

Light, Darkness & Discernment

A Forensic Analysis of a 4chan /x/ Thread
Through Scripture, Psychology, History & Evidence

Anonymous 07/14/26 03:12
What if it's all real?

Anonymous 07/14/26 03:24
There are no coincidences.

Anonymous 07/14/26 03:37
You weren't meant to find this.

Anonymous 07/14/26 03:51
The truth always leaves clues.

Anonymous 07/14/26 04:03
Wake up.

SCRIPTURE

PSYCHOLOGY

HISTORY

EVIDENCE

SAME
PATTERNS.
DIFFERENT
AGES.

Light, Darkness & Discernment

A Forensic Analysis of a 4chan /x/ Thread Through Scripture, Psychology, History & Evidence

UPDATED BY VCG ON 9/17/2026 @ 21:21 EST - FULL PRINT EDITION

4Chan Narrative Breakdown by VCG - September 2026

SOURCE FIDELITY NOTE

This edition follows the complete linked Library of Rickandria paper: Abstract, scope/source snapshot, Sections 1-12, the full live-snapshot post analysis through No. 42973861, the metaphor-to-metaphysics reasoning audit, biblical theology section, steelman objections, final findings, Appendix A claim-evidence-verdict matrix, Appendix B bibliography/source notes, and the researcher's closing note. The prose is re-typeset and closely paraphrased for print rather than copied as a raw webpage transcript.

ABSTRACT

Similar Metaphors Are Not Identical Realities

The September 2026 /x/ thread asks about “Light” and “Darkness” as spiritual paths but quickly blends physical illumination, moral good and evil, knowledge and ignorance, Jungian conscious/unconscious language, Western Right-Hand/Left-Hand Path categories, developmental biology, mammalian evolution, and biblical symbolism.

The paper's central finding is category collapse: the same words are carried from one domain into another without showing that the meanings remain equivalent. A true statement about photons cannot by itself settle moral theology. A psychologically useful shadow metaphor does not become an occult ontology. A modern esoteric taxonomy does not become the Bible's own definition of light and darkness.

Several thread insights survive fact-checking. Literal darkness is not morally evil; brightness and darkness do carry measurable psychological associations; Western Left-Hand Path traditions are more complex than a simple “evil path” label; Jungian shadow work at its strongest emphasizes recognition of disowned material rather than its enactment; and the nocturnal-bottleneck hypothesis has real evolutionary support.

The problem begins when those findings are asked to prove metaphysical claims they cannot establish. The paper therefore keeps five domains separate: physical illumination, psychological symbolism, Western esoteric taxonomy, moral evaluation, and biblical theology.

CENTRAL THESIS

Resemblance is not identity. Analogy is not proof. When the domain changes, the burden of proof resets.

SCOPE AND SOURCE SNAPSHOT

The Artifact Under Examination

The primary source is 4chan /x/ thread No. 42967257, “Light Vs. Darkness,” opened September 15, 2026. The reviewed live snapshot runs through post No. 42973861 on September 17, 2026. The source contains text, image memes, a medical screenshot, and one WEBM whose written caption could be considered while the video itself was not used as factual evidence.

This is a case study of one thread, not a statistical description of /x/, 4chan, occultists, Christians, Jungians, or any other population. Research about /b/ is used only for platform architecture and is explicitly labeled rather than generalized silently.

CONTENTS

Complete Article Structure

1. 1. How to Read This Paper: Source Layers and Certainty

2. 2. Rules of Evidence: What Counts as a Rebuttal?

3. 3. Terminology Map: Five Meanings That Must Not Be Collapsed

4. 4. Historical Background: Light, Darkness, and the Left-Hand Path

5. 5. Psychology: Why the Symbolism Feels Intuitive

6. 6. The Information Environment: Anonymity, Ephemerality, and Meme Logic

7. 7. Line-by-Line Forensic Analysis of the Thread
8. 8. The Reasoning Pattern: From Metaphor to Metaphysics

9. 9. Biblical Theology of Light, Darkness, Sin, and Discernment

10. 10. Natural Observation, Revelation, and Epistemic Humility

11. 11. Steelmanning the Strongest Objections

12. 12. Discernment Without Superstition

13. Final Findings

RESEARCH SECTION

1. How to Read This Paper: Source Layers and Certainty

A thread like this mixes jokes, metaphors, insults, scientific-sounding claims, occult vocabulary, medical assertions, moral judgments, and spiritual claims within a single conversational stream. A fair analysis refuses to treat them as one kind of object.

Physics statements require physical evidence; historical definitions require historical scholarship; psychological models do not automatically describe ultimate reality; and biblical metaphors cannot simply be imported into a different tradition and assumed to mean the same thing.

The paper therefore separates thread claim, external evidence, interpretation, psychology, and Scripture. Its certainty labels are intentionally graded so that “we do not know” and “reasonable interpretation, not demonstrated fact” remain available outcomes.

Label	Meaning
TEXTUALLY EXPLICIT	The cited source directly says it.
STRONGLY SUPPORTED	Multiple relevant sources support the claim.
PARTLY SUPPORTED	A defensible core exists, but the thread overstates it.
SPECULATIVE	Possible, but not established.
UNSUPPORTED	No adequate supporting evidence was supplied or found.
FALSE / CATEGORY ERROR	The claim conflicts with relevant evidence or misapplies a category.
RHETORICAL / MEMETIC	Primarily humor, signaling, insult, or analogy rather than evidence.

RESEARCH SECTION

2. Rules of Evidence: What Counts as a Rebuttal?

A rebuttal should meet a claim at the same evidentiary level. Electromagnetic claims are answered with optics; Swyer syndrome with medical genetics; Left-Hand Path history with religious-studies scholarship; and biblical doctrine with Scripture in context.

The evidence hierarchy prioritizes the live thread for what was actually posted, first-party technical references for physics and medicine, peer-reviewed scholarship for psychology and history, professional explanatory sources for traditions such as Jungian analysis, and the supplied KJV Pure Cambridge Edition for biblical wording.

The method explicitly refuses anonymous armchair diagnosis, motive-reading from correlations, treating scientific vocabulary as proof, assuming all LHP practitioners share one doctrine, or letting an image meme function as a laboratory result. Reproducibility matters: a reader should be able to follow the post number, the source used to test it, and the narrow verdict.

RESEARCH SECTION

3. Terminology Map: Five Meanings That Must Not Be Collapsed

The most important practical rule is that whenever a speaker changes domains, the burden of proof resets. “Light makes sight possible” does not prove that consciousness is literally light; “darkness conceals” does not prove everything symbolized by darkness is evil; and Jungian shadow work does not prove moral evil is a necessary ingredient of holiness.

Domain	Possible Meaning	How It Is Tested	Common Error
--------	------------------	------------------	--------------

Physics	Visible electromagnetic radiation vs. low/absent illumination	Optics, wavelength, frequency, photoreception	Treating darkness as another electromagnetic frequency.
Psychology	Brightness/valence associations; conscious/unconscious metaphor	Experiments, theory, clinical research	Turning psychological metaphor into ontology.
Western esotericism	Right-Hand/Left-Hand Path labels; antinomian or self-deification discourse	History of religions and primary esoteric sources	Assuming LHP simply means biblical evil.
Moral language	Good/evil, concealment/exposure, conformity/transgression	Ethical argument and stated criteria	Assuming dark-looking = wicked or normal = good.
Scripture	Created day/night; moral darkness; revelation; deception; Christ as light	Contextual exegesis; Scripture with Scripture	Forcing every biblical use into one literal definition.

RESEARCH SECTION

4. Historical Background: Light, Darkness, and the Left-Hand Path

Light and darkness recur across civilizations partly because illumination directly changes what can be seen, but recurring symbolism does not prove a single hidden lineage. Plato's Sun, Line, and Cave analogies connect visibility with intelligibility; Manichaeism presents a genuinely different dualist cosmology in which Light and Darkness are primeval opposing principles. Similar vocabulary can conceal radically different metaphysical structures.

The thread improves when one participant asks whether the actual issue is Right-Hand Path and Left-Hand Path. In modern Western esotericism, Kennet Granholm describes the Left-Hand Path through recurring themes such as individualism, self-deification, and antinomianism, while stressing that no one pure type captures every group.

Taboo-breaking can function as a technique of differentiation or liberation, but that does not make interpersonal violation its universal meaning. Historical categories should therefore be described on their own terms before any Christian moral evaluation is applied.

RESEARCH SECTION

5. Psychology: Why the Symbolism Feels Intuitive

Experimental work provides a real psychological basis for some light/dark associations. Sherman and Clore found automatic black/white associations with moral purity and pollution; Specker and colleagues found strong brightness-positivity associations across studies in Austria and Japan. These findings make the symbolism intelligible, but they do not identify one exclusive evolutionary cause.

Jungian shadow language should also be steelmanned before criticism. In analytical psychology, the shadow concerns traits or tendencies insufficiently recognized by the conscious ego, often because they conflict with self-image. Integration need not mean acting out every impulse; it can involve recognizing aggression, fear, envy, vulnerability, or even disowned positive capacities instead of projecting them onto others.

Clinical usefulness, theoretical coherence, and metaphysical truth remain separate questions. Likewise, research linking illusory pattern perception with conspiracy or supernatural belief should be used only as a caution about inference, not as a blanket disproof of supernatural reality or a diagnosis of people seeking patterns.

RESEARCH SECTION

6. The Information Environment: Anonymity, Ephemerality, and Meme Logic

4chan's architecture matters. Anonymous posting, rapid thread pruning, one-line replies, image macros, and mixed seriousness make rapid associative exchange easy. Suler's online-disinhibition framework and later meta-analytic work help describe setting-level affordances without identifying the private motive of any particular user.

Research on /b/ documents extreme anonymity and ephemerality, but this paper does not silently treat /b/ as identical to /x/. The point is architectural: a meme, slogan, or binary image can become a compressed argument. That compression is socially efficient but epistemically costly.

The opening two-wolves image supplies a moral-looking polarity before terms are defined; later riffs carry the same visual template into sex-development biology. The conversation feels continuous because the visual motif persists even while the evidentiary subject changes.

RESEARCH SECTION

7. Line-by-Line Forensic Analysis of the Thread

The full live snapshot runs through post No. 42973861. The table preserves each substantive post's function and verdict without reproducing the thread verbatim. The recurring pattern is that the best corrections narrow the question and reattach it to an appropriate evidence domain; the weakest replies substitute ridicule, imagery, or confidence for verification.

Post	Claim in Brief	Assessment	Forensic Finding
42967257	Two spiritual paths	UNDERDEFINED	The opening image supplies a binary before defining whether the issue is biblical morality, occult taxonomy, Jungian symbolism, or something else.
42967266	Darkness can conceal evil	PARTLY SUPPORTED	Physical darkness can conceal, but moral deception can also appear as light; Genesis 1 and 2 Corinthians 11 prevent a crude visual rule.
42967357	Respect for boundaries vs. transgression	OVERBROAD	Antinomian transgression is relevant to Western LHP history, but taboo-breaking is not identical with violating another person's consent or rights.
42967368	Knowledge/consciousness vs. mystery/unconscious; darkness as EM frequency	MIXED / PHYSICS FALSE	Symbolism is intelligible; darkness is not a rival electromagnetic frequency.
42967371	Two paths as complementary siblings	SPECULATIVE	Possible in some philosophical systems, but unargued; biblical moral light/darkness is asymmetric.
42967438	Two-wolves sexuality meme	RHETORICAL	A reusable meme template, not evidence about metaphysics.
42967457	Approval of prior explanation	NOT CORROBORATION	Social agreement adds no independent evidence.
42967505	Absolute XX/XY classification meme	OVER-REDUCTIVE	Typical correlation is strong, but differences of sex development refute an exceptionless formulation.
42967510	Swyer syndrome counterexample	CORE CORRECTION SUPPORTED	46,XY complete gonadal dysgenesis demonstrates that karyotype does not mechanically determine every later developmental feature.
42967521	Personal-photo / wolf joke	RHETORICAL	No medical, psychological, or identity inference is warranted from the image.
42967527	Is the discussion really RHP/LHP?	HIGH-VALUE CLARIFICATION	This introduces a historically researchable taxonomy, though it is still not identical with biblical light/darkness.
42967561	RHP/LHP categories are too narrow	POSSIBLE BUT UNARGUED	The post gives no criteria for what the categories fail to explain.
42967566	Ridicule of the Swyer correction	NON-RESPONSIVE	Mockery does not answer karyotype, gene signaling, gonadal development, or anatomy.
42967659	Wholeness requires acknowledging/mastering inner darkness	PARTLY SUPPORTED / CATEGORIES DIFFER	A Jungian shadow-integration core is plausible; shadow is not equivalent to biblical moral darkness.
42967662	Light/dark literally correspond to black/white	PHYSICALLY IMPRECISE	White light and darkness are not universal synonyms for all light and all dark; optics and occult categories must be kept distinct.
42968768	Fictional wolf/afterlife reference	NOT EVIDENCE	Fiction can illustrate a worldview, not verify it.
42968845	Common service vs. solitary hedonism	CARICATURE	Some LHP themes are present, but neither social conformity nor individualism maps cleanly to good/evil.
42970262	A uterus alone settles sex classification	UNDERDETERMINED	One organ does not summarize chromosomal, gonadal, hormonal, and anatomical development.
42972209	Same origin/endpoint makes RHP and LHP identical	UNSUPPORTED / NON SEQUITUR	Same endpoints, even if established, would not make practices, commitments, risks, and formation identical.
42972255	Nocturnal mammalian ancestry	BROADLY SUPPORTED	The nocturnal-bottleneck hypothesis is real; it does not establish occult or moral meanings of darkness.
42972268	The path itself matters	LOGICALLY STRONG	Means and formation matter even if destinations are described similarly.
42972280	Contemporary endorsement of earlier boundary definition	ENDORSEMENT ONLY	A timestamp and confident agreement do not add evidence.
42972282	Organ-label plus insult	NON-RESPONSIVE	Descriptive labeling and hostility do not resolve developmental biology.
42973861	Swyer = one genetic mutation; 'mutants' as person-label	OVERSTATED / IMPRECISE	Etiology is heterogeneous; the person-label is not clinically useful.

RESEARCH SECTION

8. The Reasoning Pattern: From Metaphor to Metaphysics

The thread's strongest error is not that every premise is false. Several observations are true or partly true. The problem is that valid observations are joined by invalid bridges. Physical light makes objects visible; visibility readily becomes a metaphor for knowledge; knowledge is associated with consciousness; unconscious material is not presently available to awareness. None of that alone proves that occult “darkness” literally is the unconscious or that embracing spiritual darkness is psychologically necessary for wholeness.

The same word changes sense while the argument appears continuous. The appropriate diagnostic question at every transition is simple: has the meaning stayed the same? If not, what additional evidence licenses the move?

Reasoning Risk	How It Appears
Equivocation	The word 'darkness' shifts among low illumination, unconscious material, occult symbolism, and moral evil.
False dichotomy	The opening binary encourages two exhaustive spiritual sides before that structure is established.
Category error	Low/absent visible illumination is treated as an electromagnetic frequency.
Argument by analogy	Fiction, wolf imagery, or psychological metaphor is asked to bear metaphysical weight.
Social proof	Agreement and praise are treated as corroboration.
Unfalsifiability	Skepticism can be reinterpreted as proof that the skeptic is spiritually blind.
Descriptive-to-normative slide	Psychological associations with brightness are used to infer how morality or spirituality ought to work.

RESEARCH SECTION

9. Biblical Theology of Light, Darkness, Sin, and Discernment

The biblical correction is strongest when Scripture is allowed to use its own imagery in more than one way. Genesis 1 treats literal darkness and night as part of the created order; nighttime itself is not moral evil. Johannine texts then use light and darkness morally: John 3 connects loving darkness with evil deeds, while 1 John says God is light and connects walking in darkness with falsehood. That moral imagery does not excuse self-congratulation. First John also warns that claiming to have no sin is self-deception. Christian movement into the light therefore includes truthful confession, cleansing, and repentance rather than pretending darkness exists only in other people.

Scripture acknowledges evil within the human heart without treating evil as an ingredient of holiness. Mark 7 locates evil thoughts and acts within; Romans 7 describes conflict with sin; Romans 8 speaks of mortifying sinful deeds by the Spirit. This overlaps with the psychological insight that denied tendencies remain dangerous while differing sharply over what integration means. Second Corinthians 11 supplies another essential control: false apostles and Satan can appear righteous or luminous. Brightness, charisma, radiance, or “enlightened” branding cannot authenticate a spiritual claim. Biblical Christianity is therefore neither superstition about literal darkness nor naive trust in whatever looks like light.

Canonical Scripture also does not present good and evil as co-eternal complementary principles that complete God. Literal night is created; moral darkness is opposed to truth and holiness; deceptive evil can nevertheless present itself as light. Ephesians 5 defines walking in light through goodness, righteousness, truth, and testing what is acceptable to the Lord, not merely social respectability.

RESEARCH SECTION

10. Natural Observation, Revelation, and Epistemic Humility

Nature provides powerful metaphors. Light reveals shapes; darkness conceals them; vision is central to ordinary human action. Those facts naturally support metaphors of knowledge and disclosure, but a metaphor discovered in nature does not automatically become doctrine. Science should not be asked to prove that moral truth is made of photons, and Scripture should not be forced to function as an optics manual. The Bereans search the Scriptures; 1 John says to test spirits; 1 Thessalonians says to prove all things and hold fast the good; and Deuteronomy 29 distinguishes secret things from revealed things. The resulting epistemic posture is neither credulity nor cynicism: test claims, tell the truth about what is known, refuse manufactured certainty, and keep revelation distinct from speculation.

RESEARCH SECTION

11. Steelmanning the Strongest Objections

A fair critique must answer the strongest versions of the objections. The paper is not defining occult systems from Christian categories; it first describes Western LHP and Jungian shadow concepts on their own historical terms, then applies an explicitly Christian evaluation.

The shadow is not simply “evil,” and shadow integration does not mean acting out evil impulses. The narrower concern is the thread's slippage from Jungian shadow into biblical moral darkness as though they were identical.

Likewise, Left-Hand Path does not simply mean “bad people doing bad things.” Granholm's work is used precisely to prevent that caricature. Pattern-perception psychology is also not used to rule out spiritual reality; it is a caution about inference. Physics and genetics can correct claims in their lanes without deciding whether God exists or which spiritual path is true.

Finally, although the thread may look trivial because it is meme-heavy, it functions as a compact case study in how metaphor, folk psychology, science language, occult taxonomy, memes, and theology can slide into one another.

RESEARCH SECTION

12. Discernment Without Superstition

The responsible conclusion is neither “dark things are evil” nor “light and darkness are only neutral frequencies.” Literal darkness is ordinary creation; moral darkness is a serious biblical category; psychological shadow language can describe disowned material; Western LHP terminology has its own modern history; and physical darkness is not electromagnetic radiation.

The durable lesson is methodological: do not confuse symbols with realities, mystery with truth, psychological usefulness with theological authority, scientific description with metaphysical proof, or the appearance of light with the truth to which biblical light points.

The Bible's imagery is more demanding than the thread's binary. It permits ordinary night without superstition, condemns moral darkness without denying inner sin, warns that evil can appear righteous, and calls readers to test, search, prove, confess, and judge fruit.

RESEARCH SECTION

Final Findings

The central reasoning failure is equivocation across several meanings of light and darkness. Several psychological associations are empirically plausible, but their causal and metaphysical extensions are not established. The electromagnetic-frequency statement is false because darkness is not a separate EM frequency.

Western RHP/LHP history is more complicated than good-versus-evil color coding; antinomianism is a real theme, but interpersonal violation is not the universal definition. Jungian shadow integration is best understood as recognition or integration of disowned material, not automatic moral endorsement, and remains distinct from Christian sanctification.

The nocturnal-bottleneck claim has genuine evolutionary support without proving a spiritual theory. The Swyer-syndrome correction is medically valid, while later attempts to reduce the condition to one universal mutation are inaccurate. The platform's anonymity and ephemerality can reward compressed signaling and aggression, but the relevant psychology does not diagnose individual posters.

Biblically, literal darkness and moral darkness must be distinguished. Moral darkness is not a complementary half that God needs, and discernment also rejects the opposite superstition that bright appearance guarantees holiness.

APPENDIX A

Claim-Evidence-Verdict Matrix

Post	Claim in Brief	Best Check	Verdict
42967257	Two spiritual paths	Definition/history	Underdefined
42967266	Darkness can conceal evil	Scripture/context	Partly supported
42967357	Boundary respect vs. transgression	Granholm	Overbroad
42967368	Knowledge/unconscious + EM frequency	Psychology/NASA	Mixed; physics false
42967371	Complementary siblings	Philosophy/Scripture	Speculative
42967438	Two-wolves meme	Rhetorical analysis	Memetic
42967457	Approval of earlier explanation	Evidence logic	Not corroboration
42967505	Absolute XX/XY meme	MedlinePlus	Over-reductive
42967510	Swyer counterexample	MedlinePlus	Supported
42967521	Photo/wolf joke	Rhetorical analysis	Memetic
42967527	RHP/LHP clarification	Granholm	Useful clarification
42967561	RHP/LHP too narrow	Definition criteria	Unargued
42967566	Ridicule of Swyer correction	Medical evidence	Non-responsive
42967659	Wholeness through inner-darkness work	Jungian sources + Scripture	Partly supported; categories differ
42967662	Light/dark = black/white	NASA + history	Physically imprecise
42968768	Fictional afterlife illustration	Source-type analysis	Not evidence
42968845	Common good vs. solitary hedonism	Granholm + Scripture	Caricature
42970262	Uterus settles classification	Developmental biology	Underdetermined
42972209	Same endpoint makes paths identical	Logic + Granholm	Unsupported / non sequitur
42972255	Nocturnal ancestry	Gerkema et al.	Broadly supported
42972268	Path itself matters	Logic + Matthew 7	Strong correction
42972280	2026 endorsement	Source analysis	Endorsement only
42972282	Organ-label + insult	Medical/rhetorical analysis	Non-responsive
42973861	Swyer = one mutation; person-label	MedlinePlus	Overstated / imprecise

The matrix is deliberately compressed. The controlling qualifications are in the full line-by-line analysis above.

APPENDIX B

Bibliography and Source Notes

- [1] Library of Rickandria - original web edition
- [2] Primary 4chan /x/ thread No. 42967257
- [3] 4chan FAQ - anonymity, posting and thread pruning
- [4] 4chan Rules - /x/ board context
- [5] Bernstein et al. (2011) - 4chan and /b/: anonymity and ephemerality
- [6] Suler (2004) - The Online Disinhibition Effect
- [7] Chu et al. (2026) - anonymity, online disinhibition and cyber aggression meta-analysis
- [8] Sherman & Clore (2009) - The Color of Sin
- [9] Specker et al. (2018) - brightness and positivity
- [10] Lakens, Semin & Foroni (2012) - valence and brightness
- [11] van Prooijen, Douglas & De Inocencio (2018) - illusory pattern perception
- [12] International Association for Analytical Psychology - The Shadow
- [13] Roesler & Reefschlager (2022) - Jungian psychotherapy, spirituality and synchronicity
- [14] Granholm (2009) - Left-Hand Path Magic and Animal Rights
- [15] Granholm (2012) - Western reinterpretation of Tantra / Left-Hand Path
- [16] NASA Science - Visible Light
- [17] Gerkema et al. (2013) - nocturnal bottleneck in mammalian evolution
- [18] MedlinePlus Genetics - Swyer syndrome
- [19] MedlinePlus Genetics - SRY gene
- [20] MedlinePlus Genetics - MAP3K1 gene
- [21] Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy - Fallacies
- [22] Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy - Plato's Middle Period Metaphysics and Epistemology
- [23] Encyclopaedia Iranica - Manichaeism

Scripture source: *Holy Bible, Authorized King James Version, Pure Cambridge Edition*. Biblical wording in the source article is checked against the supplied project PDF.

Source-Use Notes

The 4chan thread is evidence for what was posted, not authority for whether the posts are true. The Bernstein study concerns /b/, not /x/, and is used only for platform-level architecture. The IAAP shadow page describes a professional Jungian tradition, while empirical status is treated separately. Psychology on brightness, pattern perception and online disinhibition is not used to infer any poster's diagnosis or motive. Medical genetics is used only for the biological claims raised in the thread. Comparisons among Plato, Manichaeism, Western esotericism, Jung and Scripture are comparative rather than genealogical unless historical connection is independently established.

RESEARCHER'S CLOSING NOTE

Mystery Is Not a Substitute for Evidence

The point of forensic reading is not to drain a conversation of mystery. It is to keep mystery from doing work that belongs to evidence. The thread is useful precisely because it contains insight, error, humor, hostility, and genuine questions at the same time. Careful discernment does not require pretending those things are all the same.

DISCERNMENT RULE

Test claims, search sources, preserve context, distinguish evidence from interpretation, and refuse to manufacture certainty where the evidence stops.

Original web edition: libraryofrickandria.com/light-and-dark/

Soli Deo Gloria.