

TESTING THE PANIC

SCRIPTURE
EVIDENCE
HISTORY
REASON
PSYCHOLOGY
DISCERNMENT
TRUTH

FEAR
ACCUSATION
MEDIA
MORAL PANIC
CONSPIRACY
DECEPTION
MASS HYSTERIA

A BIBLICAL, HISTORICAL, PSYCHOLOGICAL & METHODOLOGICAL
CRITIQUE OF THE SATANIC CULT CONSPIRACY



TEST
EVERY
CLAIM

HOLD
FAST
TO
WHAT
IS
TRUE

1 THESS 5:21

MEMORY

SUGGESTION

TRAUMA

FALSE MEMORY

PATTERN RECOGNITION

SOCIAL INFLUENCE

THEOLOGY

HISTORY

PSYCHOLOGY

CRIMINOLOGY

MEDIA STUDIES

SOCIOLOGY

Research Notes:

- ✓ Primary Sources
- ✓ Cross-Examination
- ✓ Competing Hypotheses
- ✓ Psychological Factors
- ✓ Media Analysis
- ✓ Theological Assessment

DOCTORAL THESIS Manuscript

EVIDENCE

MEDIA NARRATIVE VS. VERIFIABLE FACTS

Allegation?
Evidence?
Context?
Agenda?
Or
Something else?

TESTING THE PANIC

A Biblical, Historical, Psychological & Methodological Critique of The Satanic Cult Conspiracy

Library of Rickandria Presents • Random PDF Breakdown by VCG • October 2026

This PDF contains live external links and clickable PDF sidebar bookmarks for major sections.

Original source: [Original source: Testing the Panic](#)

- [Satan Exists](#)
- [Satan](#)
- [Satan Fallen](#)
- [Cartel](#)

Table of Contents

Use your PDF reader's sidebar/bookmarks panel for clickable navigation to each section.

- Executive Abstract
- 1. Introduction: What This Paper Is Testing
- 2. What This Paper Does—and Does Not—Claim
- 3. Terms, Evidentiary Vocabulary, and Source Hierarchy
- 4. A Short Historical Timeline: From Satanic Panic to 2026
- 5. Reading Oake Fairly: Her Questions, Corpus, and Framework
- 6. The Historical Satanic Panic: What Was Actually Disproved?
- 7. Memory, Suggestion, Testimony, and Survivor Claims
- 8. The Rabbit Hole: Auditing Oake's Research Method
- 9. The Allegations: Real Categories, Unproven Connections
- 10. Dot-Connecting, Numerology, Art, and Hidden in Plain Sight
- 11. Authority, Testimony, DYOR, and the Epistemology of Distrust
- 12. Scapegoating: When Blame Becomes Collective Guilt
- 13. Catastrophising: Urgency Versus Threat Inflation
- 14. Legitimising: Real Facts Inside Unsupported Narratives
- 15. Consensus? Narrative Coherence Versus Shared Belief
- 16. Real Satanists, Real Extremists, and the Danger of Overcorrection
- 17. When the Debunkers Were Wrong
- 18. When the Conspiracists Were Wrong
- 19. Case-Study Laboratory: Six Claims, One Method
- 20. Absence of Evidence, Evidence of Absence, and Bayesian Common Sense
- 21. Standards of Proof, Witness Epistemology, and Naming Living People
- 22. Steelmanning Oake
- 23. Steelmanning Oake's Critics
- 24. Scripture Correction: Text, Interpretation, and Unsupported Application
- 25. Red-Teaming This Paper: What Would Change Our Minds?
- 26. What Research Would Actually Settle More of This?
- 27. Master Evidentiary Verdict
- 28. Final General Conclusion
- Appendix A — The Rickandria Evidence Protocol
- Appendix C — Researcher's One-Page Checklist
- Endnotes
- Bibliography

Executive Abstract

Bethan Juliet Oake's 2025 doctoral thesis argues that contemporary online Satanic-cult conspiracy discourse functions as a new and ongoing Satanic moral panic. This paper accepts much of her descriptive work while challenging the certainty of that final diagnosis. Her corpus is useful for showing what narratives circulate and what a user may encounter while deliberately following a social-media rabbit hole, but it is not built to measure population prevalence, sincerity of belief, broad consensus, or ordinary exposure. The central conclusion of this paper is narrower: Oake demonstrates a substantial online Satanic-conspiracy milieu and several moral-panic-like processes, but her qualitative design alone does not definitively establish one socially widespread ongoing Satanic moral panic under all classical criteria.

1. Introduction: What This Paper Is Testing

This paper asks what happens when Oake's thesis is tested across history, psychology, method, and Scripture at once. The aim is to avoid two equal and opposite mistakes: validating an entire theory because one part of it is true, or dismissing every nearby concern because one part of it is false. A court ruling cannot settle Satan's ontology, a Bible verse cannot prove a named celebrity committed a crime, and a discourse analysis cannot prove Satan unreal; each kind of evidence must do its own work.

2. What This Paper Does—and Does Not—Claim

This paper does not deny that Satan, conspiracies, trafficking, organized abuse, extremist networks, or institutional deception can be real. It also does not assume that because such things can be real, any given accusation must therefore be true. The continuing question is simple: what is established, what is inferred, and what evidence actually connects the two?

3. Terms, Evidentiary Vocabulary, and Source Hierarchy

A conspiracy is treated here neutrally as covert coordination among multiple actors. A conspiracy theory is an explanatory claim alleging such coordination. A moral panic is used in the classical sense associated with concern, hostility, consensus, disproportionality, and volatility. This paper distinguishes unsupported, unverified, overstated, contradicted, and false. It also distinguishes category, instance, and connection: can this kind of thing exist, did this event occur, and are separate real events actually part of one hidden system?

4. A Short Historical Timeline: From Satanic Panic to 2026

From Michelle Remembers and daycare cases such as McMartin, to the 1992 Lanning report, the historical record shows major evidentiary failures in the old Satanic Panic. Yet history also includes real hidden wrongdoing: MKULTRA, Jeffrey Epstein's crimes, public initiatives such as the Great Reset, openly self-described Satanist organizations, and recent law-enforcement warnings about 764 and related coercive online exploitation networks. History teaches both caution and vigilance, not blanket credulity or blanket denial.

5. Reading Oake Fairly: Her Questions, Corpus, and Framework

Oake studies 979 English-language posts from Twitter/X, Instagram, and TikTok gathered during six months of rabbit-hole-style exploration. Her thematic map is genuinely useful: physical harm, coercion and control, and apocalypse recur, along with recurring evidentiary styles such as dot-connecting, hidden symbolism, survivor testimony, charismatic authorities, stigmatized knowledge, and do-your-own-research rhetoric. The most controversial move comes when narrative coherence begins to function as a substitute for shared belief.

6. The Historical Satanic Panic: What Was Actually Disproved?

The strongest skeptical claim is that major investigations did not substantiate the massive multigenerational organized Satanic system alleged during the late twentieth century. The weaker and mistaken skeptical claim is that because those allegations often collapsed, no organized abuse, ritualized violence, or hidden criminal group can exist. That does not follow. Scale, structure, and connection still require proof.

7. Memory, Suggestion, Testimony, and Survivor Claims

Modern memory research supports two truths at once: memory is reconstructive and can be distorted by suggestion, yet not every recovered memory is false. Testimony is evidence, but its strength depends on retrieval context, contamination, timing, corroboration, and independence. The sound conclusion is neither believe every survivor claim literally nor dismiss all delayed recall, but rather investigate carefully and corroborate wherever possible.

8. The Rabbit Hole: Auditing Oake's Research Method

Oake's method is strong for discoverability: it shows what a person may encounter after deliberately pursuing Satanic-conspiracy content. It is weak for ordinary baseline exposure or population prevalence. Personalized feeds, repeated engagement, cross-posted material, monetized panic, and performative content all complicate any move from discovered content to broad social consensus.

9. The Allegations: Real Categories, Unproven Connections

The discourse Oake studies mixes many real categories: trafficking, abuse, covert programs, elite wrongdoing, modern Satanism, adrenochrome as a chemical, and technological anxieties. The problem is often not fake pieces but unproven bridges. Much online discourse moves from category to connection without proving the steps in between.

10. Dot-Connecting, Numerology, Art, and Hidden in Plain Sight

Pattern recognition is not irrational in itself, but it requires disciplined standards. Numerology becomes unreliable when the interpreter can vary spelling, dates, arithmetic, and targets at will. Provocative imagery or occult-adjacent symbolism may be deliberate, but symbols do not by themselves prove belief, criminality, or membership in a hidden network. Revelation 13 gives a specific theological context for 666; it does not authorize free-form number hunting in every modern headline.

11. Authority, Testimony, DYOR, and the Epistemology of Distrust

Oake rightly notices that conspiracy milieus often treat institutional denial as proof of a cover-up. Yet blind institutional trust is equally indefensible. The wiser rule is proposition-specific evaluation: what is the claim, what evidence supports it, how independent are the sources, and what would count against it? Lateral reading is far more serious than endless immersion in one preferred information ecosystem.

12. Scapegoating: When Blame Becomes Collective Guilt

Oake's case is strongest when online discourse shifts from criticism of power to collective criminal guilt aimed at identifiable communities. Antisemitic material is especially grave because modern child-blood or Satanic-control narratives can reproduce the logic of historical blood libel. More broadly, a moral disagreement or policy dispute does not prove grooming, Satanism, or abuse.

13. Catastrophising: Urgency Versus Threat Inflation

Urgency alone does not prove panic; sometimes urgency is morally necessary. The sharper diagnostic category is threat inflation: portraying scale, imminence, probability, or severity beyond what evidence warrants. That fits the classical concern with disproportionality much more closely than urgency by itself.

14. Legitimising: Real Facts Inside Unsupported Narratives

Oake uses legitimising for the way conspiracy discourse attaches itself to real social problems or kernels of truth. That process is real, but the key issue is still whether the bridge has been proved. Adrenochrome exists, MKULTRA existed, Epstein's crimes were real, and the Great Reset existed as a public initiative. None of those facts automatically proves a single secret Satanic system connecting them all.

15. Consensus? Narrative Coherence Versus Shared Belief

Oake's hardest task is consensus. Her own corpus contains disagreement about older Panic material, QAnon, political figures, and even the sincerity of participants. She answers by shifting toward narrative coherence. That is a useful concept, but narrative coherence is not the same thing as belief consensus, community consensus, or population-level consensus.

16. Real Satanists, Real Extremists, and the Danger of Overcorrection

Real self-described Satanist organizations exist, and not every self-described Satanist believes in a literal supernatural Satan. Real occult-adjacent extremist currents also exist, and 764-style exploitation networks show that covert systems targeting minors can operate online. These realities correct simplistic debunking, but they still do not prove one monolithic worldwide Satanic cult.

17. When the Debunkers Were Wrong

Bad debunking matters because it corrodes trust. Adrenochrome exists. The Great Reset existed publicly. Modern Satanist organizations exist. Some occult-extremist milieus exist. Real online exploitation networks exist. Where debunkers deny obvious realities, they unintentionally strengthen suspicion toward their stronger and more valid criticisms.

18. When the Conspiracists Were Wrong

The opposite ledger is equally important. A symbol does not prove murder. A photograph does not prove criminal participation. MKULTRA does not prove every mind-control theory. Epstein does not prove every elite-network claim. Adrenochrome does not prove child harvesting. The Great Reset does not prove depopulation. Repetition is not corroboration. A Bible passage about the Beast does not identify a modern technology unless the bridge is actually demonstrated.

19. Case-Study Laboratory: Six Claims, One Method

The best test of method is whether it yields different verdicts in different cases. Hampstead was a false allegation with real harm. Pizzagate was a false theory with offline consequences. MKULTRA was a real covert program often misused as a universal precedent. Epstein was real elite sexual crime often surrounded by unsupported expansions. Adrenochrome is real chemistry embedded in mythology. 764-style networks represent real threats that still do not prove one grand Satanic system.

20. Absence of Evidence, Evidence of Absence, and Bayesian Common Sense

The phrase absence of evidence is not evidence of absence is sometimes true and sometimes abused. The right question is what evidence a theory predicts. A tiny covert cell may leave limited traces; a huge decades-long system involving many institutions should generally leave a much larger evidentiary footprint. Good evidence discriminates among competing explanations, and dependent evidence should never be counted as though it were independent confirmation.

21. Standards of Proof, Witness Epistemology, and Naming Living People

Different actions require different thresholds. A rumor may justify checking. A credible report may justify investigation. Publicly naming a living person as a ritual child murderer requires far more. Witnesses must be weighed by competence, opportunity, contamination risk, consistency, specificity, corroboration, and independence. Association is not guilt.

22. Steelmanning Oake

A fair critique should grant Oake's strongest points. She never claimed to be running a national probability survey. Qualitative discourse analysis can reveal real patterns, and digital moral panic may not look identical to earlier broadcast-era panics. These considerations strengthen her thesis substantially, but they do not fully justify the strongest possible statement that one new and ongoing moral panic has been definitively confirmed.

23. Steelmanning Oake's Critics

Oake's critics also have real points. Governments have committed covert wrongdoing. Institutions have concealed abuse. Powerful people have trafficked minors. Occult extremist milieus and exploitative networks can exist. But possibility and precedent are not event-specific proof. Plausibility is not confirmation.

24. Scripture Correction: Text, Interpretation, and Unsupported Application

Scripture treats Satan, deception, conspiracy, and spiritual warfare as real categories. At the same time, Scripture forbids false reports, demands diligent inquiry, values multiple witnesses, and commands believers to test claims. Revelation 13 speaks about the Beast, the Mark, buying and selling, and 666; it does not identify modern vaccines, QR codes, microchips, or specific institutions by name. Scripture permits naming evil, but it does not permit inventing the guilty.

25. Red-Teaming This Paper: What Would Change Our Minds?

A responsible paper should state what evidence would change its conclusions. Stronger evidence for a large coordinated Satanic criminal network would include genuinely independent witnesses, authenticated internal records, financial trails, forensic evidence, chain-of-custody integrity, verified locations, successful prospective predictions, and independent investigations reaching the same core findings. Stronger evidence for Oake's strongest moral-panic claim would include repeated representative surveys, network analysis, direct measures of belief, and explicit measures of disproportionality.

26. What Research Would Actually Settle More of This?

The best way forward is mixed-method research. Qualitative discourse analysis should be paired with representative surveys, trend surveys, network analysis, fresh-account platform experiments, interviews distinguishing belief from speculation, and proposition-specific fact-checking. Only then can discourse, reach, belief, structure, and change over time be measured together.

27. Master Evidentiary Verdict

Oake's historical warning is strong. Her thematic taxonomy is strong. Her demonstration of a contemporary online Satanic-conspiracy milieu is strong. Her corpus is strong for discoverability and thematic breadth. It is not designed to establish prevalence, sincere belief consensus, or an independent measure of disproportionality. The paper's final verdict is therefore narrower than hers: the phenomenon is real, substantial, and worth study, but the strongest moral-panic conclusion is overstated.

28. Final General Conclusion

The deepest issue here is not merely Satanism but method. How do we investigate extraordinary claims of evil without becoming either gullible or dismissive? History provides reasons for both caution and vigilance. Scripture likewise teaches both spiritual warfare and the sin of false witness. The strongest conclusion is therefore not panic or denial. It is a disciplined demand: prove the bridge.

Appendix A — The Rickandria Evidence Protocol

- Define the claim precisely.
- Find the earliest primary source.
- Authenticate the evidence.
- Recover the original context.
- Trace source dependence.
- Seek genuinely independent corroboration.
- Search against your preferred conclusion.
- Test competing explanations.
- Separate category, instance, and connection.
- Ask what traces the theory predicts.
- Distinguish theology from forensics.
- State confidence honestly.

Appendix C — Researcher's One-Page Checklist

- What exactly is being alleged?
- Where did it originate?
- Have I seen the original evidence?
- What does it directly establish?
- What am I adding by inference?
- Are my sources genuinely independent?
- Is there external corroboration?
- What contrary evidence exists?
- What would prove the theory wrong?
- What evidence should exist if the theory were true?
- Am I confusing association with participation?
- Am I confusing a real category with a particular instance?
- Am I confusing two real facts with proof that they are connected?
- If Scripture is involved, what does the passage explicitly say?
- What is interpretation, and what is modern application?
- What confidence level does the evidence actually warrant?

Endnotes

1. Bethan Juliet Somerville Oake, *The Satanic Cult Conspiracy: How Online Conspiracy Theory Discourses Construct Moral Panic* (PhD diss., University of Leeds, 2025). White Rose repository: <https://etheses.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/36794/>
2. Kenneth V. Lanning, *Investigator's Guide to Allegations of Ritual Child Abuse* (FBI National Center for the Analysis of Violent Crime, 1992). OJP summary: <https://www.ojp.gov/ncjrs/virtual-library/abstracts/investigators-guide-allegations-ritual-child-abuse>
3. Jan-Willem van Prooijen, Karen M. Douglas, and Clara De Inocencio, *Connecting the Dots: Illusory Pattern Perception Predicts Belief in Conspiracies and the Supernatural*. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29695889/>
4. Olivier Dodier, Krystian Barzykowski, and Celine Souchay, *Recovered Memories of Trauma as a Special (or Not So Special) Form of Involuntary Autobiographical Memories*. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10754046/>
5. Sam Wineburg and Sarah McGrew, *Lateral Reading and the Nature of Expertise*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/016146811912101102>
6. U.S. Senate joint hearing on Project MKULTRA: <https://www.intelligence.senate.gov/1977/08/03/hearings-joint-hearing-subcommittee-health-and-scientific-research-committee-human-resources-project/>
7. PubChem on adrenochrome: <https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/adrenochrome>
8. World Economic Forum, *The Great Reset*: <https://www.weforum.org/press/2020/06/the-great-reset-a-unique-twin-summit-to-begin-2021/>
9. The Satanic Temple FAQ: <https://thesatanictemple.com/pages/faq>
10. Church of Satan fundamental beliefs: <https://churchofsatan.com/faq-fundamental-beliefs/>
11. United States Holocaust Memorial Museum on blood libel: <https://encyclopedia.ushmm.org/content/en/article/blood-libel>
12. Casey A. Klofstad et al., *The New Satanic Panic*: <https://doi.org/10.1093/psquar/qqae081>
13. Virginia Braun and Victoria Clarke on reflexive thematic analysis: <https://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2020.1769238>
14. Association of Internet Researchers ethics guidelines: <https://aoir.org/ethics/>
15. FBI warning on violent online networks: <https://www.fbi.gov/investigate/cyber/alerts/2025/violent-online-networks-target-vulnerable-and-underage-populations-across-the-united-states-and-around-the-globe>
16. FBI Boston warning on nihilistic violent extremists: <https://www.fbi.gov/contact-us/field-offices/boston/news/fbi-boston-warns-of-nihilistic-violent-extremists-targeting-children-and-vulnerable-victims-online>
17. DOJ charge announcement concerning 764: <https://www.justice.gov/usao-dc/pr/leaders-764-arrested-and-charged-operating-global-child-exploitation-enterprise>
18. DOJ OIG report on Jeffrey Epstein: https://oig.justice.gov/sites/default/files/2023-06/6-27-2023_0.pdf
19. NHS England clinical policy on puberty suppressing hormones: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/publication/clinical-policy-puberty-suppressing-hormones/>
20. Scripture quotations are from the Holy Bible, King James Version, Pure Cambridge Edition. Key passages include Deuteronomy 13:14; Deuteronomy 19:15; Exodus 23:1-7; Proverbs 18:13, 17; 1 Thessalonians 5:19-21; 1 John 4:1-3; Ephesians 6:10-18; Acts 23:12-21; Job 1-2; and Revelation 13.

Bibliography

Association of Internet Researchers. Internet Research: Ethical Guidelines 3.0. <https://aoir.org/ethics/>
Braun, Virginia, and Victoria Clarke. One Size Fits All? What Counts as Quality Practice in Reflexive Thematic Analysis? <https://doi.org/10.1080/14780887.2020.1769238>

Church of Satan. F.A.Q. Fundamental Beliefs. <https://churchofsatan.com/faq-fundamental-beliefs/>

Church of Satan. F.A.Q. Holidays. <https://churchofsatan.com/faq-holidays/>

Dodier, Olivier, Krystian Barzykowski, and Celine Souchay. Recovered Memories of Trauma. <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10754046/>

Federal Bureau of Investigation. Violent Online Networks Target Vulnerable and Underage Populations Across the United States and Around the Globe. <https://www.fbi.gov/investigate/cyber/alerts/2025/violent-online-networks-target-vulnerable-and-underage-populations-across-the-united-states-and-around-the-globe>

Federal Bureau of Investigation, Boston Division. Warning on Nihilistic Violent Extremists Targeting Children and Vulnerable Victims Online. <https://www.fbi.gov/contact-us/field-offices/boston/news/fbi-boston-warns-of-nihilistic-violent-extremists-targeting-children-and-vulnerable-victims-online>

Goode, Erich, and Nachman Ben-Yehuda. Moral Panics: The Social Construction of Deviance. 2nd ed. 2009.

Klofstad, Casey A., et al. The New Satanic Panic. <https://doi.org/10.1093/psquar/qqae081>

Lanning, Kenneth V. Investigator's Guide to Allegations of Ritual Child Abuse. <https://www.ojp.gov/ncjrs/virtual-library/abstracts/investigators-guide-allegations-ritual-child-abuse>

National Library of Medicine. PubChem. Adrenochrome. <https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/adrenochrome>

NHS England. Clinical Policy: Puberty Suppressing Hormones. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/publication/clinical-policy-puberty-suppressing-hormones/>

Oake, Bethan Juliet Somerville. The Satanic Cult Conspiracy: How Online Conspiracy Theory Discourses Construct Moral Panic. <https://etheses.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/36794/>

Re P and Q (Children: Care Proceedings: Fact Finding) [2015] EWFC 26. <https://www.judiciary.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/gareeva-dearman-2015.pdf>

The Satanic Temple. Frequently Asked Questions. <https://thesatanictemple.com/pages/faq>

Udry, Jessica, and Sarah J. Barber. The Illusory Truth Effect. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38113667/>

United States Department of Justice. Jeffrey Epstein Charged in Manhattan Federal Court with Sex Trafficking of Minors. <https://www.justice.gov/usao-sdny/pr/jeffrey-epstein-charged-manhattan-federal-court-sex-trafficking-minors>

United States Department of Justice, Office of Inspector General. Investigation and Review of the Federal Bureau of Prisons' Custody, Care, and Supervision of Jeffrey Epstein. https://oig.justice.gov/sites/default/files/2023-06/6-27-2023_0.pdf

United States Holocaust Memorial Museum. Blood Libel. <https://encyclopedia.ushmm.org/content/en/article/blood-libel>

United States Senate Select Committee on Intelligence and Subcommittee on Health and Scientific Research. Project MKULTRA. <https://www.intelligence.senate.gov/1977/08/03/hearings-joint-hearing-subcommittee-health-and-scientific-research-committee-human-resources-project/>

van der Linden, Sander. Misinformation: Susceptibility, Spread, and Interventions to Immunize the Public. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41591-022-01713-6>

van Prooijen, Jan-Willem, Karen M. Douglas, and Clara De Inocencio. Connecting the Dots.
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29695889/>

Walsh, James P., and Dallas Hill. Social Media, Migration and the Platformization of Moral Panic.
<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/13548565221137002>

Wineburg, Sam, and Sarah McGrew. Lateral Reading and the Nature of Expertise.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/016146811912101102>

World Economic Forum. The Great Reset: A Unique Twin Summit to Begin 2021.
<https://www.weforum.org/press/2020/06/the-great-reset-a-unique-twin-summit-to-begin-2021/>

The Holy Bible, King James Version, Pure Cambridge Edition. Cambridge University Press, 1909.