amazon.com/Hard-Choices-Hillary-Rodham-Clinton/dp/1476751471/ref=sr\\_1\\_1?ie=UTF8&qid=1441672890&sr=8-1&keywords=hillary+clinton+hard+choice](http://www.amazon.com/Hard-Choices-Hillary-Rodham-Clinton/dp/1476751471/ref=sr_1_1?ie=UTF8&qid=1441672890&sr=8-1&keywords=hillary+clinton+hard+choice)

<sup>441</sup> Mindock, Clark. “Hillary Clinton And Trans-Pacific Partnership: Obama Aide Calls Her Out On TPP Past.” *International Business Times*. June 19, 2015.

<http://www.ibtimes.com/hillary-clinton-trans-pacific-partnership-obama-aide-calls-her-out-tpp-past-1975980> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

of the TPP that former Obama top [adviser David Axelrod told MSNBC](#) that Clinton “owned” the process.<sup>442</sup>

[In an interview on Bloomberg TV](#), National Security Adviser Susan Rice stated that negotiating the TPP was one of Clinton’s biggest achievements at the State Department.<sup>443</sup>

While attempting to present herself as more tepid on the TPP and Free Trade in general, Clinton has spoken highly of the TPP, which she has labeled the “[Gold Standard](#),” [in at least 45 different speeches](#).<sup>444 445</sup>

Clearly, Clinton is no friend to working America. Her pathetic campaign commercials attempting to portray a regular “workingman’s friend” is the extent of the connection that Clinton

---

<sup>442</sup> “Axelrod: Hillary Clinton Said TPP Would Be ‘Gold Standard’ For Trade Agreements, She ‘Owned’ It.” Real Clear Politics. June 15, 2015. [http://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2015/06/15/axelrod\\_people\\_will\\_have\\_a\\_fling\\_with\\_bernie\\_sanders\\_but\\_hillary\\_will\\_be\\_nominee\\_at\\_end\\_of\\_the\\_day.html](http://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2015/06/15/axelrod_people_will_have_a_fling_with_bernie_sanders_but_hillary_will_be_nominee_at_end_of_the_day.html) Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>443</sup> “Susan Rice Lists Iraq War Victory, Asia Pivot, Trans-Pacific Trade Deal As Hillary’s Top Achievements.” Real Clear Politics. June 19, 2015. [http://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2015/06/19/susan\\_rice\\_lists\\_iraq\\_war\\_withdrawal\\_trans\\_pacific\\_partnership\\_as\\_hillarys\\_biggest\\_accomplishments.html](http://www.realclearpolitics.com/video/2015/06/19/susan_rice_lists_iraq_war_withdrawal_trans_pacific_partnership_as_hillarys_biggest_accomplishments.html) Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>444</sup> Phillips, Amber. “Hillary Clinton’s Position On Free Trade? It’s (Very) Complicated.” June 17, 2015. <http://www.washingtonpost.com/news/the-fix/wp/2015/06/17/tracking-the-many-hillary-clinton-positions-on-trade/> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>445</sup> Tapper, Jake. “45 Times Secretary Clinton Pushed The Trade Bill She Now Opposes.” CNN. June 15, 2015. <http://www.cnn.com/2015/06/15/politics/45-times-secretary-clinton-pushed-the-trade-bill-she-now-opposes/> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

maintains with working America. Hers is a world of American aristocracy and psychopathic “survival of the fittest.” At no time, must this woman ever occupy the White House ever again.

# The Clinton Climate Obsession: Minus The Environmentalism

While oligarchs like Hillary Clinton continue to prattle on about disproven theories such as that of man-made CO<sub>2</sub> – based Climate Change, the planet continues to be raped and pillaged by international corporations, deforestation, pollution, and general overuse without a peep from the likes of Clinton or the alarmist Al Gore. Indeed, much like Al Gore, Hillary Clinton was recently seen boarding a private jet – quite the carbon emitter and fuel drinker – [hours after launching](#) the non-statement of her plans for a “greener” climate if she wins the Presidency.<sup>446</sup>

Hillary Clinton’s plans to fight “climate change” as President are, like most of her 2016 positions, almost indecipherable from her website or her current statements on the campaign trail. For her actual opinions and plans, we can only look to the periphery and the past.

---

<sup>446</sup> Martosko, David. “Exclusive: Video Shows Hillary Clinton Boarding Private Jet Just Hours After Launching Global Warming Push – And She’s Using A FRENCH Aircraft That Burns 347 Gallons Of Fuel Every Hour!” Daily Mail. July 28, 2015. <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3176630/Video-shows-Hillary-Clinton-boarding-private-jet-just-hours-launching-global-warming-push-using-FRENCH-aircraft-burns-347-gallons-fuel-hour.html> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

At this point, it is important to note some very basic problems with the theory of “anthropogenic Man-Made Global Warming. Unfortunately, given the detailed nature of “climate science,” it is impossible to provide a detailed [summation](#) of the [thoroughly debunked theory](#) of Anthropogenic Man-Made Global Warming, at least as it relates to the non-existent and entirely invented theory of CO2 as a poisonous and planet killing gas.<sup>447 448</sup> Suffice it to say that the earth was much [hotter in the past](#), thus [indicating](#) that we are well [within the norm of climate ups and downs](#).<sup>449 450 451</sup> In addition, it is important to mention

---

<sup>447</sup> “Webster Tarpley: The Elite's Plan for Global Extermination (FL-HD).” Webster Tarpley interview with Infowars.com. Tarpley summarizes and criticizes the theory of global warming as well as the elites plan for neo-feudalism and drastic population reduction. Youtube. Posted June 4, 2011. Posted by nwtaser.  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T3Eo2YTQUR8&t=917> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>448</sup> Goreham, Steven. “A Science-Based Rebuttal To Global Warming Alarmism.” Watts Up With That. September 10, 2013.  
<http://wattsupwiththat.com/2013/09/10/a-science-based-rebuttal-to-global-warming-alarmism/> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>449</sup> “Tree Rings Prove Climate Was WARMER In Roman And Medieval Times Than It Is Now – And World Has Been Cooling For 2,000 Years.” Daily Mail. July 11, 2012.

<sup>450</sup> Than, Ker. “Ancient Greenland Was Actually Green.” Live Science. July 5, 2007. <http://www.livescience.com/7331-ancient-greenland-green.html> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>451</sup> “Global Warming is a Fraud Created to Fund a Global government.” Youtube. A summary of Obama's "green" policy, how carbon taxes will be used to fund the new world order and lower our living standards. Featuring Webster Tarpley. From Alex Jones' film The Obama Deception.  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Qa1M-srZWVg> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

the fact that global warming has not taken place in the [last eighteen years](#) despite the [rigging of science equipment and faulty computer models](#) to prove the opposite, thus calling into question whether the planet is actually still warming at all.<sup>452 453</sup>

Still, that does not stop the Clinton's of the world to continue to promote human population, food, air conditioning, heat, travel, and industry as the greatest threats to world civilization. In fact, the Clinton AMMGW (Anthropogenic Man-Made Global Warming) theory is nothing more than a veil over a plan to deindustrialize the West, reduce the world population, and bring the world into an open state of neo-feudalism. Such a policy was devised by the Club of Rome decades ago.<sup>454</sup>

The same is the goal of "carbon taxes" which are themselves nothing more than a tax on production, living standards, and human necessities. Likewise, "cap and trade," another form of "carbon tax," system in which companies can trade "carbon credits" to reduce "emissions" is nothing more than a fresh new derivatives bubble built out of the taxes and fees

---

<sup>452</sup> Morano, Marc. "Global Warming 'Pause' Extends To 17 Years 11 Months." Climate Depot. September 7, 2014.

<http://www.climatedepot.com/2014/09/07/global-warming-pause-extends-to-17-years-11-months/> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>453</sup> Booker, Chrisopher. "Climategate, The Sequel: How We Are STILL Being Tricked With Flawed Data On Global Warming." The Telegraph. January 24, 2015. <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/comment/11367272/Climategate-the-sequel-how-we-are-STILL-being-tricked-with-flawed-data-on-global-warming.html> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

<sup>454</sup> Meadows, Donnell H.; Meadows, Dennis L.; Randers, Jorgen; Behrens, William W. III.; The Limits To Growth. The Club of Rome. 1972. <http://www.clubofrome.org/?p=1161> Accessed on September 9, 2015.

placed upon the necessities of the American public. It should be noted that Clinton [has supported Cap and Trade](#) plans in the past.<sup>455</sup>

Clinton's 2016 plan seems reasonable enough on the face of it – a greater focus on “renewable” sources of energy and an increase in the deployment of those forms of energy transmission while also incentivizing “green” energy production. Fine. It's not the greatest solution but it sounds semi-reasonable.

Hillary even claims that, within 10 years of her inauguration, every home in America will be powered by “carbon-free” methods. Putting aside the obsession with carbon, the plan sounds like it has a decent goal.

Yet there is one important question and one important point to make regarding Clinton's plan.

First, the question is “Does Hillary really intend to promote greener sources of energy?” The answer is “No, she doesn't.”

In true Clinton fashion, Hillary speaks of eliminating “giveaways” to big oil companies. Yet her campaign and much of her career as Secretary of State was built upon promoting Big Oil and fracking. The reality is that, for Hillary Clinton, there is a very real lack of concern for true environmental degradation.

---

<sup>455</sup> “Hillary Clinton On The Issues.” New York Times. April 12, 2015. [http://www.nytimes.com/2015/04/13/us/politics/hillary-clinton-on-the-issues.html?\\_r=0](http://www.nytimes.com/2015/04/13/us/politics/hillary-clinton-on-the-issues.html?_r=0) Accessed on September 9, 2015.

Indeed, Clinton is following the methods of the Club of Rome who argued for de-industrialization of the West and the use of the UN's Agenda 21 plan for removing humans from the wilderness and the ability to sustain themselves independently. Clinton is perhaps correct that "renewable" sources of energy – woefully inadequate at least in their current forms – may be able to produce most of the energy consumed by the American people within 10 years of her inauguration. Unfortunately, this may be due to the fact that, under a Clinton administration, the cost of energy may be so high and the availability of it so rare that few individuals will be able to afford to use it.<sup>456</sup>

The Clinton position (as opposed to the public Clinton campaign plan) on the environment is one that represents a free-for-all for Big Oil, Big Industry, and other major business interests across the world. For the average American, however, that position represents unimaginable austerity and the return to open feudalism.

---

<sup>456</sup> Korie, Rosa. Behind The Green Mask: U.N. Agenda 21. The Post Sustainability Institute Press. 2011.

# Immigration

Like virtually every other political position in her career, Hillary Clinton has attempted to play both sides of the aisle – depending upon what audience she happens to be speaking to. When it comes to the issue of illegal immigration, however, Clinton’s actual positions seem relatively clear, despite her political fence sitting. Clinton has vocally supported the concept of “sanctuary cities,” and providing drivers licenses to illegal immigrants. She has supported allowing illegal immigrants [to participate in Social Security](#) and providing limited medical care to illegal [immigrants via Medicaid](#).<sup>457</sup> She also supported and introduced legislation that would have funded and provided social and educational services to illegals.

[In the 2007 Presidential debate held at Dartmouth College](#), Clinton vocalized her support for Sanctuary Cities:

Q: Would you allow “sanctuary cities” to ignore the federal law & provide sanctuary to immigrants?

A: Why do they have sanctuary cities? In large measure because if local law enforcement begins to act like immigration enforcement officers, you will have people not reporting crimes. You will have people hiding from the police. That is a real direct threat to the personal safety

---

<sup>457</sup> “Hillary Clinton On Immigration.” On The Issues.

[http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary\\_Clinton\\_Immigration.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary_Clinton_Immigration.htm) Accessed on September 10, 2015.

and security of all the citizens. So this is a result of the failure of the federal government, and that's where it needs to be fixed.

Q: But you would allow the sanctuary cities to disobey the federal law?

A: Well, I don't think there is any choice. The local police chief trying to solve a crime might know people from the immigrant community have information about it, but they may not talk to you if they think you're also going to be enforcing the immigration laws. Local law enforcement has a different job than federal immigration enforcement. The problem is the federal government has totally abdicated its responsibility.<sup>458</sup>

Clinton's support for providing driver's licenses to illegal immigrants was also put on display at the Drexel University debate in 2007. As John Heilemann and Mark Halperin described in their book *Game Change*:

[At the Drexel U. debate on Oct. 30, 2007, Hillary was asked if] she supported the idea of giving driver's licenses to illegal immigrants, as NY's Gov. Eliot Spitzer had proposed.

Clinton said she sympathized with Spitzer, then pivoted to stress the need for comprehensive immigration reform.

---

<sup>458</sup> "Hillary Clinton On Immigration." On The Issues.

[http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary\\_Clinton\\_Immigration.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary_Clinton_Immigration.htm) Accessed on September 10, 2015.

But when Dodd declared his opposition to the plan, Clinton jumped back in: "I did not say that it should be done, but I certainly recognize why Gov. Spitzer is trying to do it."

"Wait a minute!" interjected Dodd. "You said yes, you thought it made sense to do it." The moderator asked Clinton to clarify her position: Did she support Spitzer's plan or not?

Clinton said, "What is the governor supposed to do? He is dealing with a serious problem. We have failed and George Bush has failed. Do I think this is the right thing for any governor to do? No. But do I understand the sense of real desperation, trying to get a handle on this? He's making an honest effort to do it."

.....

The moderator asked Clinton to clarify her position: Did she support Gov. Eliot Spitzer's plan or not, of giving driver's licenses to illegal immigrants? Clinton said, "Do I think this is the right thing for any governor to do? No. But I certainly recognize why Gov. Spitzer is trying to do it."

Edwards wouldn't let go. "Unless I missed something, Sen. Clinton said two different things in the course of about two minutes," he noted, "and I think this is a real issue for the country."

"I was confused on Senator Clinton's answer," Obama said with a smirk. "I can't tell whether she was for it or against it." Clinton exited the stage both bloodied and bowed.

The next day, Clinton's people made an even bigger mess. A statement was issued that simply reformulated her muddled position from the night before. Then her press shop clarified the clarification, saying Clinton backed "the basic concept" of giving driver's licenses to illegals absent immigration reform.<sup>459</sup>

Hillary has also repeatedly supported a "pathway to citizenship," which essentially would legalize the millions of illegal immigrants currently residing in the country with a number of tasks, fines, and processes to complete on that path. The "pathway to citizenship," however, is simply another way to provide amnesty for illegal aliens and encourage illegal immigration. There already is a pathway to citizenship evidenced by the vast numbers of legal immigrants coming to America as it is. If that process needs to be streamlined, it can be streamlined. Providing amnesty to illegals does nothing but rewards and encourages illegality – a type of limbo for many immigrants– and a growing underclass of illegals who have succeeded in coming to the U.S. illegally.

There has never been a clear and convincing argument – beyond the emotional humanitarian argument designed to tear at the heartstrings – to support illegal immigration to any country,

---

<sup>459</sup> [Game Change, by Heilemann & Halpern, p.147-148](#) , Jan 11, 2010

particularly the United States. Lack of social cohesion, lower wages, a burden on the social benefits system are just a few of the results of increased illegal immigration.

Reality notwithstanding, Hillary Clinton has made it a point to promote and support the breakup of American national identity, break unions and drive down general wages, overtax medical, social, and educational services, and increase tensions between races and between citizens vs. noncitizens with her support for allowing illegal immigrants an incentive for coming to the United States illegally.

# Hillary Clinton On Drugs – America In Prison

When Hillary Clinton participated in a Town Hall event with Christiane Amanpour in 2014, Amanpour asked Clinton about her position on the legalization of marijuana. [Clinton responded](#) that she believed medical marijuana should be available for individuals who are experiencing “extreme conditions” but that she believes we should “wait and see” how the legalization of marijuana turns out at the state level before doing so at the Federal level.<sup>460</sup>

While many people may have looked upon Clinton’s hesitation to even go as far as the governments of a number of states in her drug policy as a bit behind the times, the truth is that Clinton’s new drug policy – heavy-handed and anti-freedom as it may be – is an improvement to her drug policy in the past.

While Hillary attempts to court the fanatical racist #Black Lives Matter movement (both Hillary and BLM are funded by George Soros), the truth is that anyone who criticizes the American Prison Industrial Complex would be remiss in their criticism if they did not mention the role that Bill Clinton (being

---

<sup>460</sup> “Hillary Clinton On Drugs.” On The Issues.

[http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary\\_Clinton\\_Drugs.htm](http://www.ontheissues.org/celeb/Hillary_Clinton_Drugs.htm) Accessed on September 10, 2015.

cheered on by Hillary all the way) played in the development of that complex.

Jeff Stein in his Salon article "[The Clinton Dynasty's Horrific Legacy: How 'Tough On Crime' Politics Built The World's Largest Prison System](#)," where he writes,

The [explosion of the prison system](#) under Bill Clinton's version of the "War on Drugs" is impossible to dispute. The total prison population rose by 673,000 people under Clinton's tenure — or by 235,000 more than it did under President Ronald Reagan, according to a study by the Justice Policy Institute.<sup>461</sup> "Under President Bill Clinton, the number of prisoners under federal jurisdiction doubled, and grew more than it did under the previous 12-years of Republican rule, combined," states the JPI report (italics theirs). The federal incarceration rate in 1999, the last year of the Democrat's term, was 42 per 100,000 — more than double the federal incarceration rate at the end of President Reagan's term (17 per 100,000), and 61 percent higher than at the end of President George Bush's term (25 per 100,000), according to JPI.

Just before the New Hampshire primary, Bill Clinton famously flew back to Arkansas to personally oversee the execution of a [mentally impaired African-American](#)

---

<sup>461</sup> Krikorian, Greg. "Federal And State Prison Populations Soared Under Clinton, Report Finds." Los Angeles Times. February 19, 2001. <http://articles.latimes.com/2001/feb/19/news/mn-27373> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

[inmate](#) named Ricky Ray Rector. The “New Democrat” spoke on the campaign trail of being tougher on criminals than Republicans; and the symbolism of the Rector execution was followed by a series of Clinton “tough on crime” measures, including: a \$30 billion crime bill that created dozens of new federal capital crimes; new life-sentence rules for some three-time offenders; mandatory minimums for crack and crack cocaine possession; billions of dollars in funding for prisons; extra funding for states that severely punished convicts; limited judges’ discretion in determining criminal sentences; and so on. There is [very strong evidence](#) that these policies had a small impact on actual crime rates, totally out of proportion to their severity.<sup>462</sup>

There is also very strong evidence that these policies contributed to the immiseration of vast numbers of black (and also white) Americans at the bottom of the economic ladder, according to the well-known [conclusions](#) of journalists, academics and other criminal justice experts.<sup>463</sup> Federal funding for public housing fell by \$17 billion (a 61 percent reduction) under Bill Clinton’s tenure;

---

<sup>462</sup> Lind, Dara. “One Chart That Proves Mass Incarceration Doesn’t Reduce Crime.” VOX. February 15, 2015.  
<http://www.vox.com/2014/11/11/7187411/prison-crime-rate> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>463</sup> Johnson, Carrie. “20 Years Later, Parts Of Major Crime Bill Viewed As Terrible Mistake.” NPR. September 12, 2014.  
<http://www.npr.org/2014/09/12/347736999/20-years-later-major-crime-bill-viewed-as-terrible-mistake> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

federal funding for corrections rose by \$19 billion (an increase of 171 percent), according to Michelle Alexander's seminal work, "The New Jim Crow: Mass Incarceration in the Age of Colorblindness." The federal government's new priorities redirected nearly \$1 billion in state spending for higher education to prison construction.

.....

While it's true that it was Bill who, as president, was ultimately responsible for these decision, Hillary was nonetheless a famously involved First Lady on political matters — a reputation she's shown willingness to capitalize on in her new campaign. According to a 2013 [Wall Street Journal report](#), Hillary has "signaled she would use the 1990s as a selling point if she jumps in the race, making the case that, as first lady, she was part of an era that found solutions to the same sorts of political difficulties that bedevil present-day Washington."<sup>464</sup> That legacy includes Bill Clinton's "War on Drugs," whether you like it or not.<sup>465</sup>

---

<sup>464</sup> Nicholas, Peter. "In Clinton, New Look At 90's." Wall Street Journal. November 17, 2013.

<http://www.wsj.com/articles/SB10001424052702303755504579204274214742110> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>465</sup> Stein, Jeff. "The Clinton Dynasty's Horrific Legacy: How 'Tough On Crime' Politics Built The World's Largest Prison System." Salon. April 13, 2015. [http://www.salon.com/2015/04/13/the\\_clinton\\_dynastys\\_horrific\\_legacy\\_how\\_tough\\_on\\_crime\\_politics\\_built\\_the\\_worlds\\_largest\\_prison/](http://www.salon.com/2015/04/13/the_clinton_dynastys_horrific_legacy_how_tough_on_crime_politics_built_the_worlds_largest_prison/) Accessed on September 10, 2015.

As recently noted by [Reason.com](http://Reason.com), Hillary actively lobbied for the aforementioned criminal justice reforms as First Lady and, as a New York senator, voted to expand grants that dramatically scaled up police involvement in anti-terror and homeland security efforts.<sup>466</sup> She also said things like this, in support of a crime bill that would impose draconian new sentencing provisions:

“We need more police, we need more and tougher prison sentences for repeat offenders. The three strikes and you’re out for violent offenders has to be part of the plan. We need more prisons to keep violent offenders for as long as it takes to keep them off the streets.”<sup>467</sup>

With statements such as these, it is often best to let Hillary Clinton speak for herself.

---

<sup>466</sup> Brown, Elizabeth Nolan. “Now Hillary Clinton Cares About Criminal Justice Reform.” Reason.com. December 5, 2014.

<https://reason.com/blog/2014/12/05/hillary-clinton-response-eric-garner#.rddaj6:Y1QU> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>467</sup> Brown, Elizabeth Nolan. “Now Hillary Clinton Cares About Criminal Justice Reform.” Reason.com. December 5, 2014.

<https://reason.com/blog/2014/12/05/hillary-clinton-response-eric-garner#.rddaj6:Y1QU> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

# Hillary's Free Education Plan Not So Free

Attempting to revive the rhetorical spirit of Franklin D. Roosevelt and the New Deal, Hillary Clinton unveiled her new plan to alleviate the crushing burden of student debt, calling it a “new college compact.” In truth her goal was to bring in a younger demographic and compete with challenger Bernie Sanders.

[Clinton claims her new plan](#) would provide an avenue that would allow students to attend college without taking out loans – essentially a tuition-free college experience. The plan involves the Federal government giving out grants to states to assist in their efforts to send students to college debt-free by setting their rates which students can afford without the necessity of taking out loans. The plan also suggests that it would make community college free as well as reducing interest rates for individuals who are already stuck with student loans.<sup>468</sup>

[Clinton suggests](#) that she will pay for the grants, free college, and loan refinancing by “cutting tax deductions for the wealthiest Americans,” and “closing loopholes.” Exactly which deductions would be cut and which loopholes would be closed

---

<sup>468</sup> Jones, Charisse. “Hillary Clinton Unveils \$350 Billion Plan To Help Reduce Student Debt.” USA Today. August 11, 2015.  
<http://www.usatoday.com/story/money/2015/08/10/hillary-clinton-unveils-350-billion-plan--help-reduce-student-debt/31434405/> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

have yet to be unveiled. Likewise, the definition of the term “wealthiest” is still left open ended. Nor has the rate at which the government will refinance student loans been revealed.<sup>469</sup>

[Speculation regarding Hillary’s tax hike](#) centers around figures Obama flirted with early on with little success – the \$200,000 mark. Still, given Clinton’s history (and the history of all political parasites), one would be justified in worrying whether or not the proposed tax increases and “loophole” elimination will be extended even further down from the middle class to the working class.<sup>470</sup>

Unfortunately, Hillary’s plan regarding the lower interest rates on refinanced student loans that she is promising are not exactly low interest rates. They are essentially a refinancing at the current rate of interest, approximately 3.5%.

The Tax Wall Street Party, an organization dedicated to the American system of economics, point out the flaws in the Clinton college plan. [The TWSP writes](#),

Hillary Clinton released her plans for higher education on Monday, which turned out to be a pathetic copy of Elizabeth Warren's shredded up and forgotten “Bank on

---

<sup>469</sup> Shah, Nirvi; Hefling, Kimberly. “Hillary’s \$350 Billion Plan To Kill College Debt.” Politico. August 10, 2015.

<http://www.politico.com/story/2015/08/hillary-clintons-350-billion-plan-to-kill-college-debt-121210> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>470</sup> Sahadi, Jeanne. “Who Will Pay For Hillary Clinton’s College Plan.” CNN. August 10, 2015. <http://money.cnn.com/2015/08/10/news/economy/hillary-clinton-college-plan/> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

Students” proposal. Hillary's program would allow existing loans be refinanced at current interest rates, making one consolidated payment program based on income levels capped at 10% of total income, with the remaining balance being forgiven after 20 years. The government will also provide grants to colleges that agree to set tuition at a rate which students can afford without taking out loans. Community colleges would also be tuition-free. The total cost of Hillary's plan would be \$350 billion over 10 years; this money will come from capping itemized tax deductions for the wealthy.

Hillary also wants to “bend the cost curve” of higher education by lowering the cost of actually providing education, not just shifting money to pay for it. This essentially means the quality of education may be worsened because of schools cutting costs and instituting more online classes (as recommended by Clinton campaign consultant Sebastian Thrun, the founder of the online courses provider Udacity).

The huge cost of her proposal and the fact that it would come from itemized tax deductions, which the rich can simply avoid through loopholes, means it will undoubtedly fail to be enacted. Coupled with the vociferous outbursts of the reactionary right, any program pushed through by Clinton would likely be a pale shadow of what she is now proposing.

The true solution that Clinton and Sanders will not mention is a 1% Wall Street Sales Tax that will cover the costs of higher education for all students with a C average or above who wish to go to a community college, and then complete their degree at a four-year public college or state university. For those without the C average, free remedial programs will be made available for them to qualify. For those from disadvantaged circumstances the programs will be offered free of charge through a government program modeled on Franklin D. Roosevelt's Civilian Conservation Corps. Incentives must be provided for attracting students into either vocational training or sciences such as physics, chemistry, and biology. Students currently holding student loan debt would be able to refinance their loans a 0% interest courtesy of a dedicated window at the Federal Reserve.

Such a program is necessary to create a productive workforce in the 21st century for high wage, high skilled jobs. The education program will train Americans to fill productive jobs ranging from the socially necessary (policing, firefighting, health care and human services), to the production of industrial commodities like machine tools and parts, the construction of infrastructure like maglev rail, dams, and nuclear reactors, and finally research in high-energy physics, biomedical research, aerospace, and lasers. A program that trains students to participate in a productive, rather than a consumer or parasitical, economy is something that will never come

from the mouths of mush-head Malthusian Democrats like Bernie Sanders or Wall Street Democrats like Hillary Clinton.<sup>471</sup>

---

<sup>471</sup> "TWSP/UFAA Morning Briefing for Tuesday, August 11, 2015. INTRIGUES OF ISIS CZAR ALLEN AND MADMAN ERDOĞAN ARE PUSHING TURKEY TOWARDS ALL-OUT CIVIL WAR; MONDAY'S TERRORIST FLAREUP A DIRECT RESULT OF ANKARA'S ATTACKS ON KURDS; WHEN THE CAT'S AWAY, THE RATS WILL PLAY, AND OBAMA IS ON MARTHA'S VINEYARD; TIME TO #FIREALLEN4ISIS!" Tax Wall Street Party website. August 11, 2015. <http://twsp.us/briefing/20150811> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

# A Real New Deal For America

While Hillary Clinton's 2016 platform is clearly nothing more than a list of publicly palatable rhetoric rooted in public relations and marketing strategy, the real Hillary Clinton can only be seen by observing her past and her behavior behind the scenes.

Before Americans become obsessed with debating useless policies and campaign promises or begin their 4 year ritual of "choosing the lesser evil," it is important to remember that there are real solutions and demands available for a national recovery and a truly free America. A brief summary of these solutions and demands are provided below:

- 1.) End all bailouts of banks and financial institutions. End the concept of "Too Big To Fail." Any public money given to private banks to maintain solvency must be clawed back by a variety of available means. Banks that find themselves insolvent must be seized by the FDIC for Chapter 7 bankruptcy proceedings. Immediate triage of the bank assets with derivatives being separated and eliminated during this process.
- 2.) In order to bring back the separation of banks, brokerage houses, and insurance firms, reinstate the Glass-Steagall Act.
- 3.) Ban Adjustable Rate Mortgages. Ban Credit Default Swaps.
- 4.) Enact a 1% Wall Street Sales Tax for revenue generation and to discourage dangerous forms of speculation.

The Wall Street Sales Tax should be applied at the rate of 1% to financial market transactions such as stocks, bonds, flash trading, e-trading, high-frequency trading, debt instruments, and the notional value of derivatives. A reasonable exemption of \$1 million per person per year should be enacted in order to prevent the placement of taxes on individuals who shift around personal financial assets or make investments for their 401(k) or other retirement account. The 1% tax should be paid by the seller of the instrument, not the buyer, and the proceeds accrued from the tax should be split evenly between the Federal government and the States.

The Wall Street Sales Tax at only 1% is estimated to bring anywhere from hundreds of billions to tens of trillions of dollars. The 1% Wall Street Sales Tax is capable of funding the social safety net and the U.S. government as well as effectively eliminating the budget deficit at the Federal and State levels. All of this while discouraging dangerous forms of speculation and derivatives trading.

The revenue from this tax should be used to fund Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, Unemployment Insurance, SNAP benefits, On-Budget Infrastructure spending, and eliminating the budget deficit of the States and Federal government. SS, Medicaid, and Medicare benefits must be increased to accurately reflect the current world situation, Cost Of Living Adjustments, inflation, etc. [Eliminate the individual mandate inherent in Obamacare] Extend Medicare For All so that all Americans have access to healthcare with a standard cost for the basic benefits of Medicare – cost

reductions for working and poor people. Free to those in poverty. Increase unemployment insurance and SNAP benefits to reflect the economic situation, inflation, cost of living etc. Use the WSST to provide free college education to students who excel in highschool for the duration of the college career.

5.) Increase personal exemptions and tax deductions for working individuals and working families.

6.) Require a %15 reserve requirement for all Over The Counter Derivatives.

7.) Immediately stop ALL foreclosures on primary residences, businesses, and farms for at least 5 years or the duration of the financial crisis – whichever comes first. If an individual can get a signed note from a judge stating that the lack of payment to the bank was the result of economic hardship, illness, or bank-based fraud, the bank cannot repossess. The bank MUST produce the note in any case of repossession.

8.) Set a 10% maximum interest rate on all credit cards and payday loans.

9.) Bring sensible and reasonable regulation back to the commodities markets by requiring 100% margin requirements, position limits, and reasonable anti-speculation protections that will help to prevent oil and gasoline spikes in price.

10.) Restore individual Chapter 11

11.) Nationalize The Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve should be seized by the United States government and placed under the

US Treasury . It should be renamed the National Bank of the United States. From this point on, the size of the money supply, interest rates, lending types, etc. should all be decided by laws debated and passed by the Congress and signed by the President. The US government will no longer need to borrow from private banks or foreign countries. Use the inherent credit creating power of the Fed/National Bank to immediately begin lending for a variety of purposes that involve physical production and tangible improvement in the living standards of the American people. This means that the money being used should be used in order to jumpstart an economic recovery, prepare a high-skilled labor force for a high-skilled future job market, create a culture of science drivers and human progress, agriculture, and infrastructure repair and development.

Trillion dollar tranches should be issued from the National Bank for the purpose of repairing National infrastructure of roads, rail, government buildings, and the like. These tranches should come in the form of 0% interest 100 year bonds (Century bonds). Similar offers of credit should be issued to refurbish our idle manufacturing and industrial infrastructure. 0% interest credit must be issued for the jumpstart of private businesses – large and small – from massive manufacturing operations down to the small mechanic shop and restaurants. Maintain commercial credit for retail stores. Launch a campaign of hospital rebuilding. All jobs resulting from this money must be union pay scale. Instate a “Buy American” clause.

National Bank 0% interest credit should be extended to fund Manhattan project style scientific projects designed to reach the level of progress and development that will see humans greatly increase their living standards, promote breakthroughs in health and science, promote space exploration, and technology – all while doing so in an environmentally friendly manner. Manhattan Project level attempts to attain free energy, nuclear radiation cleanup, healthy non-meat “meat,” and other beneficial projects should be launched with this credit.

Refinance Student Loans through the new National Bank at extremely low or no interest to relieve the student loan debt burden.

12.) 15% Protective Tariff. Implement a 15% Protective Tariff on all goods (that cannot be reasonably be produced in the United States) coming in to the country. This will force domestic production. If 15% is not high enough to cause domestic production to increase, the tariff should be increased.

13.) Begin to renegotiate trade agreements like CAFTA, NAFTA, GATT, and various other bilateral trade agreements that are lopsided when it comes to labor and trade practices. End membership and participation in the WTO.

14.) Revive the Export-Import Bank. Use the power of the Ex-Im Bank to encourage and promote American exports.

15.) Maintain publicly owned facilities like Amtrak and the USPS. These assets should be fully publicly owned, fully funded, and upgraded.

16.) Reaffirm the right to collectively bargain.

17.) Increase the minimum wage gradually (with assistance or delays for smaller businesses at first) but at a reasonable rate to \$15 per hour.

18.) Immediately cease support for terrorism in Syria, Libya, Iraq, Lebanon, Yemen, Ukraine and all other places where the United States is organizing, training and supporting terrorism and terrorist organization such as ISIS or al-Qaeda.

19.) Immediately cease all provocations in Ukraine. Open dialogue with the DPR/LPR and express peaceful intent to Russia.

20.) Call face to face meetings with leader of Russia, Iran, and Syria to express a desire for peace in the region and potential for cooperation and development. Declare DPR/LPR as a separate country. Allow Ukraine to function as an independent country but one that stands neutral between Russia and the EU.

21.) Immediately end all foreign wars and foreign occupations. Troops placed in Iraq and Afghanistan must be removed and brought back home.

22.) Return to the true meaning of the Second Amendment. Gun laws must be abolished. Every American must be free to own a gun without respect to what state or city in which he lives.

23.) End illegal immigration. No border fence. Illegal immigrants, while not the fault of the individual people, destroy social cohesion, national identity, living standards, job opportunities and

wages. While immigration should not be banned entirely, it should be legal and controlled.

24.) Repeal any law requiring vaccination for adults or children.

25.) Mandatory labeling of all Genetically Modified food in the interim period between a total ban. Place a ban on GMO cultivation, except for controlled scientific purposes (for plants, not animals). No GM product should be allowed in the open environment or the human or animal food supply.

26.) Parity pricing for family and small farmers.

27.) Enforce monopoly laws to ensure that major corporation do not create or maintain monopolies over any particular industry. Careful consideration must be paid to the manner in which larger companies “break up” while maintaining monopolies.

28.) Remove property taxes on primary residences on individuals and families. Remove property taxes on vehicles (one per person in the household).

29.) Abandon all plans for carbon taxes or Cap and Trade. Engage in real environmental protections such as cleaning radiation contamination, growing mercury spills, oil spills, and ending deforestation.

30.) Legalize and encourage the production of hemp.

31.) Create a culture of freedom within the United States. End unnecessary “permitting” and “licensing” laws and policies. End

mandatory insurance laws. End CPS/DCFS programs that remove children from loving homes.

32.) End the war on drugs. Punish criminals for crimes, not substances. Legalize all forms of drugs for all purposes – including recreational. End the DEA and the BATFE. Crack down on drugs being shipped into the United States from outside of the country, particularly by foreign elements.

33.) Require the Housing Authority to concern itself with providing housing for the elderly and disabled as a priority.

34.) End general welfare or TANF payments as the economic crisis is drawn to a close. No cuts until the crisis has been averted. As the crisis ends, so must the culture of dependency, provided opportunities are available.

35.) Direct the Department of Education to require schools to end sex education, death education, and other failed socialization-based programs. An immediate refocusing from PC training and confidence building to achievement and actual education. Focusing on problem-solving, logic, creativity, and the basics of math and reading should be the main focus as well as second-language skills. Schools and teachers should be provided with whatever funding they need via the proceeds from a Wall Street Sales Tax and credit from a Nationalized Fed.

36.) An immediate end to torture. Close Guantanamo Bay, Bagram Air Force Base (detention center), floating prison barges, and other CIA torture sites (including the Chicago police “black

site” in Chicago) must be closed immediately. The process of torture must be ended and the perpetrators must be prosecuted.

37.) Lead the charge in banning the use of depleted uranium in warfare.

38.) Repeal freedom crushing and Constitution shredding legislation and executive orders such as the PATRIOT Act, the Domestic Security Enhancement Act of 2003 (Patriot Act 2), Presidential Directive 51, some provisions of the National Emergency Act, provisions of the John Warner Defense Authorization Act of 2007, provisions of the Military Commissions Act of 2006, Executive Order 13438, National Security Presidential Directive 59, Homeland Security Presidential Directive 20, Presidential Directive 5, Matthew Shephard Hate Crimes Prevention Act.

39.) Revamp FEMA so that it is an effective emergency management agency and not a toss up between the martial law agency it has become vs a colossal failure in actual emergencies.

40.) End Constitution Free Zones

41.) Constitutional amendment to expressly provide the right to privacy.

42.) Ban animal testing. Ban entertainment that involves the torture and mistreatment of animals.

43.) End electronic voting and return to the paper ballot.

# The Case For The 1% Wall Street Sales Tax

Brandon Turbeville

[Activist Post](#)

February 25, 2013

As the March 1 deadline rapidly approaches for what has been termed the “Sequestration,” the majority of Americans seem unable to do anything other than sit idly by and wonder to themselves what programs and agencies will be cut under the guise of “balancing the budget,” “reducing the deficit,” and “cutting government spending.”

Unfortunately, by applying terminology to the latest “crisis” in Congress such as the “Debt Ceiling,” “Fiscal Cliff,” and now the “Sequester,” the mainstream media, along with the relevant government agents, major banks, and corporations, are able to hype the population into a state of hysteria and fear (for those that actually pay attention to anything other than the latest television show) so that the general public will be thoroughly convinced that the only way to avoid imminent disaster is to reach a compromise in the form of cuts, firings, and a general reduction of standards of living.

In reality, the creatively-named “Sequester” is nothing more than semantic jargon devised for purposes of the implementation of austerity measures against the American

people. It is quite clear that, although the Sequester itself exempts many social safety net programs in terms of its automatic spending cuts pending a failure of Congress to reach an agreement, the social safety net is very much on the table in the course of those discussions.

While not openly labeled as Austerity measures, the growing cuts to the American social safety net and U.S. critical infrastructure coupled with alarming increases in taxes for low income to upper middle income workers should leave no doubt as to what is actually taking place within the United States. Although nomenclature and terminology may be different in the public discourse, make no mistake that Americans have much more in common with the Greeks, Spanish, Irish, and other Austerity victims than they may wish to admit.

Largely at the forefront of any budgetary discussion in the United States is the issue of government spending as it relates to programs such as Medicare, Social Security, Medicaid, Unemployment Insurance, Food Stamps, etc. – programs that have been given the politically charged name of “entitlement programs” in order to associate the spoiled child mentality with programs that have actually been funded by the taxes of working people during the course of an entire lifetime.

Constant propaganda from both pillars of the false left/right paradigm have contributed to the brainwashing of the American population regarding the programs mentioned above. Most notably, claims that the United States cannot afford to maintain a social safety net system without vastly increasing taxes

or simply abolishing the program altogether are reported back to uninformed constituencies of both political parties to be parroted back to them in times of national debate in the form of mandates and demands.

From Libertarians who oppose social safety net programs on ideological grounds (as promoted by the Rockefeller family) and Conservatives who have money and expect to continue to have money (hence the lack of concern for anyone who may not enjoy their level of comfort at the moment) to Socialists who are willing to bleed the average citizen for everything he is worth (for little in return but that which government decides is appropriate) and Liberals who are willing to both fleece taxpayers and compromise the programs these taxes would allegedly go to support, it is clear that the working men and women of the United States and those who have been victimized by Wall Street parasitism and the folly of Free Trade are left completely alone and to themselves.

Yet, amongst all of the reasons put forward as justification for the dismantling of the social safety net, few mention the Wall Street bailouts and the [\\$27 trillion](#) worth of [credit extended to bankrupt institutions](#) under the concept of “too big to fail.”<sup>472</sup>

---

<sup>472</sup> Felkerson, J. Andrew. “Bail-out Bombshell: Fed ‘Emergency’ Bank Rescue Totaled \$29 Trillion Over Three Years.” Altnet. December 15, 2011. [http://www.altnet.org/story/153462/bail-out\\_bombshell%3A\\_fed\\_%22emergency%22\\_bank\\_rescue\\_totaled\\_\\$29\\_trillion\\_over\\_three\\_years](http://www.altnet.org/story/153462/bail-out_bombshell%3A_fed_%22emergency%22_bank_rescue_totaled_$29_trillion_over_three_years) Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>473</sup>Likewise, few tend to mention the financial costs of administering a global war OF terror on virtually every continent or the development and maintenance of a police state here at home. Neither are the consequences of prosecuting an unbelievably stupid and non-productive War on Drugs discussed when it comes to questions of what should be cut from the Federal budget.

Instead, the talk immediately turns to the social safety net and the programs that are currently keeping millions of Americans alive.

Yet there is a more immediate and preferable way to address the concerns regarding the social safety net than any raise in taxes on an American population that is already taxed to death or by the dismantling of the social safety net system.

This new method of support for the social safety net system is as simple as the Wall Street Sales Tax, a move which would solve both the “crisis” of the social safety net as well as the general budgetary crisis at all levels of government in the United States of America.

---

<sup>473</sup> “\$29,000,000,000,000: A Detailed Look at the Fed’s Bailout by Funding Facility and Recipient.” Levy Economic Institute of Bard College. Working Paper No. 698. December 2011.  
<http://www.levyinstitute.org/publications/29000000000000-a-detailed-look-at-the-feds-bailout-by-funding-facility-and-recipient> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

The Wall Street Sales Tax should be applied at the rate of 1% to financial market transactions such as stocks, bonds, flash trading, e-trading, high-frequency trading, debt instruments, and the notional value of derivatives. A reasonable exemption of \$1 million per person per year should be enacted in order to prevent the placement of taxes on individuals who shift around personal financial assets or make investments for their 401(k) or other retirement account. The 1% tax should be paid by the seller of the instrument, not the buyer, and the proceeds accrued from the tax should be split evenly between the Federal government and the States.

The following is a brief explanation of how this program would achieve such a lofty goal and how it should be implemented in order to achieve maximum effect. In short, it is the argument for the creation and implementation of the Wall Street Sales Tax.

## **The Case for the Wall Street Sales Tax**

### **1.) Wall Street Pays No Tax**

Wall Street banks are, by definition, corporations. However, like most major corporations that are supposed to pay a 35% tax on their profits, Wall Street banks pay almost none of the Corporate Income Tax. That is, many of them pay nothing at all.

For instance, according to statistics [procured by Senator Bernie Sanders of Vermont](#) and [Citizens for Tax Justice](#), Goldman Sachs, one of the most infamous zombie institutions, only paid

1.1% tax on its total profits in 2008, the year of the worldwide derivatives crisis.<sup>474 475</sup> Although Goldman Sachs actually earned a profit of \$2.3 billion that year, it also received a \$278 million tax refund from the IRS.

[As Webster Griffin Tarpley points out](#), “This scandalous situation did not prevent Lloyd Blankfein, Goldman’s boss, from appearing on CBS television to demand draconian cuts in the meager entitlement payments received by the poor, the sick, and the old.”<sup>476</sup>

In 2010, Bank of America (BoA) also paid no Corporate Income Tax even though it had earned profits of \$4.4 billion. Yet it also received a tax refund from the IRS. [In BoA’s case](#), however, the refund was to the sum of \$1.9 billion. It should also be pointed out that BoA received over \$45 billion from the U.S. Treasury and \$1.3 trillion in zero interest credit from the Federal

---

<sup>474</sup> “Meet The Top Wall Street And Corporate Tax Dodgers.” Bernie Sanders Senate Website. <http://www.sanders.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/Tax-Dodge-Report-3.pdf> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>475</sup> “Facebook’s Multi-Billion Dollar Tax Break: Executive-Pay Tax Break Slashes Income Taxes On Facebook –and Other Fortune 500 Companies.” Citizens For Tax Justice. February 14, 2013.

[http://ctj.org/ctjreports/2013/02/facebooks\\_multi-billion\\_dollar\\_tax\\_break\\_executive-pay\\_tax\\_break\\_slashes\\_income\\_taxes\\_on\\_facebook--.php#.VfHAZxFViko](http://ctj.org/ctjreports/2013/02/facebooks_multi-billion_dollar_tax_break_executive-pay_tax_break_slashes_income_taxes_on_facebook--.php#.VfHAZxFViko)

Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>476</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. “1% Wall Street sales tax solution to stabilize US Federal Budget.” PressTV. December 4, 2012.

<http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2012/12/04/276170/wall-street-tax-solution-to-us-budget/> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

Reserve during the “financial crisis” of 2008. BoA was able to repeat their tax dodge again in 2011.<sup>477</sup>

Likewise, Citigroup paid 0% Corporate Income Tax on \$4 billion profits while [Wells Fargo paid nothing](#) for at least the years 2008, 2009, and 2010.<sup>478</sup>

Tarpley writes,

Another spectacular example of Wall Street’s tax dodges is General Electric, which long ago ceased being an industrial corporation and became a hedge fund in drag, built around its financial arm, GE Capital.

GE racked up worldwide profits of \$14.2 billion in 2010, but managed to avoid the federal corporate income tax completely. Instead, GE accountants were able to secure a \$3.2 billion refund from the US Treasury. This happened even though GE was laying off 21,000 US workers and closing 20 US factories over the years 2007-2009.

And these results were typical of GE’s performance over the most recent decade: GE paid a 2.3% tax rate on profits

---

<sup>477</sup> Snyder, Michael. “Abolish The Income Tax: You Won’t Believe Who Is Getting Away With Paying Zero Taxes While The Middle Class Gets Hammered.” The Economic Collapse. February 18, 2013.

<http://theeconomiccollapseblog.com/archives/abolish-the-income-tax-you-wont-believe-who-is-getting-away-with-paying-zero-taxes-while-the-middle-class-gets-hammered> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>478</sup> Garafalo, Pat. “30 Major Corporations Paid No Income Taxes In The Last Three Years, While Making \$160 Billion.” Think Progress. November 3, 2011. <http://thinkprogress.org/economy/2011/11/03/360185/30-corporations-no-taxes/?mobile=nc> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

during 2002-2011, and succeeded in paying zero federal corporate income tax in 2002, 2008, 2009, and 2010 (See Citizens for Tax Justice and Jake Tapper, “General Electric Paid No [Federal Taxes](#) in 2010,” ABC News, March 25, 2011).

The scandal is made even greater because GE boss Jeffrey Immelt was serving as Obama’s business liaison in his capacity as Chairman of the White House Council on [Jobs](#) and Competitiveness. Rapacious predators like Immelt apparently believe that good corporate citizenship starts with evading all taxes.<sup>479</sup>

All of this open tax evasion is, of course, contrasted by the meticulous methodology used to fleece the average American taxpayer for every single cent possible.

However, aside from the obvious personal income/corporate income discrepancy, Wall Street banks also pay no sales tax on their immense number of transactions that occur in the form of derivatives, stocks, bonds, debt instruments, and other so-called “financial products.”

This, of course, is in direct contrast to the fact that individual Americans pay anywhere from 6% to 12% on transactions involving a variety of goods ranging from

---

<sup>479</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. “1% Wall Street sales tax solution to stabilize US Federal Budget.” PressTV. December 4, 2012. <http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2012/12/04/276170/wall-street-tax-solution-to-us-budget/> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

entertainment to necessity, even groceries in some areas, depending on the state.

As Tarpley writes in this regard,

This outdated approach goes back to when the stock and bond markets were considered capital markets. But today, in the ear of high frequency trading and flash trading in which one computer can carry out a million traders per second using algorithms, we are obviously dealing with a high-tech gambling casino that poses grave dangers to the public.

In short, the greatest single flow of untaxed money is the stocks, bonds, and derivatives which cross the exchanges in New York and Chicago, as well as the over-the-counter derivatives which are contracted behind the scenes. If sacrifices are required, this is obviously the place to start.<sup>480</sup>

Obviously, when the suggestion is made regarding the implementation of a tax on such a wide variety of financial instruments and such a powerful cartel of corporate and banking interests, the next logical question turns toward the possibility and method of successful implementation.

---

<sup>480</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. "1% Wall Street sales tax solution to stabilize US Federal Budget." PressTV. December 4, 2012. <http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2012/12/04/276170/wall-street-tax-solution-to-us-budget/> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

Thus, it is important to note that between the years of 1914 and 1966, the U.S. Federal government did successfully implement a financial transaction tax of between 0.04% (0.0004) and 0.1% (0.001). Although the amount of tax percentage is much smaller than the 1% being discussed in this article, the ability of the Federal government to operate and maintain such a tax is clearly demonstrated.<sup>481</sup>

Indeed, current tax policy shows that the U.S. Federal government is still capable of maintaining a tax on financial transactions as the Section 31 fee, a minute tax of 0.0034% on stock transactions is used to fund the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). In 1998, this amazingly small tax brought in \$1.8 billion to fund the SEC.<sup>482</sup>

New York State even has a very small financial transaction tax on their law books that brings in close to \$25 billion per year according to some estimates but, ever since the 1970s, all of the money earned by this tax is given straight back to the Wall Street bankers due to threats made by financial institutions that they will move their operations to another state in order to avoid the tax. It should be pointed out, of course, that a nationwide Federal tax would effectively neutralize any threats by financial institutions to

---

<sup>481</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. "1% Wall Street sales tax solution to stabilize US Federal Budget." PressTV. December 4, 2012. <http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2012/12/04/276170/wall-street-tax-solution-to-us-budget/> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>482</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. "1% Wall Street sales tax solution to stabilize US Federal Budget." PressTV. December 4, 2012. <http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2012/12/04/276170/wall-street-tax-solution-to-us-budget/> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

move outside of New York or any other state in order to negotiate lower or no taxation.

Another important point is that, for the most part, financial instruments such as stocks, bonds, futures, options, and indices (and virtually all of their other various incarnations and combinations) are traded through public exchanges. Thus, they can easily be tracked for the purposes of taxation.<sup>483</sup>

Yet, as Webster Tarpley points out, “the real mother lode of transactions is to be found in the area of derivatives.”<sup>484</sup>

Unfortunately, because the main applicable legislation in regards to “over-the-counter derivatives,” the Dodd-Frank Bill, fails to force the institutions and individuals engaging in such trades to report them, it is somewhat harder to determine the actual amount of these instruments. This is also partly because “over-the-counter derivatives” “take the form of private contracts between counterparties.”<sup>485</sup>

---

<sup>483</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. “1% Wall Street sales tax solution to stabilize US Federal Budget.” PressTV. December 4, 2012. <http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2012/12/04/276170/wall-street-tax-solution-to-us-budget/> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>484</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. “1% Wall Street sales tax solution to stabilize US Federal Budget.” PressTV. December 4, 2012. <http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2012/12/04/276170/wall-street-tax-solution-to-us-budget/> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>485</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. “1% Wall Street sales tax solution to stabilize US Federal Budget.” PressTV. December 4, 2012. <http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2012/12/04/276170/wall-street-tax-solution-to-us-budget/> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

Thus, due to the lack of reliability on the exact number of these instruments, it should be specified that the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax should be paid by the seller, not the buyer. Furthermore, it should be specified that any over-the-counter derivatives contracts for which the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax has not been paid cannot be enforced in a court of law. This would effectively mean that, if the seller of an over-the-counter derivative does not pay the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax on his product, the buyer that loses out on the derivative would then be able to legally back out of the deal with the backing of the law, thus turning the tax-dodging seller into the ultimate loser.

According to Webster Tarpley's estimates, world derivatives currently stand "in excess of two quadrillion dollars in notional value." Other estimates put the notional value of derivatives in the range of six to seven quadrillion dollars due to the fact that these derivatives are constantly being bought and sold.<sup>486</sup>

Thus, the revenue that would be generated from a 1% Wall Street Sales Tax could be expected to reach a figure of approximately tens of trillions of dollars.<sup>487</sup>

---

<sup>486</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. "1% Wall Street sales tax solution to stabilize US Federal Budget." PressTV. December 4, 2012. <http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2012/12/04/276170/wall-street-tax-solution-to-us-budget/> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>487</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. "1% Wall Street sales tax solution to stabilize US Federal Budget." PressTV. December 4, 2012. <http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2012/12/04/276170/wall-street-tax-solution-to-us-budget/> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

Of course, any new form of taxation on the trading of financial products would more likely than not cause the amount of trading currently taking place to go down (with some possibly ceasing altogether). Even in this event, it is reasonable to assume that the Wall Street Sales Tax would still provide several trillion dollars in tax revenue.<sup>488</sup>

## **2.) The Wall Street Sales Tax Discourages Dangerous Forms of Speculation**

As mentioned above, the implementation of a 1% Wall Street Sales Tax would naturally cause the amount of trading of the affected financial instruments to go down. It is even possible that the trading of some exotic forms of these instruments may cease altogether. But, while this may seem to present a problem in terms of reduced tax revenue, this should largely be the only concern. Even so, as mentioned previously, the expected revenue of the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax is still in the figure of several trillion dollars.<sup>489</sup>

Still, it is fundamentally important to understand that the reduction in derivatives trading, computer-based transactions, and speculation is by no means a negative development. After all,

---

<sup>488</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. "1% Wall Street sales tax solution to stabilize US Federal Budget." PressTV. December 4, 2012. <http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2012/12/04/276170/wall-street-tax-solution-to-us-budget/> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>489</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. "1% Wall Street sales tax solution to stabilize US Federal Budget." PressTV. December 4, 2012. <http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2012/12/04/276170/wall-street-tax-solution-to-us-budget/> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

it was derivatives that were [responsible for the 2008 financial crisis](#) that has spread and continues to spread concurrently across the entire globe.<sup>490</sup> Financial speculation has been responsible for the [rise in consumer prices](#) in staple goods and as well as those goods that have become virtual necessities in today's society.<sup>491</sup> In addition, debt instruments have been instrumental in attacking the currency and economic stature of sovereign nations.<sup>492</sup>

Thus, if the trends of speculation, derivatives trading, computerized transactions, and debt instruments are reduced or even eliminated, one would be hard-pressed to provide a clear and convincing argument outside of the most militant ideology that could justify their return. Even in the unlikely event that projected tax revenue does not reach the levels estimated in this article and by economists such as Webster Tarpley, the reduction in the financial instruments listed above should itself be considered a positive outcome.

As Tarpley writes, "A society which taxes the sales of industrial manufacturing and agricultural products, but which establishes a tax exemption for speculation, derivatives, and

---

<sup>490</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. "NO To The Paulson-Bernanke Derivatives Bailout." PrisonPlanet.com September 24, 2008. <http://www.prisonplanet.com/no-to-the-paulson-bernanke-derivatives-scam-bailout.html> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>491</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. "Political Promises To Lower Gas Prices Running On Empty." Activist Post. March 1, 2012. <http://www.activistpost.com/2012/03/political-promises-to-lower-gas-prices.html> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>492</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin.. 3rd Edition. Progressive Press. 2011. P.151 Surviving the Cataclysm: Your Guide Through the Greatest Financial Crisis in Human History

financial services has tilted the playing field in favor of a parasitical casino economy of the type which has historically led to widespread immiseration and recurring financial panics.”<sup>493</sup>

### **3.) The 1% Wall Street Sales Tax Is Completely Constitutional**

Acknowledging the many legitimate issues taken with the Income Tax by individuals across the political spectrum, the fact is that the Constitutional question of taxation is not applicable to the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax. Although the 16th Amendment clearly allows for the collection of an income tax, there does exist some controversy as to whether or not the individual is required to pay this tax by law. Setting that issue aside, however, there is no controversy surrounding the Constitutional applications of the various Sales Taxes currently in effect.

Article 1, Section 8, Clause 1 of the U.S. Constitution clearly enumerates the ability of Congress to impose such taxes. It states, “The Congress shall have Power to lay and collect Taxes, Duties, Imposts and Excises, to pay the Debts and provide for the common Defence and general Welfare of the United States; but all Duties, Imposts and Excises shall be uniform throughout the United States.”

Thus, the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax exists well within Constitutional boundaries. Any challenges to the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax would effectively seal their own fate before getting off

---

<sup>493</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. “1% Wall Street sales tax solution to stabilize US Federal Budget.” PressTV. December 4, 2012. <http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2012/12/04/276170/wall-street-tax-solution-to-us-budget/> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

the ground due to hundreds of years of legal precedent and clearly defined (in this regard) cases of imposed taxation. In the case of the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax, there is no legitimate Constitutional or legal argument against its implementation.

Any individual that argues against the implementation of the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax, without subsequently arguing for the removal of all forms of taxation, is essentially lobbying for a double standard between individual citizen laborers and Wall Street Parasites. To agitate against the Wall Street Sales Tax is to agitate for taxation on the transactions of the poorest citizen while, at the same time, providing a free ride for rich bankers and vampiric financial institutions. This agitation, if realized, would entirely remove the burden of funding the Federal and State governments off the shoulders of the wealthy Wall Street bankers and thrust it onto the backs of the poor, working, and middle class alone.

Those individuals who state their undying respect and love for the U.S. Constitution should recognize the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax as an opportunity to both reduce the Federal and State budget deficit as well as the influence of Wall Street in a clearly Constitutional manner.

#### **4.) The 1% Wall Street Sales Tax Largely Solves the Budget Deficit and Social Safety Net Funding Crisis**

The [Congressional Budget Office](#) has estimated the U.S. Federal Budget Deficit at the end of 2012 at close to \$1.1 Trillion

dollars.<sup>494</sup> In addition, each U.S. state maintains a budget deficit (with the exception of Alaska, Arkansas, Montana, North Dakota, West Virginia, and Wyoming) measured in the millions to billions of dollars. [As reported by Stateline](#), the news reporting agency of the Pew Charitable Trusts, the total of all State budget deficits combined equals \$111.9 billion.<sup>495</sup>

However, while a \$1,112,000,000,000.00 American budget deficit at first sounds like an impossible number to bring under control, one must bear in mind the estimates of just the initial revenue from the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax. As mentioned above, such proceeds are conservatively projected to reach the level of several trillions of dollars. More optimistic and even perhaps more accurate estimates suggest revenue in the range of tens of trillions of dollars.

With proceeds of these numbers divided evenly between the Federal government and the States, both the Federal and State budget deficits could be eliminated after the first intake of revenue is completed, all without cutting and gutting the social safety net, reducing military spending, or slowing the operation of government agencies. With the proceeds of the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax flooding the coffers of Federal, State, and Local

---

<sup>494</sup> "The Budget And Economic Outlook: Fiscal Years 2012 to 2022." Congressional Budget Office. January 31 2012.

<https://www.cbo.gov/publication/42905> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>495</sup> Combs, David. "State Budget Gaps: How Does Your State Rank?" The Pew Charitable Trusts. March 15, 2011. <http://www.pewtrusts.org/en/research-and-analysis/blogs/stateline/2011/03/15/state-budget-gaps-how-does-your-state-rank> Accessed on September 15, 2015.

governments, proponents of Austerity measures could then be seen as the irrational pro-monopolistic agitators that they are.

### 5.) The 1% Wall Street Sales Tax Is The Best Option

Broadly speaking in terms of the social safety net programs and Federal and State budget deficit issues being discussed during Congressional sideshows such as the “Sequestration,” the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax is not only the best option in terms of convenience, fairness, and positive results, it is the only method capable of actually achieving the goals for which it is created. Competing approaches either fail to achieve the necessary revenue, levy unfair taxation, or produce results which are entirely counter to any rationally desired outcome.

The most obviously abhorrent method of reducing or eliminating the budget deficit and managing the funding of the social safety net is that of Austerity. This approach is, essentially, the cutting of services, government labor, and government spending which is more often than not accompanied by an increase in the taxes of the lower, working, and middle class. Even aside from the desired results and moral implications of such a program, Austerity measures are inherently foolish in terms of reducing deficits and funding programs in that this method has [failed on every occasion](#) where it has [been implemented](#).<sup>496 497</sup> In

---

<sup>496</sup> Chang, Ha-Joon. “Austerity Has Never Worked.” The Guardian. June 4, 2012. <http://www.theguardian.com/commentisfree/2012/jun/04/austerity-policy-eurozone-crisis> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>497</sup> Cassidy, John. “It’s Official: Austerity Economics Doesn’t Work.” The New Yorker. December 6, 2012. <http://www.newyorker.com/news/daily->

reality, Austerity measures only create bigger budget deficits and financial crises in the next fiscal year. Regardless of the success or [failure of Austerity](#), however, such methods are the antithesis to those who desire a rational, civilized society.<sup>498</sup> Any discussion of Austerity must immediately be dismissed as foolishness and insanity.

Likewise, any discussion of raising the income tax or the implementation of a Federal Sales Tax (other than the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax) should immediately be abandoned. Higher taxation on an already overtaxed citizenry will only serve to reduce the amount of economic activity in the long run and to further burden those suffering the most in what can only be described as a worldwide economic depression. Propositions such as the Fair Tax should be included in this delineation as they are by nature regressive taxation and impose heavier burdens on the poor, working, and lower classes. These types of taxation cut only into the amenities of the rich but cut deeply into the necessities of the poor despite tools like the “prebate” discussed by proponents of the Fair Tax.

In the same vein, a Wealth Tax, like the one being proposed by various sources such as [David Altman of the New York Times](#), presents numerous undesirable effects in addition to

---

[comment/its-official-austerity-economics-doesnt-work](#) Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>498</sup> Bernstein, Jared. “What Part Of ‘Austerity Isn’t Working Don’t People Get?” Rolling Stone. June 18, 2012.

<http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/news/what-part-of-austerity-isnt-working-dont-people-get-20120617> Accessed on September 10, 2012.

falling short of attaining any real revenue.<sup>499</sup> Any program that would require such an extensive census of personal wealth and taxable assets is not only bound to face innumerable Constitutional challenges, it will require a virtual police state to enforce and administer. Regardless, it is also a fact that wages are much harder to hide from government investigation than other forms of income and assets such as those favored by rich elitists who are able to hide their assets away in various offshore accounts, funds, and foundations, thus continuing to leave massive loopholes for Wall Street parasites and wealthy financiers while cracking down upon the average American worker. Even if the Wealth Tax is able to accrue the optimum amount of money its proponents argue it can deliver, it pales in comparison to the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax in that it could not exceed over several hundred billion dollars of yearly revenue. The 1% Wall Street Sales Tax on the other hand, is estimated to rake in several trillion in revenue at the very least.

It should also be pointed out that the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax is the only option that actually discourages dangerous speculation and encourages tangible production.

## **Conclusion**

The United States now finds itself in an unmistakable crisis situation. Caught in the grips of a worldwide economic

---

<sup>499</sup> Altman, David. "To Reduce Inequality, Tax Wealth, Not Income." New York Times. November 18, 2012. <http://www.rollingstone.com/politics/news/what-part-of-austerity-isnt-working-dont-people-get-20120617> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

depression, the U.S. now faces the perils of imperialism abroad and the imposition of a police state at home. In addition, the United States faces increasing economic destabilization, unemployment, fraudulent food shortage, health and healthcare crises, environmental degradation, and the ultimate collapse of the availability and the ability to provide for the basic necessities of life. In short, the United States (along with the rest of the world) is facing the very real possibility of a complete collapse of civilization as we know it and subsequent imposition of Fascism on a global scale.

As Greece, Spain, Ireland, and many others continue to be hammered by Austerity measures, the United States is falling prey to the same philosophies and political smokescreens. Yet, the United States stands at an even greater disadvantage than its European counterparts due to the fact that Americans are unfortunately still unable to understand the nature and dangers of Austerity. Thus, the dismantling of what was once the economic powerhouse of the world is taking place virtually unbeknownst to those who stand to become its greatest victims.

However, there are solutions readily available whenever there exists the will to take hold of them.

In relation to the crisis of the social safety net as well as the U.S. and State budget deficit, that solution exists in the form of the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax.

Most of the current American financial quagmire can be traced back to the antics and schemes of Wall Street at some

point or other. The lack of adequate funding for the U.S. government can be directly related to the fact that the burden of funding falls on the backs of the poor, working, and middle class while Wall Street pays nothing. This free ride afforded to Wall Street must end.

The 1% Wall Street Sales Tax is the sole method that can attract revenue in the range of tens of trillions of dollars capable of fully funding the social safety net and the U.S. government as well as effectively eliminating the budget deficit at the Federal and State levels. All of this while discouraging dangerous forms of speculation and derivatives trading. Contrary to many other proposals being floated in the public arena by often questionable sources, the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax is entirely Constitutional.

### **Support The 1% Wall Street Sales Tax**

While the concept of taxing Wall Street turnover has been circulating for some time, the credit for the revision, fine tuning, and detailed articulation of the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax should largely be given to [Webster Griffin Tarpley](#).<sup>500</sup>

Currently agitation for the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax is being undertaken most notably by the [United Front Against Austerity](#), a coalition of a wide-ranging organizations attempting to inject and promote economic solutions based upon the

---

<sup>500</sup> Tarpley.net

[American System of Economics](#), independent of the false left/right paradigm and the two existing major parties.<sup>501 502</sup>

[As Paul Adams writes for Activist Post](#),

Contrary to what many popular and pessimist economists say, the U.S. and world economies can return to booming economic growth within two or three months. Contrary to what the Malthusian global elites espouse, there can be plenty of food, clothing and shelter for everyone once we support skilled labor and the production of real goods rather than cancerous financial speculation.<sup>503</sup>

Indeed, the solutions are there for the taking. However, since the political will does not currently exist among elected politicians and the Corporate Government system obviously does not want to see them implemented, it is up to the American people to demand that the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax immediately be enacted.

Idle spectatorship is no longer an option. Active participation is the only legitimate path to take.

---

<sup>501</sup> [Againstausterity.org](http://againstausterity.org)

<sup>502</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. "Political Report To The United Front Against Austerity." New York City. October 27, 2012. [AgainstAusterity.org](http://againstausterity.org).  
<http://againstausterity.org/sites/default/files/downloads/tarpley-ufaa-report.pdf> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>503</sup> Adams, Paul. "Restore America With The United Front Against Austerity." [Activist Post](#). February 11, 2013.  
<http://againstausterity.org/sites/default/files/downloads/tarpley-ufaa-report.pdf> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

The reader is encouraged to visit the United Front Against Austerity website at [www.againstausterity.org](http://www.againstausterity.org)

# Nationalize the Federal Reserve!

Brandon Turbeville

[BrandonTurbeville.com](http://BrandonTurbeville.com)

December 4, 2013

The United States today finds itself in the midst of a crisis which exists on a multitude of different levels. From the establishment of a culture of constant warfare, increasing environmental degradation, and the devolution into an outright police state, the perils of the current system are easily visible to those with eyes to see.

Nowhere, however, is the crisis more visible than in the manifestations of the world economic depression.

From mass unemployment (estimated at approximately 25% when all factors are considered) and a growing national debt to a ballooning trade deficit and the loss of purchasing power of the dollar as well as decrepit and crumbling national infrastructure, the United States today faces a crisis of epic proportions.

Most of the blame for this economic calamity, of course, can be directly traced back to the treachery of private bankers, Wall Street, and the practice of usury combined the acts of the agents of these financiers in the halls of government at some point or other. Ever since the Federal Reserve was solidified as the

perceived national bank of the United States, the most powerful nation on the face of the earth and, thus, its people, were placed under the rule and at the mercy of private bankers. The economic health and future of the United States was placed in the hands of the very elitists and financiers from which the American people should have been protected. As a result of the Federal Reserve Act of 1913 and subsequent policy, the power of issuing currency and credit, the ability to cause mass inflation or deflation, and the opportunity to orchestrate booms and busts, productivity and depression, was placed in the hands of private bankers who were granted the authority to act completely independent of the authority of the United States Federal government. Thus, the U.S. Federal government has now been reduced to reacting to the decisions made by the private Federal Reserve instead of the Federal Reserve acting as a truly national central bank and reacting to the decisions made by the Federal government.

Although criticism of the Federal Reserve system has existed since 1913, both the criticism and the level of knowledge surrounding the history and purpose of the institution has increased to such a scale never before witnessed. With an understanding of the unconstitutional abrogation of Congressional authority, the massive amount of control now held by private bankers, and the current economic conditions that have resulted, many informed Americans have rightly become

antagonistic toward the Federal Reserve and have adamantly called for changes to be made to the system.<sup>504</sup>

Yet, unfortunately, the solutions put forward by the majority of the Fed's critics involve the knee-jerk reaction of simply eliminating the Federal Reserve altogether, a philosophy that is neither prudent nor beneficial to the American people. Simply removing the Federal Reserve as an institution is both a wholly inadequate measure to combat the power of finance capital and Wall Street over the Federal government and the American people as well as an inadequate method by which to orchestrate an economic recovery. The philosophy mentioned above can often be heard being repeated by very well-meaning activists and individuals as the phrase "End the Fed."

Ending the Fed, however, while sounding euphonious and clever, would nonetheless be a disastrous policy for the United States and the American people as it would immediately usher in an age of austerity while doing absolutely nothing to reduce the amount of control private bankers and the cartel masquerading as the national bank currently have over the U.S. Federal government.

Simply ending the fed would, in one fell swoop, eliminate the "buyer of last resort" option for US national debt and cause

---

<sup>504</sup> Griffin, G. Edward. *The Creature From Jekyll Island: A Second Look At The Federal Reserve*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Amer Media. 1998.  
<http://www.amazon.com/The-Creature-Jekyll-Island-Federal/dp/0912986212>

the collapse of the American economy. In addition, although the Federal Reserve does not currently serve in its proper function as provider of credit and funding for the US government, ending the Fed will eliminate a major source of funding, leaving taxation as the only method of income. If the Federal government wishes to borrow money, outside of printing more of it and throwing itself into an inflationary spiral, it will then be forced to borrow directly from Wall Street, the very same money lenders who will immediately regain control over the issuance of currency, the amount in circulation, and economic cycles. Thus, before the offices of the Federal Reserve board are cleaned out, private bankers will have already regained control over the operation of the government as a whole.

Still, the question remains as to how to end the power of the Fed (i.e. the private bankers) as it exists, return the Constitutional authority over monetary policy back to Congress, and break the power of wealthy financiers and banking cartels over the Federal Government.

The answer to that question is not to simply end the Fed but to nationalize it. Nationalizing the Federal Reserve would not only return Congressional power to its rightful place as guaranteed in the U.S. Constitution and break the power of Wall Street over the monetary policy of the United States, but it would also provide the opportunity to eliminate debt, reduce inflation, improve infrastructure, jumpstart a recovery, and usher in a new era of scientific progress the likes of which the world has never seen.

Thus, it is not wise in any real sense so much as it is possible to end the Federal Reserve system nor is it likely that one would gain any element of true populism by simply demanding that we “End the Fed,” particularly due to the age of austerity and the parallel banker control that would inevitably result from such a policy. It is imperative to offer legitimate solutions and a clear way forward when making a political demand.

For that reason, it is the Nationalization of the Fed that should be demanded, not the simple abolition of it.

With that being said, at least three aspects to the nationalization of the Federal Reserve must be explained in order to justify it. These points are as follows:

### **1.) How to Nationalize the Federal Reserve**

Although the specific manner in which the Federal Reserve is nationalized should not be the main focus of the action and demand to do so, there are two possible ways that such an undertaking could be accomplished. The first, and most desirable, is the passage of a law by Congress which nationalizes the Federal Reserve under the U.S. Department of the Treasury. This method is the best case scenario as it demonstrates Congressional will, common agreement, and process legitimization. However, in the absence of Congressional will, there exists the forceful act of the Executive. Essentially, it is entirely possible for the Federal Reserve to be de facto nationalized by a simple Presidential phone call to the Chairman of the Fed demanding specific lines of credit for specific purposes with clear repercussions if these demands

are not met. Although a full law would be the ideal circumstance for the reconquering of American monetary policy by those to whom it rightfully belongs, any and all means available can and should be used.

## **2.) How to Use the Federal Reserve to Jumpstart a Recovery**

Essentially, there are three different types of economic stimulus which involve government spending – Hot money (money printing, quantitative easing, etc.), on-budget spending, and credit stimulus. It should be noted that Austrian school economics proponents may attempt to argue that their own version of economic stimulus (meaning austerity) may jumpstart a recovery with no government spending at all. This idea involves mass liquidation, deflation, and budget cutting. However, considering the history of Austrian school economics and its proven failures in that regard, Austrian school economics stand as antithetical to a modern prosperous society and leave a trail of poverty, destruction, malnutrition, and stagnation in its wake.<sup>505</sup>[2] Thus, Austrian school economics will not be addressed in this article as a legitimate source of an economic recovery in this article.

With that being said, the first method of economic stimulus mentioned above – Hot money – has been widely

---

<sup>505</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. *Surviving The Cataclysm: Your Guide Through the Worst Financial Crisis in Human History.* 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Progressive Press. 2011. <http://www.amazon.com/Surviving-Cataclysm-Through-Financial-History/dp/1615776001>

criticized by many individuals on both sides of the political paradigm due to the fact that such “stimulus” does virtually nothing for Main Street while subsidizing and encouraging the risky behavior of Wall Street. In addition, because the “hot money” approach involves the extension of cheap credit to financial institutions, creation of debt, and the creation of new money out of thin air which finds its way into the system, inflation and the devaluation of currency necessarily occur. Taxation through inflation is a direct result of money printing and the “hot money” approach as is the ballooning of parasitical financial institutions as they feast on the money handed to them on a silver platter.<sup>506</sup>

The second method of stimulus is the Keynesian application of “on-budget” spending which involves the accumulation of public debt in order to provide the funds for a stimulus program. This method is slightly better due to the fact that government spending in infrastructure or other relevant development programs does indeed create jobs and related industry. However, on-budget stimulus is limited in what it is able to do by the fact that the spending taking place must be acquired by taxation or borrowing. Over-burdening the public with taxation and creating unsupportable debt (to private banks) is the

---

<sup>506</sup>Tarpley, Webster Griffin. *Surviving The Cataclysm: Your Guide Through the Worst Financial Crisis in Human History.* 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Progressive Press. 2011. <http://www.amazon.com/Surviving-Cataclysm-Through-Financial-History/dp/1615776001>

inevitable long-term result of such stimulus regardless of how many jobs it creates in the meantime.<sup>507</sup>

A third method of stimulus, however, is much more capable of creating an economic recovery. This is the method referred to as credit stimulus. Credit stimulus, provided it is conducted by a nationalized central bank, is capable of jumpstarting a recovery due to the fact that it does not involve simply printing money and spending it into circulation nor does it involve the creation of debt to private banks. Credit stimulus issued by a national bank would not create a culture of unsupportable debt because the debt itself would be held by an arm of the Federal government, an unlikely source of foreclosure against the Federal, State, or local governments in the event of lack of repayment, an unlikely circumstance to begin with.<sup>508</sup>

Indeed, trillions of dollars worth of credit was issued to Wall Street during the course of the 2008 housing crisis. If credit from the Federal Reserve and the U.S. Treasury was good enough for Wall Street, it is good enough for Main Street. This, then, is the

---

<sup>507</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. *Surviving The Cataclysm: Your Guide Through the Worst Financial Crisis in Human History.* 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Progressive Press. 2011. <http://www.amazon.com/Surviving-Cataclysm-Through-Financial-History/dp/1615776001>

<sup>508</sup> Tarpley, Webster Griffin. *Surviving The Cataclysm: Your Guide Through the Worst Financial Crisis in Human History.* 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Progressive Press. 2011. <http://www.amazon.com/Surviving-Cataclysm-Through-Financial-History/dp/1615776001>

method which should be selected to jumpstart an economic recovery after having nationalized the Federal Reserve.

### **3.) What credit stimulus from a Nationalized Federal Reserve should be used for:**

According to the American Society of Civil Engineers who recently released its [2013 Report Card For America's Infrastructure](#), estimates suggest that the United States would need to invest [\\$3.6 Trillion dollars in its infrastructure by 2020](#) simply to achieve the overall [ranking of "good"](#) which is represented as a B on the ASCE report card.<sup>509 510 511</sup>

In addition, the innovation and leadership in regards to scientific progress in which America once dominated is a feature that no longer appears to exist domestically. In infrastructure, education, science, medicine, and real economic activity (productivity), the United States is nothing more than a shell of its former self. Yet this does not have to be the case nor does it have to be the future for America. A nationalized Federal Reserve, particularly together with a [1% Wall Street Sales Tax](#) to eliminate

---

<sup>509</sup> "2013 Report Card For America's Infrastructure." Infrastructure Report Card website. American Society Of Civil Engineers. 2013.

<http://www.infrastructurereportcard.org/> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>510</sup> "Grade Sheet: America's Infrastructure Investment Needs." 2013 Report Card For America's Infrastructure." "Infrastructure Report Card website. American Society Of Civil Engineers. 2013.

<http://www.infrastructurereportcard.org/a/#p/grade-sheet/americas-infrastructure-investment-needs> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>511</sup> "America's Infrastructure." GPA.

<http://www.infrastructurereportcard.org/a/#p/grade-sheet/gpa> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

Federal, State, and local budget deficits as well as fully finance the social safety net, education, and a true program of universal healthcare, would be a tremendous step forward in creating an environment of virtually full employment.<sup>512</sup>

For this reason, Credit Stimulus can and should be used to jumpstart a recovery first by means of repairing existing infrastructure and building new infrastructural systems as will fit the needs of modern America. This should be accomplished by a nationalized Federal Reserve acting as a truly state-owned central bank buying up the bonds of states, regional projects, and local governments for the specific purposes of rebuilding subway systems, highway systems, water treatment facilities, railway systems (freight and passenger), bridges, electricity and power production facilities, canals, ports, sewage systems, telecommunications, libraries, hospitals, schools, public and government buildings, as well as other relevant aspects of infrastructure.

The terms of these bond purchases should be simple. First, they should be predicated upon real improvement and creation of legitimate infrastructure such as the projects mentioned above. No pork or pet projects. Second, the interest rate of these bonds should be set at 0% so as to preclude any usury between governments and to eliminate usurious forms of government and public debt. Third, these bonds should be issued with a maturity date of 100 years, a type of bond commonly referred to as

---

<sup>512</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. "The Case For The 1% Wall Street Sales Tax." Activist Post. February 25, 2013. <http://www.activistpost.com/2013/02/the-case-for-1-wall-street-sales-tax.html> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

century bonds. This will allow for reasonable “repayment” on a reasonable time scale with adjustments made for the need of the government receiving the credit as the economic crisis may demand. There should be no foreclosure or bankruptcy resulting from this extension of credit.

A newly nationalized Federal Reserve should immediately issue a tranche of \$3.6 trillion of such credit to Federal, State, and local governments as well as regional projects in order to upgrade current infrastructure to a satisfactory level with subsequent tranches of \$1 trillion to be issued as needed after the first tranche of \$3.6 trillion is expended. The goal in this endeavor is not only to upgrade and improve the national infrastructure but to create what amounts to full employment. The jobs provided by this credit stimulus should be high wage and union pay scale.

Improving infrastructure to adequate levels, however, is not the only potential use for the purchase of Federal, State, and local bonds as the goal should obviously be to create new and more efficient, environmentally friendly, and highly developed forms of infrastructure – be it in waste treatment, power and electricity, construction, or transportation. For instance, high-speed rail should be an immediate priority as should the development of alternative means of power and electricity from a variety of sources such as wind, solar, or some other source of power. In the meantime, however, it is important to upgrade and safeguard those methods of power that we currently maintain whether including water, nuclear, or coal.

Likewise, it is important to use such century bonds for the funding of science drivers in each of these respective industries as well as for the achievement of goals that are currently presented as unattainable in the foreseeable future. Thus, in addition to the funding of development of alternative and truly clean/free sources of energy and power, more efficient means of transportation, and other improvements to existing infrastructure, investments must be made in scientific discoveries regarding health and medicine, space exploration and colonization, legitimately environmentally friendly technologies and methods of production, and other laudable goals.

Clearly, as jobs rebuilding infrastructure and engaging in scientific exploration and development begin to appear, subsequent industries will no doubt begin to appear alongside them due to the increase in spending as a result of the new high-wage jobs initially created by the infrastructure investment.

With this in mind, it is important to understand that this method of stimulus can and should also be used to stimulate not just government-based jobs but also the private sector. This can be done by offering low to no interest credit to the private sector manufacturers in all industries who are willing to refurbish aging factories currently lying dormant and empty all across the nation. Interest free and/or low-interest Federal credit should be issued to those manufacturers and producers who are active or are willing to become active in areas of tangible physical production. These productive jobs should then be safeguarded by means of a protective tariff. In addition, such credit must not only be

available to large companies or individuals who are “thinking big” but should be available all the way down to the local business owner – restaurants, electricians, HVAC, mechanics, plumbing, etc. For far too long, Wall Street has been the recipient of tax payer subsidies for risky financial derivatives and financial services which produce absolutely nothing. It is time for Wall Street to take a back seat to Main Street.

Lastly, a nationalized Federal Reserve would be able to refinance student loans via the Department of Education and relevant agencies that are currently burdening a large portion of an entire generation of Americans. Education, particularly in the area of high skills, is a necessary ingredient to a well-trained workforce of high wage workers. This is especially true if the United States is to take the lead in scientific development. Furthermore, if an entire generation is saddled with such unreasonable debt as to preclude them from the ability to buy a house, support a family, and otherwise lead a comfortable life, then the United States is on the fast track to creating its first “lost generation.” By refinancing student loans through the Federal Reserve at less than one percent or even zero percent interest, this generation will be able to free itself of such debt and a new generation will be encouraged and enabled to learn the skills that will be needed for the initiation of a new economic system based on productivity.

While it is true that the implementation of the 1% Wall Street Sales Tax or the Nationalization of the Federal Reserve is not the ultimate goal of any resistance or revolution in and of

itself, both policies would rank as one of the major efforts needed to break the power of private bankers over the general public and as one of the main efforts toward rebuilding a working economy built upon production and human progress.

It is also true that power concedes nothing without a demand. Unfortunately, the American people, activists, and the alternative media are fast approaching a time that demands must be made if there will be any hope of success.

No matter how important other issues may be to us - whether they be privacy, civil liberties, the environment, or war and peace - it is well understood that the majority of the general public in any country can only be rallied when the issues they face involve the amount of money they make and the amount of food they eat. The cause of nationalizing the Federal Reserve is a cause that affects virtually every other issue of concern held by activist communities because it affects one of the most powerful tentacles of the ruling elite – the control and influence wielded by Wall Street over the vast population. There is no doubt that it affects the very basic economic concerns held by the average American.

It is time to take back what rightfully belongs to the people of the United States. It is time to Nationalize the Federal Reserve.

NOTE:

Much of the impetus behind the program and list of demands contained in this article were inspired by the work undertaken by [Webster Griffin Tarpley](#) whose book, [Surviving The Cataclysm:](#)

[Your Guide Through The Worst Financial Crisis In Human History](#) is an indispensable guide to understanding the current economic situation in the United States and the solutions needed to fix it.

Likewise, an organization having arisen out of this same mode of thought, the [United Front Against Austerity](#), has been instrumental in providing legitimate solutions in terms of the American economic crisis.

Lastly, a new political party that strives to implement the demands and programs enumerated in this article (among others), combined with a respect for basic civil liberties and human rights, has arisen on the

American political scene. The [Tax Wall Street Party](#) is an attempt to provide a true alternative to the current corrupt and illegitimate political paradigm of Democrats and Republicans. The Tax Wall Street Party can be found at [TaxWallStreetParty.org](http://TaxWallStreetParty.org). Their [program can be found here](#).

# Why Do Bankers Wage War to Stop National Banks?

[Brandon Turbeville](#)

[Activist Post](#)

May 14, 2015

Earlier in May, 2015, [I wrote an article](#) detailing the fact that the fledgling Donetsk People's Republic, under fire from Western-backed Kiev fascists and economic hardship resulting from warfare and blockade, has taken the extraordinary step of nationalizing its banks and beginning the process of using those nationalized banks for the benefit of the people of the DPR.<sup>513</sup>

As one might suspect, such a move has drawn the ire of the Western banking cartels and NATO governments.

While the DPR was not faced with a privatized central bank such as the United States and other nations due to the fact that DPR is a breakaway bloc and a new nation separated from the Kiev central bank, it was nonetheless host to a number of larger banking institutions that not only parasitized the people of DPR and Ukraine but did nothing to improve the infrastructure of these areas or the living standards of the people there.

---

<sup>513</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. "Donetsk Republic Nationalizes Banks, Draws Ire Of NATO And World Banking Cartel." Activist Post. May 5, 2015. <http://www.activistpost.com/2015/05/donetsk-republic-nationalizes-banks.html> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

It is thus no surprise that the West has been so fanatical in its psychotic push to destroy the DPR and reunite it with fascists and Neo-Nazis submissively working under the umbrella of privatized international banks in Kiev. Like the DPR's predecessors who have had the [foresight to nationalize or operate national banks](#) – Syria, Afghanistan, Iraq, Libya, Iran, Cuba, and North Korea – the DPR is now finding itself in the crosshairs of the NATO war machine.<sup>514</sup>

Indeed, while [vast oil reserves](#), oil pipelines, [opium fields](#), [strategic positioning](#), [no-bid contracts](#) for the [defense industry](#) and [military-industrial complex](#), [mineral deposits](#), and geopolitical concerns are all known reasons for American military adventures overseas, the goal of total domination of the world by the [privatized private banking cartel complete with central banks](#), cannot be overlooked.<sup>515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522</sup>

---

<sup>514</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. "Central Banks Are The Real Target For West's Imperial Wars." Activist Post. September 4, 2012.

<http://www.activistpost.com/2012/09/state-owned-central-banks-are-real.html> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>515</sup> Bignell, Paul. "Secret Memos Expose Link Between Oil Firms And Invasion Of Iraq." The Independent. April 19, 2011.

<http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/politics/secret-memos-expose-link-between-oil-firms-and-invasion-of-iraq-2269610.html> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>516</sup> Griffin, David Ray. *The New Pearl Harbor*. Interlink Publishing Group. 2004.

<sup>517</sup> Pollack, Kenneth M.; Byman, Daniel L.; Indyk, Martin. "Which Path To Persia? Options For A New American Strategy For Iran." The Saban Center For Middle East Policy At The Brookings Institution." Brookings.Edu. Analysis Paper No. 20. June 2009.

Thus, as I have established in my own work such as my article "[State-Owned Central Banks Are The Real Target For West's Imperial Wars](#)," the world banking cartel and the governments which they operate through, are not only diametrically opposed to the practice of National Banking but they are willing to commit entire nations to wars and even world wars to establish hegemony across the globe.<sup>523</sup>

The creation, development, and maintenance of a National Bank (State-owned and controlled) allows a considerable amount of national independence and source of revenue that is currently

---

[http://www.brookings.edu/~media/research/files/papers/2009/6/iran%20strategy/06\\_iran\\_strategy.pdf](http://www.brookings.edu/~media/research/files/papers/2009/6/iran%20strategy/06_iran_strategy.pdf) Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>518</sup> "U.S. Wasting Billions While Tripling No-Bid Contracts After Decade Of War In Iraq, Afghanistan." Democracy Now. September 2, 2011.

[http://www.democracynow.org/2011/9/2/us\\_wasting\\_billions\\_while\\_tripling\\_no](http://www.democracynow.org/2011/9/2/us_wasting_billions_while_tripling_no) Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>519</sup> "The Basics Of Halliburton's Military Contracts." Halliburton Watch.

[http://www.halliburtonwatch.org/about\\_hal/costplus.html](http://www.halliburtonwatch.org/about_hal/costplus.html) Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>520</sup> Proter, Gareth. "From Military-Industrial Complex To Permanent War State." Anti War. January 18, 2011.

<http://original.antiwar.com/porter/2011/01/17/from-military-industrial-complex-to-permanent-war-state/> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>521</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. "U.S. To Start Carving Up Afghanistan's Vast Resources." Activist Post. August 4, 2012.

<http://www.activistpost.com/2012/08/us-to-start-carving-up-afghanistans.html> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>522</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. "Central Banks Are The Real Target For West's Imperial Wars." Activist Post. September 4, 2012.

<http://www.activistpost.com/2012/09/state-owned-central-banks-are-real.html> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>523</sup> "Central Banks Are The Real Target For West's Imperial Wars." Activist Post. September 4, 2012. <http://www.activistpost.com/2012/09/state-owned-central-banks-are-real.html>

Accessed on September 10, 2015.

unthinkable in the Western world. The freedom from the dictates of privatized banking cartels and private “central bankers” and the ability to control one’s own currency are only two of the basic benefits of National Banking as well as the ability to use a variety of resources - particularly credit stimulus - to jumpstart national economies, infrastructure and scientific development, social progress and safety net protections, and a number of other programs and initiatives for the general welfare of the nation.

The results of private Central Banking, however, are available for the world to see. Austerity, depressions, police states, wars, imperialism, corrupted environments and polluted food supplies are all the fruits of the labor of private Central Banking and financial interest domination over national governments.

Thus, with it clear that the world banking oligarchy so violently opposes National Banks (State-owned Central Banks, nationalized banks, etc.), the question that must be asked is, “why are we so hesitant to do it here?”

While many activists have become rightly angered by the domination of the banking oligarchy, their anger has unfortunately led to knee-jerk reactions and well-meaning but, unfortunately, not as well thought out solutions and demands.

For instance, this anger at the world banker domination of the US government, has given rise to a movement to simply “end the Fed.” But the truth is that the bankers do not so much fear

the ending of the Fed as much as the nationalization of it.

To be sure, the banks would much rather prefer to keep the Federal Reserve system than end it completely, but ending the Fed does little to stop banker oligarchy and banker domination of the national economy. It must be remembered that, even before the Federal Reserve was formed, the control over the American economy had been [essentially given to J.P. Morgan](#), a private banker and agent of the Rothschild banking dynasty. Morgan was essential in helping to establish the Federal Reserve system shortly thereafter.<sup>524</sup>

Even before J.P. Morgan's seizure of the U.S. financial and monetary systems, it should be remembered that private banks ran rampant across the United States, engineering a system of "booms and busts" that ranged from local financial catastrophe to full-blown national banking crises.

It must also be remembered that there [were two prior successful attempts](#) at the establishment of a National Bank in the United States, both times with an attenuated version of what a true national bank should be, but both times these institutions were [fought tooth and nail](#) by banking oligarchs and their agents. Although certainly susceptible to corrupting and nefarious influences, these institutions were responsible for economic stability and growth during trying economic times. In addition,

---

<sup>524</sup> "J.P. Morgan." History.com. <http://www.history.com/topics/john-pierpont-morgan> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

their dissolution resulted in panics, crises, and depressions.<sup>525</sup>

The fact is that a national bank is capable of not only [providing economic stability but also of providing “credit stimulus” to national economies](#), funding for governments, investment in infrastructure, scientific progress, and credit-based investment in private businesses both large and small. National Banks provide their nation with a level of independence that removes any necessity for austerity or dependence upon world banking institutions like the IMF or World Bank as well as national or international private bankers.<sup>526</sup>

So why would a serious activist argue for anything other than the Nationalization of the Federal Reserve? Bankers have proven they can not only exist but flourish and dominate without the existence of the Federal Reserve or Central Bank. But they have also proven that the concept of a National Bank (State-owned and operated) is the equivalent of garlic to a vampire. If banking oligarchs hate nationalized banks, then why would one ever argue against the nationalization of those banks?

This is the secret of the Federal Reserve and the question of how to successfully remove the tyranny of the world banking

---

<sup>525</sup> “Bank of The United States.” Encyclopedia Britannica. <http://www.britannica.com/topic/Bank-of-the-United-States> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

<sup>526</sup> Turbeville, Brandon. “Nationalize The Federal Reserve!” BrandonTurbeville.com. December 4, 2013. <http://www.brandonturbeville.com/2013/12/nationalize-federal-reserve.html> Accessed on September 10, 2015.

oligarchy over the American economy. It is this fact that has caused the client states of the US, UK, NATO to head down the war path against those countries who continue to maintain National Banks. In the eyes of the banking oligarchy, the fact that, for the general public, life does not have to remain brutish, short, austere, or a police state must never be allowed to surface. If it does, those kept working on the global farm may begin to realize that the grass truly is greener on the other side and begin to tear down the fences to get to it.

# About the Author

Brandon Turbeville is a writer out of Florence, South Carolina. He holds a B.A. from Francis Marion University and is the author of six books, *The Road To Damascus- The Anglo-American Assault on Syria*, *Codex Alimentarius- The End of Health Freedom*, *Seven Real Conspiracies*, *Five Sense Solutions*, and *Dispatches From A Dissident Vol. 1 and 2*. He is a staff writer for *Activist Post* and has published over 300 hundred articles dealing with a wide variety of subjects including health, economics, war, government corruption, and civil liberties. He has been a guest on numerous alternative media broadcasts as well as mainstream outlets. Turbeville is also an occasional contributor to other media outlets such as *Natural Blaze*, *The Anti Media*, and *Progressive Gazette*. His books can be found in the bookstore at [BrandonTurbeville.com](http://BrandonTurbeville.com), [www.FalseFlagPublications.blogspot.com](http://www.FalseFlagPublications.blogspot.com), and [TheBookPatch.com](http://TheBookPatch.com).

He hosts a weekly radio show, *Truth on the Tracks*, which can be heard on [UCY.TV](http://UCY.TV). Turbeville has been interviewed by a number of media outlets in the alternative media as well as the independent and mainstream. He has been interviewed by *PRESSTV*, *al-Etejah*, *FOX*, *ITAR-TASS*, *LPR*, and *Sputnik International*.

*Truth on the Tracks* airs every Monday and Friday night at 9pm EST on [UCY.TV/TT](http://UCY.TV/TT).

**CONTACT:**

Contact Brandon at [anticodex@yahoo.com](mailto:anticodex@yahoo.com)

**OTHER RELEVANT SITES:**

Main website: [www.BrandonTurbeville.com](http://www.BrandonTurbeville.com)

Russian Backup Blog: [www.BrandonTurbevilleRussia.blogspot.com](http://www.BrandonTurbevilleRussia.blogspot.com)

Truth on The Tracks - Radio Show website: [www.UCY.TV/TT](http://www.UCY.TV/TT)

[www.ActivistPost.com](http://www.ActivistPost.com) (staff writer)

[www.TheAntiMedia.org](http://www.TheAntiMedia.org) (Contributing Writer)

[www.ProgressiveGazette.com](http://www.ProgressiveGazette.com) (Contributing Writer)

[www.NaturalBlaze.com](http://www.NaturalBlaze.com) (Contributing Writer)